

In the Matter of:
 LEWIS ROAD PLANNED RESIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENT
 ASSERTION of JURISDICTION APPLICATION

 TOWN OF RIVERHEAD PUBLIC HEARING
 August 19th, 2020

1
 2 A P P E A R A N C E S:
 3 CARRIE MEEK GALLAGHER, Chairwoman
 4 EDWARD P. ROMAINE, Member, Supervisor
 5 JAY H. SCHNEIDERMAN, Member, Supervisor
 6 YVETTE AGUIOR, Member, Supervisor
 7 JOHN PAVACIC, Executive Director
 8 JOHN MILAZZO, ESQ., Legal Counsel
 9 JOHN PAVACIC, Executive Director
 10 A L S O P R E S E N T:
 11 JULIE HARGRAVE, Principal Environmental
 12 Planner
 13 CHARLES VOORHIS, ESQ., Nelson, Pope and
 14 Voorhis
 15 WAYNE D. BRUYN, ESQ., O'Shea, Marcincuk &
 16 Bruyn, LLP
 17 ASSEMBLYMAN STEVE C. ENGLEBRIGHT
 18 MARK HISSEY, Senior Vice President, DLV
 19 PAUL W. GROSSE, PHD. PE
 20 ED DIVITA
 21 STEVEN BARSHOV, ESQ., Sive, Paget &
 22 Diesel PC
 23 JEFFREY SEEMAN, CGCS/CEP
 24 MITCHELL H. POLLY
 25 POLA RAPAPORT

CENTRAL PINE BARRENS
 PLANNING & POLICY
 COMMISSION
 VIA ZOOM VIDEO CONFERENCE
 August 19, 2020
 3:00 p.m.

1
 2 BOB DELUCA
 3 BILL KEARNS
 4 SUSAN KEARNS
 5 DICK AMPER
 6 KATIE BROWN
 7 ANDREA SPIILKA
 8 MICHAEL ALESTRA
 9 ANDREW LYNCH
 10 CAMDEN ACKERMAN
 11 CHRISTOPHER GOBBLER, PHD.
 12 ELIZABETH JACKSON
 13 CLAUDIA BRAYMER
 14 MICHAEL MIRINO
 15 LAWRENCE OXMAN
 16
 17
 18
 19
 20
 21
 22
 23
 24
 25

<p>1</p> <p>2 (Whereupon, this portion of the</p> <p>3 proceedings began at 3:00 p.m., after</p> <p>4 which the following transpired.)</p> <p>5 CHAIRWOMAN GALLAGHER: It is</p> <p>6 3:00.</p> <p>7 We are going to get started with</p> <p>8 the continued public hearing.</p> <p>9 Folks here are still in the</p> <p>10 process of unmuting Commission</p> <p>11 members.</p> <p>12 So this afternoon, pursuant to</p> <p>13 New York State Environmental</p> <p>14 Conservation Law, notice is hereby</p> <p>15 given that the Central Pine Barrens</p> <p>16 Joint Planning and Policy and</p> <p>17 Commission will continue the public</p> <p>18 hearing on August 19th, 2020, on the</p> <p>19 following application:</p> <p>20 The Lewis Road Planned</p> <p>21 Residential Development Assertion of</p> <p>22 Jurisdiction Application.</p> <p>23 And we will be hearing from</p> <p>24 Julie Hargrave, first, the</p> <p>25 Staff Report followed by the</p>	<p>1</p> <p>2 MR. MILAZZO: (Interjecting)</p> <p>3 Julie, just so you know, this public</p> <p>4 hearing -- although it's handled via</p> <p>5 Zoom -- it's being transcribed by our</p> <p>6 stenographer, who is on the screen.</p> <p>7 So I encourage everyone to speak</p> <p>8 slowly. Stenographers usually read</p> <p>9 lips -- so that is not going to work</p> <p>10 well today because those of us who are</p> <p>11 wearing masks, it will be impossible</p> <p>12 -- and if you are giving comment and</p> <p>13 you are not a member of this staff or</p> <p>14 a member of this Commission, please</p> <p>15 announce who you are and spell your</p> <p>16 first and last name, your</p> <p>17 organization -- if you are</p> <p>18 representing one -- and your address,</p> <p>19 so that we can have an accurate record</p> <p>20 of today of the participants in this</p> <p>21 hearing.</p> <p>22 So, again, I stress to everyone</p> <p>23 to speak slowly. There is a time</p> <p>24 limit that will be enforced by the</p> <p>25 Chair, so balance your speed so that</p>
<p>6</p>	<p>8</p>

<p>1</p> <p>2 minds. It's probably going to be 45</p> <p>3 minutes or so before we get to them</p> <p>4 speaking.</p> <p>5 MR. SCHNEIDERMAN: Thank you so</p> <p>6 much, Carrie.</p> <p>7 MR. MILAZZO: And members of the</p> <p>8 public, this is a public hearing.</p> <p>9 This is your opportunity to be heard</p> <p>10 on this project. This does not</p> <p>11 necessarily mean that it is a dialogue</p> <p>12 between any member of the Commission</p> <p>13 or its staff and you. This is your</p> <p>14 opportunity to provide your thoughts</p> <p>15 on this project. They are encouraged,</p> <p>16 they are welcomed. They can be</p> <p>17 submitted via orally or you can</p> <p>18 provide them in a written form. But</p> <p>19 it does not necessarily entitle you to</p> <p>20 start a dialogue with Commission</p> <p>21 members or staff.</p> <p>22 Thank you.</p> <p>23 CHAIRWOMAN GALLAGHER: Thank</p> <p>24 you, John.</p> <p>25 MS. HARGRAVE: Thank you. Good</p>	<p>9</p> <p>1</p> <p>2 water supply purposes.</p> <p>3 It also shifted development</p> <p>4 further southward to provide a larger</p> <p>5 block of unfragmented open space and</p> <p>6 the northerly section of the Hills</p> <p>7 South property and to avoid steep</p> <p>8 slope as much as possible in the</p> <p>9 project.</p> <p>10 As an insertion of</p> <p>11 jurisdiction -- the application as</p> <p>12 Supervisor Schneiderman pointed out --</p> <p>13 it required to conform to the</p> <p>14 Standards and Guidelines outlined in</p> <p>15 the Comprehensive Land Use Plan.</p> <p>16 In this presentation, I will</p> <p>17 review the one Standard and 10</p> <p>18 Guidelines that need your particular</p> <p>19 attention, Commission, on the</p> <p>20 Comprehensive Land Use plan.</p> <p>21 And just as an overview again of</p> <p>22 the revised plan that was submitted,</p> <p>23 we will be reviewing Standards and</p> <p>24 Guidelines. The applicant will make a</p> <p>25 presentation, the Commission will hear</p>
<p>10</p> <p>1</p> <p>2 afternoon.</p> <p>3 So you have the -- the</p> <p>4 presentation is before you. I hope</p> <p>5 you can all see it on the shared</p> <p>6 screen. I'll just try to go through</p> <p>7 it as quickly as possible and also</p> <p>8 slowly so that it is understandable.</p> <p>9 So since the hearing on</p> <p>10 February 19th, the applicant has</p> <p>11 revised the master plan layout of the</p> <p>12 project. The applicant submitted</p> <p>13 revised materials on June 3rd and</p> <p>14 July 1st, showing changes to the</p> <p>15 master plan layout, and provided</p> <p>16 responses to the Staff Report and the</p> <p>17 public comments from the February</p> <p>18 hearing.</p> <p>19 This morning, the applicant</p> <p>20 responded to the latest Staff Report</p> <p>21 summary released last Thursday.</p> <p>22 The revised master plan</p> <p>23 relocates the facilities including the</p> <p>24 sewage treatment plant and the four</p> <p>25 acres that are dedicated for public</p>	<p>12</p> <p>1</p> <p>2 public comments and there is a</p> <p>3 proposed five day written comment</p> <p>4 period -- that's five working days --</p> <p>5 until next Wednesday, August 26th.</p> <p>6 And the decision and Findings</p> <p>7 Statement are expected from the</p> <p>8 Commission on September 16th, 2020.</p> <p>9 The first Guideline, the nitrate</p> <p>10 and nitrogen goal, 5.3.3.1.3, this</p> <p>11 Guideline seeks to achieve the</p> <p>12 nitrate/nitrogen concentration of</p> <p>13 two and a half parts per million or</p> <p>14 less over the whole 608 acre project</p> <p>15 site.</p> <p>16 The applicant modeled the</p> <p>17 project in a simulation of nitrogen in</p> <p>18 recharge analysis. And the result is,</p> <p>19 the project did achieve less than .5</p> <p>20 parts per million, which is less than</p> <p>21 two and a half referenced in this</p> <p>22 Guideline.</p> <p>23 The staff comment thought</p> <p>24 clarity on the input on the model that</p> <p>25 would affect -- potentially affect the</p>

<p>1 2 outcome -- output. So in comparing 3 the analysis for this project to other 4 similar projects, questions arose on 5 the inputs and if they affected the 6 results for this project.</p> <p>7 The applicants response have 8 been that the input in question do not 9 affect the result and the inputs were 10 accounted for in other aspects of the 11 model where we identified this issue.</p> <p>12 So the question to the 13 Commission is, does the project 14 conforms to this Guideline?</p> <p>15 I'll continue to the rest of 16 this -- the Guideline, 5.3.3.5.2, 17 Natural Recharge and Drainage.</p> <p>18 This Guideline states the 19 natural recharge areas and drainage 20 systems designed to cause minimal 21 disturbance to native vegetation 22 should be employed.</p> <p>23 The drainage plan shows 24 development of 63 drainage reserve 25 areas distributed throughout the site</p>	<p>13</p> <p>1 2 aesthetic purposes, that is what the 3 Guideline says. There is 3.3 acres 4 that will be cleared to develop two 5 ponds that each are approximately 10 6 feet deep. The ponds will be used for 7 irrigation and aesthetic purposes. Is 8 this activity in conformance with the 9 Guidelines?</p> <p>10 Unfragmented Open Space, 11 5.3.3.6.2:</p> <p>12 The Standard states, subdivision 13 and site designs shall support 14 preservation of natural vegetation in 15 large unbroken blocks that allow 16 contiguous open spaces that establish 17 when adjacent parcels are developed.</p> <p>18 Subdivision and site designs 19 should also be configured in such a 20 way so as to prioritize the 21 preservation of native pine barrens 22 vegetation to the maximum extent 23 practicable.</p> <p>24 There are two main areas of open 25 space provided in this project. One</p>
<p>14</p> <p>1 2 that requires approximately 11 acres 3 of clearing. The drainage reserve 4 areas are proposed in lieu of large 5 recharge -- centralized recharge 6 basins.</p> <p>7 Drainage areas must be included 8 in the clearing limit, and from my 9 understanding they are.</p> <p>10 The Drainage System Design and 11 Storm Water Management Plan is still 12 pending at the Town level. It is not 13 approved yet. It is currently under 14 review by the Town engineer.</p> <p>15 The applicant provided a copy of 16 the Town's checklist with some 17 comments from the Town's engineers 18 that the applicant and the Town are 19 presumably still working through.</p> <p>20 So this needs to be determined 21 if the drainage design conforms to the 22 Guideline in the plan.</p> <p>23 The Guideline 5.3.3.5.3: The 24 ponds should be created for storm 25 water runoff and not solely for</p>	<p>16</p> <p>1 2 area is approximately 233 acres of 3 private open space in the Hills South 4 and Kracke. Roughly a hundred acres 5 of this area is in an unfragmented 6 pattern in the northerly section of 7 the Hills South.</p> <p>8 The remaining area in the 9 private open space consists of buffers 10 and corridors of vegetation between 11 the developed uses.</p> <p>12 203 acres of separate dedicated 13 open space will remain in this project 14 to the Hills North and Parlato. And 15 the question is to the Commission is, 16 does this project match the 17 unfragmented open space to conform to 18 the standard?</p> <p>19 5.3.3.8.1, Clearing Envelopes: 20 This Guideline states that the 21 project should maximize the placement 22 of clearing envelopes in areas with 23 less than 10 percent grade.</p> <p>24 There are 144 acres of clearing 25 on slopes less than 10 percent grade.</p>

<p>1</p> <p>2 There is 17.31 acres of clearing on</p> <p>3 slopes greater than 10 percent grade.</p> <p>4 Does this project conform to</p> <p>5 this guideline?</p> <p>6 Guideline 5.3.3.8.4 Erosions and</p> <p>7 Sediment Control Plan:</p> <p>8 Erosions and Sediment Control</p> <p>9 Plans are required where the project</p> <p>10 impacts slopes great than 15 percent</p> <p>11 grade. The project developed 6.23</p> <p>12 acres on slopes greater than 15</p> <p>13 percent grade.</p> <p>14 The applicant must submit</p> <p>15 Erosion and Sediment Control Plans</p> <p>16 where the project impacts slopes</p> <p>17 greater than 15 percent in accordance</p> <p>18 with this Guideline.</p> <p>19 The Town is currently reviewing</p> <p>20 the Storm Water Pollution Prevention</p> <p>21 Plan and that will potentially satisfy</p> <p>22 the requirement to submit these</p> <p>23 Erosion Control Plan, but we don't</p> <p>24 have one yet.</p> <p>25 The question is, does the</p>	<p>17</p> <p>1</p> <p>2 The Guideline states development</p> <p>3 proposal should note established</p> <p>4 recreational trails and trail</p> <p>5 corridors that the development</p> <p>6 proposal may be approved, disapproved</p> <p>7 or altered if the local municipality</p> <p>8 determines that the development</p> <p>9 proposal in its current form may have</p> <p>10 a significant negative impact on the</p> <p>11 resources identified in this</p> <p>12 Guideline.</p> <p>13 The Town's Findings Statement</p> <p>14 determined the project would not</p> <p>15 significantly impact the cultural</p> <p>16 resources identified in this</p> <p>17 Guideline.</p> <p>18 Does the project conform?</p> <p>19 11.3 Protection of Scenic and</p> <p>20 Recreational Resources:</p> <p>21 This Guideline states protection</p> <p>22 measures for scenic and recreational</p> <p>23 resources should include retention of</p> <p>24 visually shielding natural buffers and</p> <p>25 the use of pines, therein keeping with</p>
<p>18</p> <p>1</p> <p>2 project conform to this Guideline?</p> <p>3 5.3.3.8.5 Placement of Roadways:</p> <p>4 Again, there are 144 acres of</p> <p>5 development on slopes less than 10</p> <p>6 percent grade. 17.30 acres of</p> <p>7 development occurs on slopes greater</p> <p>8 than 10 percent grade.</p> <p>9 Does the project conform to this</p> <p>10 Guideline?</p> <p>11 5.3.3.11.1 -- the next four</p> <p>12 Guidelines have to do with cultural</p> <p>13 resources protection and</p> <p>14 consideration.</p> <p>15 The Guideline states development</p> <p>16 proposal should account for protection</p> <p>17 measures for established recreational</p> <p>18 trails and trail corridors.</p> <p>19 The project is adjacent to</p> <p>20 public land with recreational trails</p> <p>21 and trail corridors. The narrowest</p> <p>22 natural buffer is 32 feet wide to that</p> <p>23 adjacent open space.</p> <p>24 Does the project conform?</p> <p>25 5.3.3.11.2:</p>	<p>20</p> <p>1</p> <p>2 the community character.</p> <p>3 The project will reduce the</p> <p>4 existing natural visual buffers onsite</p> <p>5 from the current condition. And it is</p> <p>6 likely to be visible from adjacent</p> <p>7 public land with the buffer at a</p> <p>8 minimum of 32 feet.</p> <p>9 Does the project conform?</p> <p>10 The guideline 5.3.3.11.4</p> <p>11 Roadside Design and Management:</p> <p>12 Undisturbed portions of the</p> <p>13 roadside should be maintained in the</p> <p>14 manner that protects the scenic</p> <p>15 features of these areas.</p> <p>16 And the question is, does the</p> <p>17 project conform?</p> <p>18 The remaining questions that we</p> <p>19 had in the Staff Report were again</p> <p>20 clarified by the applicant in their</p> <p>21 latest submission on the nitrogen and</p> <p>22 recharge model input.</p> <p>23 And they also confirmed that the</p> <p>24 Timperman property 11 acres -- 12</p> <p>25 acres was acquired by the applicant</p>

1 and they did clarify the development
 2 area that there is 171 acres
 3 approximately that will be cleared --
 4 meets the clearing limit standard in
 5 the plan. And there are other areas
 6 within and around that clearing that
 7 they have defined as a development
 8 area and there's no additional
 9 mitigation that has been identified
 10 other than the modifications that they
 11 have made to achieve conformance.

13 Again, the deadline is
 14 September 16th. The proposed written
 15 comment period to next Wednesday and
 16 the video -- some people have asked
 17 about the video being posted and
 18 obviously a transcript will be
 19 prepared. When it's received, it will
 20 be posted as well.

21 Does the Commission have any
 22 comments or questions?

23 CHAIRWOMAN GALLAGHER: We can go
 24 to hearing from the applicant and then
 25 open it up to comments and questions

1 limitations COVID has placed on such
 2 hearings.

4 As the Commission is aware, the
 5 Lewis Road PRD application was
 6 formally submitted to the Commission
 7 on December 23rd, 2019. That
 8 submission followed extensive review
 9 under SEQRA, including the preparation
 10 of the Draft Environmental Impact
 11 Statement. The final Environmental
 12 Impact Statement and positive SEQRA
 13 Findings Statement by both the Town
 14 Board and the Town Planning Board.

15 The public hearing on this
 16 application was scheduled to commence
 17 on February 19th. It was adjourned on
 18 consent to allow written submissions
 19 by all parties, including a response
 20 by the applicant to comments raised at
 21 the hearing and to the Staff Report
 22 that was delivered on that date. Due
 23 to limitations imposed by COVID, the
 24 public hearing and the action deadline
 25 have been adjourned on consent until

1 from the Commission members.

3 MR. VOORHIS: Sure. Wayne Bruyn
 4 is going to start. He's going to
 5 speak for a couple of minutes and I'll
 6 provide a more complete presentation.

7 Thank you. And good afternoon
 8 everyone.

9 MR. BRUYN: Good afternoon,
 10 Commission members.

11 For the record, my name is Wayne
 12 Bruyn, W-A-Y-N-E B-R-U-Y-N.

13 I am from the firm of O'Shea,
 14 Marcincuk and Bruyn. 250 North Sea
 15 Road, Southampton, New York.

16 I'm appearing today on behalf of
 17 DLV Quogue, LLC, in connection with
 18 the application entitled
 19 Lewis Road PRD.

20 First, I would like to thank the
 21 Commission for hosting the
 22 continuation of this public hearing
 23 via Zoom.

24 Everyone has been very patient
 25 and understanding as to the

1 today.

3 Since that adjournment of the
 4 hearing in February, the applicant in
 5 consultation with the Town Environment
 6 Division and Commission staff studied
 7 and addressed the comments which
 8 resulted in a revision to the layout
 9 of the subdivision to provide greater
 10 conformance with the Compatible Growth
 11 Standards and Guidelines.

12 These revisions do not
 13 materially change the project and only
 14 further enhanced the mitigation in the
 15 Environmental Impact Statement -- the
 16 Environmental Impacts.

17 The revisions to the subdivision
 18 layout and the applicant's response to
 19 the comments were submitted in June
 20 and further response as to the
 21 questions and comments were submitted
 22 recently.

23 As noted, Chick Voorhis of
 24 Nelson, Pope and Voorhis, will present
 25 the revised layout and summarize the

<p>1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25</p> <p>applicant's response to comments and the applicant's performance to the Compatible Growth Standards and Guidelines.</p> <p>As a reminder, the Commission is an involved agency under SEQRA, like the Town Board and the Planning Board, they must prepare a SEQRA Findings Statement prior to taking action on the application. The questions previously raised concerning the Commission's role in the SEQRA process are addressed in the applicant's written responses.</p> <p>I would like to remind the Commission that the Lewis Road PRD Subdivision application is no longer a Planned Development District or a PDD application, where offsite public benefits were allowed to be considered by the Town Board. As you are aware, the Town Board's chose not to exercise the PDD option which would have allowed the golf club to open its</p>	<p>25</p> <p>1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25</p> <p>Thank you.</p> <p>MR. VOORHIS: Thank you, Wayne. Good afternoon, everyone. It's Chick Voorhis of Nelson, Pope, Voorhis. Offices at 572 Walt Whitman Road in Melville.</p> <p>You all know me pretty well. I am a certified planner and a certified environmental professional with 42 years of experience.</p> <p>I have been involved with this particular site for about 15 years and have processed many applications with the Central Pine Barrens Commission, including the DRS projects.</p> <p>I did present the primary application at the February 19th hearing of the Pine Barrens Commission.</p> <p>Since that time, as Wayne indicated, the project has only been improved and only become more consistent with the Comprehensive Land Use Plan Guidelines and Standards.</p>
<p>26</p> <p>1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25</p> <p>membership to the general public. And as a result, gave up the right to exact commitment offsite public benefits.</p> <p>Nonetheless, as demonstrated in the adopted SEQRA documents in the applicant's submissions, the Lewis Road PRD incorporates mitigation measures that minimize potential impacts to the environment to the maximum extent practical and conforms to the Compatible Growth Standards and Guidelines.</p> <p>I understand that the Commission may have further questions, and we have several members of our team available to address them. We also understand that all parties will be afforded to provide further written submissions.</p> <p>Without further ado, I asked Chick Voorhis now to address the Commission and provide you with an outline of the revisions.</p>	<p>28</p> <p>1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25</p> <p>You have received updated plans, as Wayne indicated. All of these plans were completed in coordination with the Town of Southampton and Environmental staff. And those changes were described -- I'll just say briefly -- that this included shifting the residential units and golf outside of steep sloped areas. Located to the Suffolk County Water Authority four acre dedication for further well fields -- exactly where the Water Authority asked it to be -- and they actually provided plans for that.</p> <p>The sewage treatment plant south and downgrading it to the Spinney Road well field and also having the farm fields to the northwest upgrading it to the sewage treatment plant.</p> <p>We also consolidated development to achieve unfragmented open space. The changes were all environmentally beneficial and were all consistent</p>

<p>1 2 with the SEQRA review and findings 3 adopted by the Town Planning Board 4 when they approved the preliminary 5 subdivision map.</p> <p>6 Beneficial changes that don't 7 cause environmental impacts are 8 encouraged through SEQRA. And as a 9 result, this Commission's excellent 10 position to adopt your own statement 11 of findings in support of the 12 application.</p> <p>13 I was very pleased when I saw 14 the Staff Report that was prepared for 15 this meeting. In my review of it, I 16 believe that the report confirms that 17 if the project is reviewed objectively 18 and is based on facts, the record 19 that's been presented conforms with 20 the Standards and Guidelines and 21 should be approved.</p> <p>22 The best way to demonstrate this 23 is to review the Draft Staff Report, 24 and specifically the sections dealing 25 with the review of the Standards and</p>	<p>29</p> <p>1 2 of the model. 3 Basically, the Sonir model has 4 the option to determine nitrogen load 5 based on waste water flow and 6 concentration to determine the load, 7 or by population in number of units, 8 depending on the type of project. 9 Because the proposed project will 10 process waste water through the STP, 11 the appropriate input is based on 12 waste water flow and concentration. 13 That is exactly what was done. And 14 that's why the population in number of 15 units was correctly entered as zero.</p> <p>16 The second one that Julie 17 mentioned was Guideline 5.3.3.5.2, 18 having to do with natural recharge and 19 drainage. Indicating that the 20 Commission must determine if we 21 conform. The Guideline indicates that 22 minimum disturbance of native 23 vegetation should be employed where 24 practical -- or where practical in 25 lieu of recharge basins or ponds that</p>
<p>30</p> <p>1 2 Guidelines.</p> <p>3 As was indicated, I submitted a 4 letter this morning that provides that 5 very detailed analysis and it 6 addresses all of the outstanding 7 questions.</p> <p>8 Julie Hargrave identified the 9 primary Standards and Guidelines for 10 the Commission to focus on. And I'm 11 really just going to go through those 12 particular items because all of the 13 Guidelines were found to either 14 conform or to easily conform once 15 certain approvals are obtained.</p> <p>16 Also, you have my full written 17 statement that goes through all of the 18 Standards and Guidelines.</p> <p>19 The first one had to do with the 20 Sonir analysis and the 21 nitrate/nitrogen goal and an 22 explanation of one of the inputs.</p> <p>23 As Julie indicated, any -- first 24 of all, it's accurate. Second, there 25 is no effect on the inputs or outputs</p>	<p>32</p> <p>1 2 would require removal of significant 3 native vegetation.</p> <p>4 Significant supporting 5 information has been provided to 6 demonstrate the project involves 7 minimal disturbance of natural 8 vegetation, and that it is not 9 practical to provide storm water 10 containment other than what is 11 proposed.</p> <p>12 The project discharges storm 13 water throughout the site in drainage 14 reserve areas, and thus replicates 15 natural conditions as much as we can 16 in a development.</p> <p>17 No evidence of nonconformance is 18 provided in the Draft Staff Report and 19 the Commission may rely on our 20 submission which finds that the 21 project conforms.</p> <p>22 In terms of ponds, which 5.3, 23 the Guideline indicates that ponds 24 should only be created if they are to 25 accommodate storm water runoff, not</p>

<p>1</p> <p>2 solely for aesthetic purposes. That's</p> <p>3 the exact language.</p> <p>4 It is clear on drainage plans</p> <p>5 that the ponds are not solely for</p> <p>6 aesthetic purposes and are intended to</p> <p>7 accommodate storm water runoff.</p> <p>8 As a result, the project is</p> <p>9 consistent. There is no other</p> <p>10 conclusion that can be reached.</p> <p>11 The next one that was identified</p> <p>12 was unfragmented open space. That</p> <p>13 Standard states that the project</p> <p>14 should preserve natural vegetation in</p> <p>15 large blocks or contiguous open space</p> <p>16 with adjacent parcels and prioritize</p> <p>17 native Pine Barrens preservation for</p> <p>18 the maximum extent practical.</p> <p>19 The applicant has taken</p> <p>20 extraordinary measures to ensure that</p> <p>21 the design conforms with this</p> <p>22 Standard.</p> <p>23 This includes the purchase of</p> <p>24 the 120 acre Parlato property east of</p> <p>25 the main development area, offering</p>	<p>33</p> <p>1</p> <p>2 (inaudible)that the project conforms</p> <p>3 with this standard.</p> <p>4 Clearing envelopes were</p> <p>5 identified -- that is 8.1. This</p> <p>6 Guideline states that the project</p> <p>7 should maximize placement of clearing</p> <p>8 envelopes in areas with less than 10</p> <p>9 percent slope. This application</p> <p>10 record literally moves that the design</p> <p>11 achieves this Guideline.</p> <p>12 The Draft Staff Report confirms</p> <p>13 this with a statement on page nine,</p> <p>14 which indicates:</p> <p>15 Therefore, as a percentage of</p> <p>16 the overall development area, the</p> <p>17 applicant has maximized the placement</p> <p>18 of the clearing on slopes less than 10</p> <p>19 percent grade in conformance with this</p> <p>20 Guideline.</p> <p>21 That's directly from the Staff</p> <p>22 Report.</p> <p>23 As a result, you have a basis on</p> <p>24 consistency with the Standard.</p> <p>25 The next one is Erosion and</p>
<p>34</p> <p>1</p> <p>2 that for dedication along with the 87</p> <p>3 acres north of Sunrise Highway, as</p> <p>4 well as the core preservation area</p> <p>5 south of Sunrise Highway --</p> <p>6 (inaudible)but we have extended our</p> <p>7 development area such that 2500 feet</p> <p>8 minimum exists in natural open space</p> <p>9 between Sunrise Highway and the</p> <p>10 development.</p> <p>11 The Town of Southampton is</p> <p>12 consistent in this design to ensure</p> <p>13 that the property preserves open space</p> <p>14 in large unbroken blocks that offer</p> <p>15 offsite space to ensure conformance</p> <p>16 with this Standard.</p> <p>17 As noted in the Draft Staff</p> <p>18 Report, the project has 436.52 acres</p> <p>19 of naturally vegetated open space.</p> <p>20 The record provides extensive</p> <p>21 documentation to provide consistency</p> <p>22 with the Standard, and no contrary</p> <p>23 information is provided in the Draft</p> <p>24 Staff Report.</p> <p>25 As a result, no conclusion can</p>	<p>36</p> <p>1</p> <p>2 Sediment Control. The comment in the</p> <p>3 Staff Report is that the project does</p> <p>4 not conform to the Guideline because</p> <p>5 of an Erosion and Sediment Control</p> <p>6 Plan has not be submitted to the</p> <p>7 Commission.</p> <p>8 That's not what the Guideline</p> <p>9 says. The Guideline reads:</p> <p>10 Erosion and Sediment Control</p> <p>11 Plan should be required in areas of</p> <p>12 15 percent slopes or greater.</p> <p>13 The guideline does not indicate</p> <p>14 when this should occur. It is that</p> <p>15 (inaudible) that requires and reviews</p> <p>16 erosion control. And has in fact</p> <p>17 required this to the Commission, three</p> <p>18 of the subdivision approval which</p> <p>19 states that project will conform with</p> <p>20 (inaudible) or general permit</p> <p>21 requirements and the Erosion and</p> <p>22 Sediment Control Plan as well as a</p> <p>23 SWPPP consistent with the Town's</p> <p>24 requirements.</p> <p>25 As a result, the project will</p>

1 clearly comply with this Guideline.
 2 Placement of roads. Guideline
 3 8.5, states that roads and driveways
 4 should be designed to minimize
 5 traversing of slopes 10 percent or
 6 greater to minimize cut and fills.
 7
 8 Objective review of the plan can
 9 only lead to the conclusion that the
 10 project has been designed in
 11 conformance with this Guideline. The
 12 project plan clearly demonstrates that
 13 almost none of the roads or driveways
 14 will be in areas of 10 percent slopes
 15 given the relocation of the
 16 developments south of the steeper
 17 slope areas. Therefore, you have a
 18 sound basis to determine conformance.
 19 Cultural resources is 11.1.
 20 This Guideline refers to established
 21 recreational and educational trails
 22 and trail corridor.
 23 The Draft Staff Report makes
 24 note of existing hiking trails on the
 25 public land to the east. The context

1
 2 the Lewis Road PRD, which is this town
 3 dumpsite. It has been able to regrow,
 4 but has been impacted by this access.
 5 Now, this Town land has the
 6 potential for future trail
 7 connections, but realistically the
 8 land is not easily accessed and does
 9 not appear to be used for hiking.
 10 With the understanding of the
 11 historic context of the dumpsite and
 12 recent impacts of the unauthorized
 13 use, this land cannot be considered an
 14 established recreational or
 15 educational trail, which is what the
 16 Standard says.
 17 As demonstrated in the EIS
 18 record and other submissions to the
 19 Commission, the development area of
 20 Lewis Road are set back from roads in
 21 public places and are not visually
 22 significant.
 23 We did note that in the Staff
 24 Report, the applicant does commit to
 25 staking of clearing and grading

1 of the Town land should be understood.
 2 Based on review of historical
 3 aerial photographs, found that the
 4 area to the east identified as a town
 5 dumpsite was a leaf and debris
 6 clearing dumping area. This public
 7 area was active anywhere from before
 8 1962 to after 1984. The dump was
 9 accessed through Serenity Place which
 10 is an existing tap road that runs
 11 through the Louis Lewis Road property.
 12 Serenity Place has remained an active
 13 access for dirt bikes and ATVs until
 14 just a few months ago. I walked the
 15 site two days ago, and you would be
 16 amazed at the restoration effort that
 17 was successful now that the some of
 18 the illegal and unauthorized
 19 trespassing has stopped.
 20 What the applicant has done is
 21 basically fenced areas and placed
 22 natural (inaudible) along access roads
 23 that were previously used to access
 24 Lewis Road and the site to the east of

1 limits. Therefore, the project
 2 clearly complies.
 3
 4 The 11.2, Cultural Resources:
 5 That indicates that there are no
 6 active recreational sites, historic
 7 sites or archeological sites on or
 8 near the project. And further finds
 9 that the project conforms with the
 10 Guideline in bullets one and two on
 11 page 13 of the Draft Staff Report.
 12 But what three indicates,
 13 Sunrise Highway is the only culturally
 14 significant road and there is no
 15 disturbance on the site within 2500
 16 feet of Sunrise Highway as per the
 17 prior plan, which I'll back up.
 18 So what that means is, does that
 19 project one, impact community
 20 character or visual character of
 21 East Quogue. As a result, the Staff
 22 Report itself provides information
 23 needed to determine consistency with
 24 this Guideline.
 25 11.3 is Protection of scenic and

1
2 Most importantly, we have
3 demonstrated that the proposed project
4 conforms to the Standards and
5 Guidelines of the Pine Barrens
6 planning.

7 As a result, the plan should be
8 approved.

9 Thank you for the opportunity to
10 provide these remarks, and I
11 appreciate your attention this
12 afternoon.

13 CHAIRWOMAN GALLAGHER: Thank
14 you, Chick.

15 At this time, I would like to
16 ask that if any of the Commission
17 members who have questions or comments
18 have an opportunity to speak, and
19 there are other members of the
20 Discovery Land team available to
21 answer questions.

22 So, Jay, as the project is in
23 your town, did you want to say
24 anything or have any questions or
25 comments for us?

1
2 It also gives load. The objective is
3 to get pounds of nitrogen annually
4 because this waste water treated,
5 effluent, we used waste water flow
6 times the concentration to determine
7 the load. It's a very similar method,
8 but it's different between waste water
9 treated projects and projects that are
10 just based on density as a residential
11 development.

12 (WHEREUPON, there was inaudible,
13 indecipherable cross-talk among the
14 parties present.)

15 MR. DALE: Sorry to interrupt,
16 Jay.

17 I believe you may have misspoke,
18 when you said 10 pounds per day, I
19 think you meant 10 pounds per year.

20 MR. VOORHIS: Ten pounds per
21 person, per year.

22 Thank you, Dorian. If that
23 slipped out --

24 (WHEREUPON, there was inaudible,
25 indecipherable cross-talk among the

1
2 MR. SCHNEIDERMAN: I'm pretty
3 familiar with this project, having
4 reviewed it quite a bit. I don't
5 think I have any questions at this
6 point. I think that any questions I
7 had were addressed so far.

8 I want to look carefully at the
9 Staff Report. In terms of the
10 nitrogen calculations, maybe a little
11 clarification there.

12 On the model that you referred
13 to -- so one aspect of the model had
14 to do with conventional sanitary
15 systems versus sewage treatment; is
16 that correct? So you used the model
17 that facts that sewage treatment.

18 MR. VOORHIS: Yes. That's
19 correct, Jay. Without getting to
20 technical, nitrogen load can be
21 determined by 10 pounds per person,
22 per day, which is mostly for
23 residential subdivision type projects;
24 or it can be determined by the waste
25 water flow times the concentration.

1
2 parties present.)

3 MR. SCHNEIDERMAN: Thank you for
4 that clarification.

5 So did the staff have any
6 questions about the assumptions you
7 made in your model because you had
8 calculated a lower flow -- a lower
9 nitrogen input total than they had; is
10 that correct?

11 MR. VOORHIS: Not to my
12 knowledge. I don't believe the
13 Pine Barrens staff had done their own
14 independent calculation. I can tell
15 you that the methods that were used
16 were the same as when we had the Town
17 Board review the PDD. And that was
18 checked extensively by

19 Dr. Marvin Covick(phnetic)as well as
20 the staff and other consultants from
21 the Town. It was further reviewed and
22 validated by the Planning Board. And
23 all we have done, is used that same
24 model to update it based on the
25 revisions to the plan. So it has been

1
2 consistent throughout and has been
3 validated.

4 MR. SCHNEIDERMAN: So your
5 numbers are significantly below the
6 number in the required -- the
7 requirement in the Standard?

8 MR. VOORHIS: Yes, it's
9 substantially less. It's actually in
10 the range of .24 milligrams per liter
11 when the Standard -- or the Guideline
12 in this case -- is 2.5 milligrams per
13 liter. I will point out, that it only
14 pertains to projects in proximity to
15 ponds and wetland, which is not the
16 case. All of that is on our
17 documentation and on all of the model
18 assumptions. Inputs and outputs are
19 also in the documentation that we
20 presented to the Commission.

21 MR. SCHNEIDERMAN: So, Chick,
22 was the only -- the Staff Report was
23 the only place that the question
24 whether the Standards were met that
25 pertained to the erosion control or

1
2 concluding that we are consistent.
3 MR. SCHNEIDERMAN: Thank you,
4 Chick.

5 CHAIRWOMAN GALLAGHER: I think
6 Julie wanted to clarify your earlier
7 question about staff. Did staff have
8 a difference of opinion about the
9 model --

10 MR. SCHNEIDERMAN: (Interjecting)
11 I think I misspoke meaning the
12 Standard -- go ahead Julie.

13 MS. HARGRAVE: I just wanted to
14 clarify that we were reviewing and
15 comparing this project, which has a
16 sewage treatment plant, in comparison
17 to another project that the same
18 applicant, Mr. Voorhis was a
19 consultant on another project with a
20 sewage treatment plant, and that is
21 where we saw some discrepancies or a
22 different input in the model. But
23 obviously, Mr. Voorhis has explained
24 the differences, but they were two
25 projects with sewage treatment plants

1
2 the sediment control on the project
3 which you say will be later addressed;
4 is correct? Everywhere else, the
5 Standards were met?

6 MR. VOORHIS: The ones that
7 Julie identified that I addressed in
8 more detail are all ones that the
9 staff said the Commission would need
10 to determine if it is in conformance.
11 Staff really has information that is
12 useful. There were no contrary views
13 or opinions to what we submitted. And
14 my testimony today, as well as the
15 document that we submitted this
16 morning, goes through and clarifies
17 each of those items that were
18 identified for the Commission to
19 determine conformance. So it's not
20 just that one or two with sediment and
21 erosion control, I believe my response
22 is 14 pages. It's not overly
23 burdensome to read. And it does
24 provide all of the backgrounds and
25 factors and facts to support

1
2 -- actually there were three, I think.
3 That's why it came up. We weren't
4 comparing apples to oranges where
5 there were septic -- onsite septic and
6 sewage treatment. It was sewage
7 treatment -- sewage treatment and the
8 inputs were different. But the
9 different projects in every project
10 has its own --

11 MR. SCHNEIDERMAN: (Interjecting)
12 is staff disputing the number or the
13 determination that the applicant made
14 regarding the nitrogen loading at this
15 site?

16 MS. HARGRAVE: No. We had
17 questions on the inputs because as the
18 applicant has supplied directions
19 about the model and they clearly
20 explained that when you change an
21 input it can affect the results, and
22 that's where our question came from.
23 That the inputs were very different
24 than the other projects. But this is
25 a different type of project than the

1 project we had compared it to.
 2 So we wanted clarification on
 3 the different inputs and how -- if
 4 they affected the results, and they
 5 explained that it does not and that's
 6 been accommodated in other parts of
 7 the model.

8
 9 MR. SCHNEIDERMAN: So your
 10 satisfied with that explanation?

11 (WHEREUPON, there was inaudible,
 12 indecipherable cross-talk among the
 13 parties present.)

14 MR. VOORHIS: Just quickly add
 15 that the evolutionary -- and since
 16 some of the those projects that Julie
 17 referred to were reviewed by the
 18 Commission, the Long Island Action
 19 Nitrogen Plan has come out.
 20 Significant information is provided
 21 through the Town and their experts and
 22 consultants. And so the model is not
 23 completely static. It is updated to
 24 account for new information and there
 25 were a number of areas regarding the

1 turn mean that when you put
 2 assumptions in, you were not dealing
 3 with 10 pounds per year, per person,
 4 but either two and a half pounds per
 5 person or five and half pounds per
 6 person? So you can take it from
 7 there.

8
 9 MR. VOORHIS: Sure. Thank you,
 10 Dorian.

11 First of all, the Sonir model is
 12 very similar to any mass done model.
 13 It is comparable to the
 14 Burbs(phnetic) model. I devised the
 15 projects specifically like this
 16 because Burbs(phnetic) is very
 17 difficult to customize for different
 18 application rates of fertilizer on
 19 golf courses and can double all of the
 20 various nitrogen inputs. But it is
 21 essentially similar to those types of
 22 analyses. It is consistent with the
 23 type of modeling the Pine Barrens
 24 Commission has looked for in the past
 25 and is dually indicated that similar

1 atmospheric deposition, pet waste that
 2 were updated through the (inaudible)
 3 the assumptions the model is fully up
 4 to date with that and was validated by
 5 numerous consultants by this process.

6
 7 MR. DALE: Chick, just a couple
 8 of points of clarification. I noted
 9 that Sonir is a modeling that you --
 10 that's proprietary to your outfit, you
 11 devised it. And I wasn't able to
 12 discern in my asking about what
 13 modeling might be comparable to that
 14 is an accepted standard elsewhere.
 15 Perhaps you can share that.

16 But also -- just to go a little
 17 bit into the weeds -- I know you loath
 18 to do that because it gets over
 19 complicated.

20 I was just curious as to the
 21 given the occupancy of the respective
 22 units being limited to half a year,
 23 and typically expecting only a quarter
 24 of the year for those occupants to be
 25 actually residing there. Does that in

1 modeling was used for other projects.
 2

3 With respect to the seasonal
 4 occupancy, we didn't adjust the waste
 5 water flow based on seasonal
 6 occupancy. We have demographic
 7 studies in the DIS record that
 8 demonstrate that these particular
 9 recreational resort communities are
 10 not occupied year round. We have
 11 offered covenants and restrictions to
 12 the Town; no residents can reside
 13 onsite with children in the school
 14 district. These are a number of the
 15 things that were important and allowed
 16 us to conform with the East Quogue
 17 Land Use Plan and GEIS and why
 18 Discovery Land Company looked at this
 19 property and looked at these
 20 perimeters that were outlined in the
 21 Town study.

22 So the project comes with those
 23 benefits; not heavy utilization,
 24 seasonal occupancy. Discovery Land
 25 has a membership model where

1 individuals own multiple properties,
2 and they don't have any purpose or
3 need to be there on an extended basis.
4 It is part of their business model.

5 That is all explained in the
6 documentation that we provided and
7 those appropriated adjustments are in
8 there.

9 MR. ROMAINE: I want to make a
10 comment on that.

11 MR. DALE: Wait one second, Ed.
12 Chick, would the average
13 occupant be -- in estimating the
14 modeling -- would be a two and a half
15 pounds, five pounds or four pounds;
16 what is it?

17 MR. VOORHIS: It was based on a
18 percentage of the occupancy in terms
19 of the number of days per year over
20 365 days.

21 I can get you the exact -- it
22 will take me a second, but I can get
23 you the exact breakdown of that.

24 MR. DALE: Okay. I can wait.

1 MS. HARGRAVE: Chick, did you
2 find the numbers that you were looking
3 for?

4 MR. VOORHIS: I am just about
5 there.

6 CHAIRMAN GALLAGHER: Is there
7 any other members of the Commission
8 that want to comment at this time?

9 I just note that we do have 82
10 attendees, so obviously I want to let
11 the Commission members make their
12 comments and ask questions, but I
13 would also like to allow for plenty of
14 time for the public to make their
15 comments, since this is their last
16 opportunity to speak publically on
17 this matter.

18 MR. DALE: Thank you very much,
19 Carrie.

20 The final observation, you
21 received it offline. I'll check and
22 that has to do with our concern about
23 the proximity of the workforce housing
24 with the SEP. I just want to go on

1 And have Ed ask his question. Go
2 ahead Ed.

3 MR. ROMAINE: Not to be
4 argumentative, but just to make a
5 point. I'll leave it at that.

6 I understand that the model is
7 based on not full-time occupancy but
8 part-time occupancy? I'm sure we all
9 read the Newsday article. In 2017 and
10 2018 to 2019, in March of that year,
11 on average, 50 people moved from
12 New York City to Long Island. In
13 2020, in March of that year, 25,000
14 moved. And I know that Jay knows
15 this, what that has done to population
16 estimates. Particularly in
17 Southampton and East Hampton in terms
18 of people living -- not seasonally --
19 but full-time. And I'll just make
20 that comment because I think COVID has
21 some impact on whatever model people
22 design.

23 I'll just leave it at that.

24 Thank you.

1 record about that concern about staff
2 point from the County.

3 MR. VOORHIS: I can just make a
4 brief remark that we will be happy to
5 work with the Town during site plan
6 review to make sure the aesthetics and
7 the location is as beneficial as
8 possible. I did take a measurement,
9 and the workforce housing area is 450
10 feet north of the building of the
11 sewage treatment plant. As you know,
12 the setback to have all structures is
13 200 feet, but we are more than twice
14 the setback for habitable structures
15 to the sewage treatment plant.

16 We think there can be some
17 aesthetic improvements in terms of
18 landscaping, but the separation is
19 more than adequate and we believe that
20 the workforce housing is consistent
21 with what the Town is requested in
22 terms of what the type of housing and
23 where it's located generally.

24 But we would be happy to go

1 through that in more detail during the
2 site plan.

3 MR. DALE: I think, frankly, I
4 know the site plan things always look
5 closer and obviously the footage
6 siting seems to be more than adequate,
7 but there is, I think, the perception
8 from certainly an environmental
9 justice standpoint of how historically
10 middle and lower-middle and lower
11 income housing has been put into
12 proximity to sewage treatment plants
13 and power plants and so forth. So I
14 think that's part of the equation that
15 you probably have to factor in.

16 CHAIRWOMAN GALLAGHER: Any other
17 Commission members at this time who
18 would like to speak? If not, I'm
19 going to move us along to the public
20 comments.

21 MS. AGUIAR: Yevette Aguiar of
22 Riverhead.

23 Quick question. Who is the lead
24 agency in this project? I know at one

1 was jurisdiction over Plan Development
2 District, which was with respect to
3 golf club having a membership open to
4 the public versus the membership being
5 only available as an accessory to the
6 subdivision owners.

7 That being said, the lead agency
8 prepared an Environmental Impact
9 Statement and all other agencies are
10 involved agencies that must utilize
11 that final Environmental Impact
12 Statement as the basis of its
13 environmental review of the project.
14 Subsequent to the Town Board's action,
15 the Planning Board review recognized
16 that they were an involved agency.
17 They asked for information to address
18 the issue. They also determined that
19 no supplemental EIS was needed on part
20 of their review. And thus adopted a
21 Findings Statement.

22 Similarly here, this Commission
23 also has to review the Environmental
24 Impact Statement and all the

1 time I had read some documentation
2 that the Southampton Planning Board is
3 the lead agency. Does that still
4 hold?

5 MR. VOORHIS: That could be an
6 answer -- if you like Mr. Bruyn to
7 comment on that on behalf of the
8 applicant or if counsel to the
9 Commission -- whatever you prefer.

10 MS. AGUIAR: I think the counsel
11 would be more direct.

12 MR. BRUYN: So as the Commission
13 is aware, the Town Board coordinated
14 its review and the Commission allowed
15 the Town Board -- the Town of
16 Southampton be lead agency. And the
17 lead agency is responsible for the
18 preparation of the Environmental
19 Impact Statement, in which case it
20 occurred.

21 One of the elements of this
22 project has always been a subdivision.
23 The Town Board had no jurisdiction
24 over the subdivision. All they had

1 supplementary documents submitted
2 therewith and the application and
3 determine -- adopt its own Findings
4 Statement.

5 So the technical Town Board is
6 still the lead agency. They still
7 have jurisdiction over certain
8 matters. They don't have jurisdiction
9 over the PDD any further. There are
10 elements of the project that require
11 Town Board's acceptance such as
12 typical dedications of deeds,
13 easements and other improvements,
14 monitoring programs and the like.

15 So the Town Board is pretty much
16 still an active agency in this and
17 they remain the lead agency. So that
18 is all addressed in writing in the
19 response that the Commission was
20 provided in June.

21 MS. AGUIAR: I'm looking at a
22 document here dated
23 January 15th, 2020, and it's from the
24 Central Pine Barrens and it's

1
2 Commission members, any last
3 questions, comments, thoughts before I
4 move into the public comment portion
5 of the public hearing?

6 All right. Hearing none, we
7 will move onto the live public
8 comments section.

9 At this time, we will review
10 public verbal comments. If you
11 submitted a request to speak, we have
12 done our best to put everyone in order
13 in which their request was received.
14 Once your microphone has been unmuted
15 and your video enabled, you will be
16 invited to provide comments to five
17 minutes. I know John earlier said
18 three, but given that this is the
19 public's last chance to provide verbal
20 input, I wanted to give everyone the
21 chance for five minutes. You do not
22 need to take the entire five minutes,
23 but certainly if you need to, you have
24 that opportunity as previously
25 mentioned. When you introduce

1
2 We no longer can see you.
3 ASSEMBLYMAN ENGLEBRIGHT: Count
4 that as an advantage.

5 CHAIRWOMAN GALLAGHER: Now we
6 can see you.
7 ASSEMBLYMAN ENGLEBRIGHT: Good
8 afternoon distinguished members of the
9 Pine Barrens Commission and other
10 distinguished participants.

11 My name is Steven Englebright
12 and I live in Setauket in Brookhaven
13 Town, which is part of Long Island,
14 where I have the privilege of
15 representing the people of the Fourth
16 Assembly District.

17 I am an original cosponsor of
18 the Pine Barrens Protection Act and I
19 am currently -- as you pointed out --
20 Chair of the Environmental
21 Conservation Committee of the New York
22 State Assembly.

23 I will try to not be overly
24 repetitive. This is the, I believe,
25 the fourth time that I communicated to

1
2 yourself, please make sure that you
3 clearly state your first name, your
4 last name, spell your last name for
5 the stenographer. And if appropriate,
6 provide your affiliation. And I did
7 just want to mention that we are
8 joined by two of our State Assemblyman
9 today. Assemblyman Fred Thiele whose
10 project is proposed as well
11 Assemblyman Steven Englebright who is
12 the chair of the Environmental
13 Assembly Committee.

14 So I did want to give them the
15 opportunity to speak first before we
16 jump to the rest of the public
17 speakers.

18 Fred jumped off? Oh, I guess
19 Fred couldn't stay.

20 All right. So Steve --
21 Assemblyman Englebright, would you
22 like to speak now?

23 ASSEMBLYMAN ENGLEBRIGHT: Can
24 you hear me?

25 CHAIRWOMAN GALLAGHER: Yes.

1
2 you regarding this project. And I
3 don't think it's necessary to review
4 all of my previous objections, but I
5 do object to this project on several
6 levels.

7 I would like today to speak
8 primarily to some of the
9 considerations regarding the land use
10 issues relating to ecology. I have
11 some thoughts in that regard.

12 Specifically, the Land Use Plan
13 both the previous and current revised
14 master plan is in considerable
15 variance with the expectations of the
16 Pine Barrens Protection Act of
17 New York State.

18 Specifically, the Pine Barrens
19 Protection Act requires protection for
20 all of the characteristics of the
21 Pine Barrens that define this as a
22 unique and special area that was
23 worthy of the specific action of the
24 legislature to protect it and to
25 identify it as being very special.

<p>1</p> <p>2 That character includes water,</p> <p>3 air, land and, yes, ecology. The</p> <p>4 absence of meaningful clustering in</p> <p>5 this proposed plan is really a big</p> <p>6 problem. In deed, what we have --</p> <p>7 because of the golf course -- is a</p> <p>8 rather extravagant fragmentation of</p> <p>9 this large ownership in the</p> <p>10 Pine Barrens, and the fragmentation</p> <p>11 effect of spreading out the housing,</p> <p>12 almost indifference to the presence of</p> <p>13 the golf course, so that you have as</p> <p>14 many units of housing near different</p> <p>15 fairways as possible.</p> <p>16 It becomes the tail that wags</p> <p>17 the dog. The golf course becomes a</p> <p>18 primary controller of the land use</p> <p>19 that we see in the proposal.</p> <p>20 I should point out, that golf</p> <p>21 courses are very problematic in terms</p> <p>22 of water chemistry and the</p> <p>23 predictability of how chemicals are</p> <p>24 used. It's very difficult to monitor,</p> <p>25 very difficult to regulate the</p>	<p>73</p> <p>1</p> <p>2 Instead of contiguous and</p> <p>3 connected open space, what we would</p> <p>4 have instead is habitat fragmentation</p> <p>5 and the result of the loss of species.</p> <p>6 This is predicted by the Robert</p> <p>7 McArthur and Edward O. Wilson model of</p> <p>8 biodiversity, which is also sometimes</p> <p>9 referred to as the McArthur/Wilson</p> <p>10 principle of island biogeography</p> <p>11 equilibrium.</p> <p>12 This model, which is</p> <p>13 demonstrated both in marine as well as</p> <p>14 in terrestrial ecosystems situations</p> <p>15 basically concludes that the number of</p> <p>16 species that an island is able to</p> <p>17 carry is based upon the size of the</p> <p>18 island.</p> <p>19 So by fragmenting this presently</p> <p>20 pristine or largely vegetated -- not</p> <p>21 entirely -- but largely vegetated area</p> <p>22 into permanent separations of islands</p> <p>23 of native vegetation, we will lose</p> <p>24 species. And that is a variance and</p> <p>25 in contradiction with the requirements</p>
<p>74</p> <p>1</p> <p>2 application of chemicals to an 18 hole</p> <p>3 golf course.</p> <p>4 And so I would just indicate</p> <p>5 that the very presence of a golf</p> <p>6 course in the Pine Barrens is at</p> <p>7 variance to the recommendations of</p> <p>8 hydrogeological zone 3 and the 1978</p> <p>9 plan from the original Planning Board.</p> <p>10 And I just would point out again that</p> <p>11 we have not approved since we past the</p> <p>12 Pine Barrens Preservation Act any golf</p> <p>13 courses in the core area or even areas</p> <p>14 such as this one, which straddles the</p> <p>15 core area.</p> <p>16 So I am very concerned that the</p> <p>17 fragmentation brought by the golf</p> <p>18 course creates a basic unclustered</p> <p>19 pattern, direct habitat destruction</p> <p>20 and the loss of species carrying</p> <p>21 capacity due to the creation of an</p> <p>22 island effect from fragmenting the</p> <p>23 forest into islands of trees and</p> <p>24 islands of natural areas separated by</p> <p>25 development, is very problematic.</p>	<p>76</p> <p>1</p> <p>2 of the Pine Barrens Preservation Act</p> <p>3 which requires that we try to preserve</p> <p>4 the character, including the</p> <p>5 ecological character of the</p> <p>6 Pine Barrens.</p> <p>7 So the nine clustered design of</p> <p>8 the proposal that is before you will</p> <p>9 predictably create local extinctions</p> <p>10 of native species because the</p> <p>11 fragmentation will create ecologic</p> <p>12 disequilibrium that is avoidable as</p> <p>13 your staff analysis points out. There</p> <p>14 are other alternatives for the design</p> <p>15 of this proposed development.</p> <p>16 We should be trying to avoid and</p> <p>17 minimize that kind of disequilibrium.</p> <p>18 Specifically, the 18 hole golf course</p> <p>19 which sprawls the development and</p> <p>20 spreads out to proposed housing.</p> <p>21 Because the Pine Barrens</p> <p>22 Preservation Act intends to preserve</p> <p>23 the natural ecology of the</p> <p>24 Pine Barrens and because the proposal</p> <p>25 is so poorly responsive to this</p>

1 legislative impurity, I believe that
2 it should be rejected even only on
3 this point alone.

4 There are many other
5 shortcomings that are pointed out in
6 the analysis done by the staff of the
7 Commission. I think those are also
8 good points, but this is a nontrivial
9 matter. So I just want to point out
10 that the sprawling nonclustering is
11 problematic from another perspective
12 that also has a bearing on ecology.

13 And I am talking about fire
14 management. This is a fire climax
15 ecosystem. Meaning that the species
16 composition requires fire. Now I say
17 this at the time we have witnessed a
18 similar forest in California that is a
19 fire climax ecosystem, that
20 catastrophic fires that have resulted
21 from mismanagement of the fire climax
22 context. They have built in a
23 sprawling kind of way in those
24 forests. The result, the loss of

1 supposed to be residential? That is a
2 formula for severe catastrophic
3 results.

4 So putting these thoughts
5 together with those that I previously
6 submitted we my colleague Fred Theile,
7 I would ask that you return this
8 proposal to the developer and ask that
9 they do a couple of things.

10 Number one: Remove the golf
11 course.

12 Number two: Cluster.

13 And number three: Remove fire
14 prone fuel from being part of their
15 plan.

16 And I urge you to reject the
17 plan before you. It is ill conceived.
18 It is in variance and the requirements
19 and the expectations of the state law
20 that we passed now many years ago.

21 And I hope that the Commission
22 stays consistent with the precedence
23 that have been set in its earlier,
24 very thoughtful determinations and

1 lives and the loss of property. It's
2 going on in today's news. Just tune
3 it in. It's in today's news as well,
4 that California is on fire. In its
5 fire climax forests.

6 So what we are looking at here?
7 A sprawl that is something that could
8 be avoided with the clustering. That
9 would reduce the likelihood of human
10 loss of life and property. And it
11 would also protect your first
12 responders in the community that would
13 have to respond to the inevitable
14 fires. That will happen here.

15 And I would point out that one
16 of the things that really caused me to
17 scratch my head as I read through this
18 again, was the proposed and placement
19 of a 1,000 gallon diesel storage tank
20 and a 500 gallon gasoline storage
21 tank. What a great idea. I say that
22 not meaning those words. Why should
23 we have storage of fuel in a fire
24 climax ecosystem setting that is

1 protection of this important region of
2 New York.

3 Thank you for your consideration
4 at this time.

5 CHAIRWOMAN GALLAGHER: Thank
6 you, Assemblyman Englebright for your
7 thoughts.

8 Obviously, if you -- there will
9 be -- we will remind folks again --
10 five days of written comments will be
11 accepted, so if anyone needs to submit
12 additional written comments to part of
13 the record, you will have time to do
14 so.

15 Next up is Mitchell Pally. Who
16 will be followed by Dr. Chris Gobbler.

17 Do we have Mitch?

18 MR. PALLY: Thank you very much.
19 Thank you for giving me the
20 opportunity to testify again today on
21 this very important project. And
22 thank you to the Commission for the
23 extensive hearings on this project.

24 The project, as I indicated in

1
2 my February 19th testimony, which I am
3 going to add to.

4 My name is Mitchell Pally,
5 P-A-L-L-Y. Chief Executive Officer of
6 Long Island Builders Institute.

7 1757-8 Veterans Memorial
8 Highway, Islandia, New York.

9 We are the trade association for
10 residential development and developers
11 on Long Island.

12 As someone who was there when
13 the Pine Barrens Act was created by
14 the state legislature. The state
15 legislature at that moment drew a map.
16 It created a core preservation area in
17 which development was to be excluded
18 to the extent possible and a
19 compatible growth area in which
20 development was to be allowed
21 depending on the ability to meet
22 various standards.

23 Since 1993, the state
24 legislature has amended the
25 Pine Barrens map 14 times -- 13 times

1
2 The Town of Southampton can
3 decide whether to build homes or to
4 build a golf course or to do both.

5 The solidaristic of the Central
6 Pine Barrens Commission in this
7 situation is to determine whether the
8 developed proposal meets the objective
9 standards of the Land Use Plan, and
10 not whether the property should be
11 developed or not. These are objective
12 standards, not subjective standards.

13 Under the authority granted to
14 you under Article 57 of the
15 Environmental Conservation Law
16 proposed, development in the
17 compatible growth area -- once they
18 meet these objective standards -- the
19 project must be allowed to move
20 forward under whatever zoning is in
21 place from the Town of Southampton.

22 What the staff analysis provided
23 by the Commission staff and the
24 answers and provided by the applicant,
25 clearly make clear that this

1
2 originally, and then again on
3 April 17th, 2020, Governor Cuomo
4 signed Chapter 29 in the laws of 2020
5 which made further changes in the
6 designation of lands between the
7 compatible growth area and the core
8 preservation area.

9 Assemblyman Englebright and
10 other legislators, who may testify
11 today and others and the entire state
12 legislature could in that statute move
13 this entire property into the core
14 preservation area, just as they did
15 with the National Grid property three
16 years ago. But they did not do so.
17 Clearly making a decision again and
18 again and again that this property was
19 and is to be developed within the
20 zoning powers of the Town of
21 Southampton.

22 The land included with this
23 subdivision is solely within to be
24 developed the compatible growth area
25 in the Town of Southampton.

1
2 application in its current form, meets
3 all of the objective standards of the
4 Land Use Plan of the compatible growth
5 area of the Central Pine Barrens.

6 And as a result, this Commission
7 has only the opportunity to decide
8 that it does meet these standards and
9 it should be approved. If the land
10 had not wanted to be develop, the
11 state legislature could have
12 eliminated the designation of this
13 property into the compatible growth
14 area 14 different times, and it did
15 not do so.

16 The State of New York or the
17 County of Suffolk or the Town of
18 Southampton could have purchased this
19 property over the last 30 years, but
20 it did not do so.

21 Therefore, it is undisputed that
22 this property can be developed. It
23 can be developed in its current
24 application and we urge the Central
25 Pine Barrens Commission to follow the

1
2 laws of the State New York and approve
3 this application.

4 Thank you very much for giving
5 us this opportunity today.

6 CHAIRWOMAN GALLAGHER: Thank
7 you, Mitch.

8 And while we navigate to
9 unmuting Dr. Gobbler, I'll just
10 reiterate something that Mitch just
11 said is that the only job before the
12 Pine Barrens Commission is to
13 determine whether this project
14 conforms to the Standards and
15 Guidelines. That is the only basis
16 upon which we can make a decision
17 whether to approve or disapprove the
18 project, so it is very helpful to
19 provide comments, both verbal and
20 written, that support your position as
21 to whether it conforms or does not
22 conform in helping us render our
23 decision.

24 All right. Chris, your up.

25 DR. GOBBLER: Okay. Thank you

1
2 With regards to excessive
3 nitrogen loading and the effects of
4 both within the watershed and the
5 receiving water bodies -- I think we
6 are all aware of it -- I will just
7 give a quick review of the things at
8 stake; including potential loss of
9 wetlands and flooding from excessive
10 nitrogen loads, potential compromising
11 of drinking water supply.

12 We know that excessive nitrogen
13 can lead to the loss of seagrass and
14 promote harmful algal blooms. Our
15 greatest concern in this particular
16 region is that the receiving water
17 body from this region is
18 Weesuck Creek. This has really been
19 the epicenter of what is known as
20 paralytic shellfish poisoning events
21 during the past decade. There's been
22 about a half a dozen of them, and in
23 every case the most toxic shellfish
24 that come up in monitoring by the DEC
25 are found right there in

1
2 for the opportunity to speak.

3 I just have a few points to
4 make. I think we all know how
5 important the watershed of this region
6 is as well as the receiving waters in
7 Shinnecock Bay.

8 I think that both the Town of
9 Southampton and DEC is currently
10 involved in the project, trying to
11 consider ways to improve water quality
12 in Shinnecock Bay, and we know many of
13 the potential risks of excessive
14 nitrogen loading from the land to sea
15 --

16 CHAIRWOMAN GALLAGHER:
17 (Interjecting) Chris, can I just
18 interrupt you for a second?

19 DR. GOBBLER: Yep.

20 CHAIRWOMAN GALLAGHER: Just for
21 the purposes of the stenographer, if
22 you could formally introduce yourself;
23 first name, last name, affiliation?

24 DR. GOBBLER: Chris Gobbler.
25 Professor at Stony Brook University.

1
2 Weesuck Creek. And our current
3 monitoring shows that where the toxic
4 algae are. So this is an area where
5 we really want to do everything we can
6 to mitigate and reduce nitrogen load.

7 I will also mention that during
8 the past decade, Stony Brook
9 University has led the Shinnecock
10 duration program in specifically
11 focused in Shinnecock Bay. There's
12 been over \$10,000,000 investment --
13 mostly from independent support and
14 philanthropic support -- for the
15 restoration of clams, oysters and
16 eelgrass beds and to the great
17 positive estuaries. Since we begun,
18 there's been a 700 percent increase in
19 the landings of hard clams in
20 Shinnecock Bay.

21 And then the DEC and
22 Governor Cuomo has recently invested
23 in the \$10,000,000 Long Island
24 Shellfish Restoration Program with
25 Western Shinnecock Bay being

1
2 designated as being one of the five
3 restoration locations. So there's a
4 lot at stake here, obviously.

5 And I have monitored this
6 program and this development through
7 the years and have been in
8 communication with Chick and the
9 developers. And the through the years
10 there's been many changes made to the
11 plan to protect the environment and
12 reduce nitrogen loading. I took a
13 very careful look at the last
14 submission; the PDD, for example. In
15 which case -- and my independent
16 analysis of the original version of
17 the plan was significantly higher in
18 the nitrogen loading as of right
19 development. Where as with the
20 implementation of many different
21 mitigating approaches for reducing
22 nitrogen loads that actually became a
23 better project than as of right with
24 regards to nitrogen loading.

25 So I think on that front, I will

1
2 that Suffolk County recently completed
3 its sub watershed plan, with that plan
4 being led by the modeling efforts of
5 CDM Smith. And my estimation -- and I
6 think probably many people or most
7 people would agree -- this is probably
8 one of the greatest -- or if not --
9 the greatest ever project for modeling
10 groundwater and nitrogen flow and
11 nitrogen in groundwater ever executed
12 within Suffolk County. And this is a
13 more than a five year effort and it
14 went through constant revision.

15 And so I do just -- I do think
16 there might be value in looking at the
17 outcomes of that model for this
18 particular area and with particular
19 different scenarios with regards on
20 how this would proceed and see how
21 that model responds. Now it's
22 probably of a different scale than
23 something like the Sonir model, but I
24 think there's no -- I don't think
25 anybody would disagree with the fact

1
2 just mention that within the PDD there
3 were a series of really excellent
4 approaches to mitigate nitrogen
5 loading that are not currently in the
6 current plan. I know the current plan
7 has other things that are being
8 considered, but I will just point out
9 that for the PDD there were things
10 like preserving 33 acres of land near
11 Weesuck Creek, the purchase of 30
12 Pine Barren credits, building a sewage
13 treatment plant for a local school and
14 then also an investment of a million
15 dollars in upgrading septic systems in
16 the community. These all lead,
17 collectively, to a reduction in
18 nitrogen loading of over 1,600 pounds
19 of nitrogen per year. And those
20 should be obviously a great benefit
21 going forward for the project.

22 And then I will just mention
23 also -- just with regards to the
24 groundwater nitrogen modeling -- I
25 know that -- I think we are all aware,

1
2 that it is the state of the art when
3 it comes to modeling groundwater
4 within Suffolk County. And when I
5 want to have a project go forward that
6 looks at groundwater flow, I think
7 bring in that model that CDM Smith
8 gives you is the Cadillac version and
9 then give the exact information that
10 you would want to know.

11 And the last thing just on that
12 front, I do know that in some earlier
13 modeling of groundwater on the
14 property, there was great variability
15 in the levels of the nitrogen in the
16 groundwater. There were some wells
17 that came back with literally zero
18 nitrogen. There was another well that
19 came back with more than 25 milligrams
20 per liter and some -- several at two,
21 five -- a lot of variability. So I
22 just -- with regards to attaining the
23 2.5 on average and with regards to --
24 as what the goal is -- and with
25 regards to the actual level being -- I

<p>1</p> <p>2 think either .25 or .5. Again, I</p> <p>3 think having a look with the CDM Smith</p> <p>4 model would be of interest. It's not</p> <p>5 clear to me if those numbers -- the .5</p> <p>6 and the point .25 -- is the net</p> <p>7 increase or the actual concentration.</p> <p>8 But I just will say, there</p> <p>9 already is a great amount of</p> <p>10 variability in the amount of nitrogen</p> <p>11 that has been measured on the</p> <p>12 property. So I think getting at the</p> <p>13 actual mean level is a little tricky.</p> <p>14 So those are the main points I</p> <p>15 just wanted to make.</p> <p>16 CHAIRWOMAN GALLAGHER: Thank</p> <p>17 you, Chris for making those comments.</p> <p>18 I forgot to let people know who</p> <p>19 is up next, so let me give you the</p> <p>20 next three speakers in order so you</p> <p>21 can get yourselves prepped.</p> <p>22 Pola Rapaport will be next,</p> <p>23 followed by Bob DeLuca and then</p> <p>24 Bill Kearns.</p> <p>25 Oh, Pola is not here. Okay. So</p>	<p>93</p> <p>1</p> <p>2 Use Plan and your legal responsibility</p> <p>3 over the review of this action.</p> <p>4 The heart of the problem lies in</p> <p>5 the implementation of the State</p> <p>6 Environmental Quality Review Act,</p> <p>7 SEQRA. Which has resulted in open</p> <p>8 ended review process that is now</p> <p>9 essentially produced to distinct and</p> <p>10 competing development applications for</p> <p>11 the same 600 acres of property.</p> <p>12 Here's what went wrong:</p> <p>13 On January 15th, of 2020, the</p> <p>14 Commission approved a resolution to</p> <p>15 proceed with the review of the</p> <p>16 Lewis Road PRD. The resolution</p> <p>17 identified that the Southampton Town</p> <p>18 Planning Board as the lead agency</p> <p>19 under SEQRA for the Lewis Road</p> <p>20 proposal and essentially cleared the</p> <p>21 way for the Commission to proceed with</p> <p>22 the limited further coordination or</p> <p>23 obligations under SEQRA.</p> <p>24 In fact, the Southampton Town</p> <p>25 Planning Board was never the lead</p>
<p>94</p> <p>1</p> <p>2 Bob you are up, we'll just get you</p> <p>3 unmuted.</p> <p>4 MR. DELUCA: Good afternoon,</p> <p>5 members of the Commission.</p> <p>6 My name is Bob DeLuca.</p> <p>7 I am the President of the Group</p> <p>8 for the East End.</p> <p>9 In addition to holding graduate</p> <p>10 degrees -- hold a graduate degree in</p> <p>11 environmental science and 34 years of</p> <p>12 the land use professional -- I am also</p> <p>13 a member of the Pine Barrens Advisory</p> <p>14 Committee and part of administrative</p> <p>15 law in environmental policy at Long</p> <p>16 Island University for more than 15</p> <p>17 years.</p> <p>18 Today I want to focus your</p> <p>19 attention on a critical aspect of this</p> <p>20 proposal, which has come up, and</p> <p>21 that's the environmental review</p> <p>22 process. A process that has direct</p> <p>23 bearing on your assessment of</p> <p>24 compliance with the Standards and</p> <p>25 Guidelines of the Comprehensive Land</p>	<p>96</p> <p>1</p> <p>2 agency for the Lewis Road PRD, and its</p> <p>3 own Findings Statement of</p> <p>4 October 24th, 2019, clearly stated</p> <p>5 that it acted only as an involved</p> <p>6 agency pursuant to SEQRA.</p> <p>7 I had previously brought this</p> <p>8 issue to the Commission's attention.</p> <p>9 This matters because -- because SEQRA</p> <p>10 requires the dedication of a lead</p> <p>11 agency. So every major application</p> <p>12 has a review, which allows approving</p> <p>13 agencies to provide and track their</p> <p>14 own concerns under the management of a</p> <p>15 single agency with the greatest</p> <p>16 jurisdictional authority over the</p> <p>17 project. This should have been done</p> <p>18 by the Southampton Town Planning Board</p> <p>19 and its initial filing of the</p> <p>20 Lewis Road application, but it didn't</p> <p>21 happen.</p> <p>22 The goal of the process is to</p> <p>23 assure that the lead agency can</p> <p>24 address concerns and choose an</p> <p>25 alternative that best reflects</p>

<p>1 2 attention to the interests to all the 3 permitting agencies.</p> <p>4 Instead the Southampton Planning 5 Board chose the Southampton Town Board 6 as lead agency, even though the Town 7 Board has absolutely no jurisdictional 8 authority over the Lewis Road PRD.</p> <p>9 And five years from now, if they 10 accept an easement for a road or an 11 open space dedication that is not an 12 approval authority over the PRD rules.</p> <p>13 Simply put, under the law the 14 Town Board cannot be the lead 15 agency -- you can look it up -- or 16 even an involved agency for a proposal 17 over which it has no authority. As a 18 result of this decision, the 19 Southampton Planning Board never 20 coordinated review, never made a lead 21 agency determination or ever issued a 22 determination of significance, which 23 would determine the level of 24 environmental review applied to the 25 project and assure coordinated input</p>	<p>1 2 type of action, which this project is, 3 must be subject to a coordinated 4 review, a lead agency determination 5 and a determination of significance. 6 The law is also clear that the 7 responsibility falls independently 8 upon each agency responsible for 9 issuing a permit approval under 10 review.</p> <p>11 In this absence of appropriate 12 SEQRA review initiated at the local 13 level, the Commission must now decide 14 how it's going to resolve this matter. 15 Should the Commission simply proceed 16 to prepare a SEQRA Findings Statement 17 for the most substantial Pine Barrens 18 development project in two decades, 19 where there's no coordinated review, 20 no viable lead agency and no 21 determination of significance? It 22 would be a reckless and arbitrary way 23 to proceed. SEQRA requires strict 24 procedural compliance and the case law 25 is in support of this requirement is</p>
<p>98</p> <p>1 2 from all of the other agencies. The 3 Southampton Town Board had reviewed 4 prior to density incentive of change 5 of zone on this property, which was 6 defeated by resolution number 11-23 of 7 2017 by the Southampton Town Board.</p> <p>8 That formally ended the review 9 and required the applicant to submit a 10 completely new residential subdivision 11 application to the Southampton 12 Planning Board, which it did. And if 13 there's any concern of whether this 14 decision was final, the applicant 15 subsequently filed a hundred million 16 dollar lawsuit against the Town, which 17 I doubt they would have done if the 18 determination by the Town Board was 19 not a final determination.</p> <p>20 Because Southampton Planning 21 Board never conducted or coordinated a 22 review -- subsequently a lead agency 23 determinations -- those 24 responsibilities now fall on the 25 Commission. SEQRA is clear that any</p>	<p>100</p> <p>1 2 overwhelming.</p> <p>3 But even as a purely practical 4 matter, the lack of an integrated 5 review has created an application that 6 is now a moving target between the 7 interest of all of the agencies 8 involved in the approval. We have a 9 sewage treatment plant that has a 10 maintenance building shoved up against 11 an affordable housing component, 12 closer to local residences near the 13 impaired waters of Weesuck Creek, the 14 elimination of roadways, all the 15 people on Spinney Road thought they 16 were getting open space and now 17 they're basically getting a golf 18 course and more residences. All of 19 these changes needed to be subject to 20 some kind of integrated and 21 transparent review.</p> <p>22 So, basically, at the end of the 23 day, I think you only got two ways to 24 go. Either you deny this project 25 based on its inability over several</p>

1
2 months to demonstrate and to meet the
3 Commissions Guidelines and Standards,
4 or the Commission must demonstrate a
5 coordinated review pursuant to SEQRA
6 and meet the strict Standards of the
7 state law.

8 Sometimes you just can't fit
9 everything you want in a sensitive
10 piece of property. The Commission was
11 formed and obligated to make these
12 kinds of important determinations in
13 the regional context of the
14 Pine Barrens Protection.

15 I thank you very much for your
16 time and consideration of my comments.

17 CHAIRWOMAN GALLAGHER: Thank you
18 Bob.

19 So next, we will move to on
20 Bill Kearns -- if you are still with
21 us -- and to be followed by
22 Susan Kearns.

23 MR. KEARNS: Good afternoon,
24 Madam Chair, Commissioners and staff.

25 My name is William Kearns,

1
2 Pine Barrens. If you look over my
3 shoulder, you might be able to see the
4 trees in my yard. They are there.

5 When I first heard the term
6 super (inaudible) site, I thought Long
7 Island would be immune to such a
8 nightmare. One would be disastrous.
9 Yet this project is surrounded by them
10 in the Pine Barrens adding to the
11 environmental devastation.

12 But enough with the obvious.
13 The project has always been in a state
14 of flux. It is difficult to pin down
15 what is being proposed from week to
16 week.

17 A few concerns I have:

18 An 87 acre golf course,
19 ridiculously small when most golf
20 courses of this caliber are 40 percent
21 larger; displacement of three to
22 400,000 cubic yards of soil -- no
23 longer necessary.

24 A large part of this plan is
25 just simply gone. It went away.

1
2 K-E-A-R-N-S.

3 For the past 27 years I have
4 lived on Spinney Road, adjacent to
5 this proposed project.

6 I have been against it since day
7 one, and I find myself even more so.

8 We have before us a series of
9 environmental nightmares that I have
10 brought to your attention at past
11 meetings. Carcinogens, toxins, excess
12 nitrogen in the water beneath my
13 street -- beneath my home -- now
14 worse, by the fact by Dr. Chris
15 Gobbler has found another toxic tide
16 in Shinnecock and Tiana Bay bringing
17 the total number to 12 or 13.

18 Presently the bays are coffee
19 brown, limiting recreational use and
20 impacting human and wildlife health.
21 The Peconic Bay scallop faces another
22 year of decimation due to the further
23 decline of the healthy bays.

24 Southern pine beetles are now
25 making their way into the East Quogue

1
2 Dune Deck residents will not be
3 allowed to play the course as they are
4 not part of this homeowner's
5 association. I think that needs to be
6 verified.

7 The seasonal occupancy has
8 changed allowing owners and tenants to
9 stay longer, despite the fact they
10 have sold this project as seasonal.
11 It no longer is.

12 Keeping kids out of the school
13 is the talk of a coward. Our kids are
14 allowed to come to school based on the
15 law of New York State. Not anything
16 that Discovery Land, not anything that
17 any homeowner's association can say.

18 The fact that Dr. Chris Gobbler
19 at Suffolk County Planning Commission
20 and Chief Planner, Andrew Freleng,
21 called their fertigation process
22 untested and its value inconclusive
23 needs to finally be put to rest.

24 The Southampton Town Planning
25 Board mandated that this project was

<p>1 2 not to be visible from Lewis Road or 3 the visible -- the visual character of 4 East Quogue be compromised and that 5 the housing development will not 6 result in any adverse impacts on 7 community character. That was from 8 the Southampton Town Planning Board.</p> <p>9 I ask you, would a sewage 10 treatment plant at the undisclosed 11 size with 10 leaching pools and the 12 ability to double in size without any 13 need of approval and 9,000 square foot 14 storage facility with diesel and 15 gasoline fueling capabilities in front 16 of your home adversely impact your 17 community character?</p> <p>18 Does a golf course moved to 19 within 70 feet of your backyard yard 20 impact local views and disturb 21 community character?</p> <p>22 Daily lawn mowing for six months 23 within 70 feet behind your house.</p> <p>24 That's -- that is what is now 25 proposed.</p>	<p>105</p> <p>1 2 larger than the largest buildings in 3 the East Quogue, which you are. The 4 reason we are in such environmental 5 turmoil regionally is because we have 6 failed to pay attention, and now we 7 are forced to react and repair.</p> <p>8 The reason we are now globally 9 impacted by a plaque is because we 10 have failed to pay attention. The 11 future of the Pine Barrens and the 12 regional and environmental threat and 13 the regional and environmental rests 14 is your hands.</p> <p>15 I respectfully ask that you pay 16 attention and vote this thing down.</p> <p>17 Thank you.</p> <p>18 CHAIRWOMAN GALLAGHER: Thank 19 you, William.</p> <p>20 Next up is Susan Kearns and to 21 be followed by a complete stranger to 22 these proceedings is Mr. Richard 23 Amper.</p> <p>24 MS. KEARNS: Good afternoon, 25 Commission members.</p>
<p>106</p> <p>1 2 For 28 years I have watched the 3 sunrise over the Pine Barrens and the 4 pine trees in the east and 5 magnificently set in the west, and now 6 these people are taking this away from 7 us.</p> <p>8 Fifty homes along Spinney Road 9 will be impacted. This is a disgrace. 10 I would bet you never thought as 11 Commissioners you would be looking at 12 a project in a virgin pine forest over 13 our imperiled and increasingly scarce 14 drinking water that would have the 15 capacity to park 416 vehicles with 16 27,000 square feet of underground 17 parking. I bet you never thought you 18 would be looking at a project 19 foolishly and illegally deemed a 20 recreational amenity despite its 21 restaurant and liquor license. It's a 22 commercial operation. I would bet 23 that you never thought you would be 24 looking at a project with a 100,000 25 square feet of outbuildings. Some</p>	<p>108</p> <p>1 2 My name is Susan Kearns, 3 K-E-A-R-N-S.</p> <p>4 And no surprise, I too live on 5 Spinney Road with Bill.</p> <p>6 After listening today, I have a 7 much better understanding of your role 8 as a Commission, which seems to be to 9 protect the unique and rare 10 Pine Barrens, which happen to 11 safeguard our aquifer and provide a 12 haven for local wildlife, as 13 Assemblyman Englebright pointed out 14 much better than I.</p> <p>15 Therefore, I am perplexed as to 16 why this environmentally decimating 17 project has even made it to this 18 point.</p> <p>19 The approval of this project 20 will change the climate and the 21 community character of Eastern Long 22 Island for eternity.</p> <p>23 The destruction of the natural 24 foliage sustains our wildlife and will 25 be ongoing for years as this project</p>

<p>1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25</p> <p>lingers on and grows and changes as we have already seen far too many times.</p> <p>Despite unfounded claims by the developer, our already overcrowded schools will see an influx of children as homeowners decide that they now have a purpose and a need to stay in their homes year round as we have already seen. East Quogue already has 24 new students due to COVID. The inclusion of an 18 hole golf course over the precious and endangered aquifer should be enough of a red flag for those whose responsibility it is to protect the environment.</p> <p>Finally -- and by no means lastly -- the inclusion of this sewage treatment plant and a 9,000 square foot storage facility for fuel leave me wondering how these are not detrimental to our drinking water, our bays and our community character?</p> <p>It's seem to me that based on the current and ever declining state</p>	<p>1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25</p> <p>I have had enough of the Hills and the Lewis Road project or whatever you're calling it this week.</p> <p>The proposed project is the biggest and the baddest proposed on Long Island's premier ecosystem.</p> <p>Pine Barrens most greatest quantity of the purest drinking water left on Long Island and the greatest diversity of plants and animals species anywhere in the state of New York.</p> <p>Long Islanders have put up some two billion dollars to purchase and preserve the Pine Barrens by endorsing reparanda reaching as high as 84 percent. Then along comes Discovery Land and we're facing the development of a last great treasure in the Central Pine Barrens.</p> <p>First the Discovery Land project is defeated in the Town Board with the Town of Southampton. The applicant claims that the Hills was not denied</p>
<p>1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25</p> <p>of the local drinking water and our bays are the very reason for the existence of this Commission. It is not only your responsibility, but it is your moral imperative to put an end to this development. Thereby ensuring that future developers will get the clear message that the Pine Barrens are off limits to development.</p> <p>Thank you.</p> <p>CHAIRWOMAN GALLAGHER: Thank you, Susan.</p> <p>Dick and to be followed by Katie Brown.</p> <p>MR. AMPER: Madam Chairwoman and members of the Central Pine Barrens Joint Planning and Policy Commission, my name is Richard Amper.</p> <p>I am executive director of the Long Island Pine Barrens Society.</p> <p>And I have a little bit of a hand in the creation of the Pine Barrens Act. And I know what it was intended to do.</p>	<p>1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25</p> <p>even as the applicant sued the Town for a hundred million dollars for disapproving it. "No problem" the Hills say.</p> <p>The applicant takes the project and renames it Lewis Road and sells it to the Town of Southampton Planning Board and Zoning Board of Appeals. Utterly ignoring the Hills State and Environmental Quality Review Act. And the -- well the commencements that we have made to the Pine Barrens Society and the current litigation, it involves us and the group for the east end.</p> <p>What they are doing is not just a bad idea, it's against the law. The law prohibits this. But no matter to Discovery Land. The claim is for the project in development details similarly change again and again. Hundreds of east enders come out to oppose the project. But on and on it goes.</p>

1
2 It's this big or that. Conforms
3 to environmental law, maybe not. A
4 sewage treatment plant here or maybe
5 there.

6 The Pine Barrens Act was
7 approved unanimously by the New York
8 State Legislature in 1993. And in
9 1995, we saw creation of a Land Use
10 Plan for one of Long Island's
11 most important treasures.

12 Finally, whatever you call it,
13 the project goes to the Pine Barrens
14 Commission as required by the law and
15 the plan. Latest form is changed
16 again and again. Commission asked
17 questions about this latest assault on
18 the Pine Barrens, it gets fuzzy
19 answers or not at all. Still the
20 application moves ahead.

21 Well stop. Enough is enough.
22 Discovery Land project clearly fails
23 to meet the requirements of the
24 Pine Barrens Act in this associated
25 land use plan.

1
2 that have been completely ignored or
3 misstated.

4 Discovery Land project does not
5 meet requirements of the law, the
6 environment or the protection of the
7 Pine Barrens. Let's stop pretending
8 that the applicant conforms to the
9 Pine Barrens and disapprove whatever
10 they are calling their project today.
11 This is not about the bona fide
12 project that won't harm the
13 environment, it is about a
14 multibillion dollar development in
15 Long Island's premier ecosystem that's
16 prohibited by law.

17 It's time for this project
18 finally to be dealt with by this
19 Commission. It's gone before the Town
20 Board, the Town Planning Board, the
21 Zoning Boards been impacted. But,
22 ultimately, the decision maker here
23 are you. They are the people, the
24 members of this particular body of
25 law.

1
2 And where is SEQRA? Still the
3 project moves ahead. Enough is
4 enough. Southampton Town has no
5 authority to advance Supervisor
6 Schneiderman's campaign with
7 developers with big bucks.

8 Sorry, Jay, it just that it's
9 against the law.

10 Environmental Civic leaders are
11 here again today, suppling this
12 Commission with more than 44 serious
13 concerns about the environmental
14 impacts of this. The latest iteration
15 of whatever Discovery Land claims.

16 It is time for the New York
17 State Pine Barrens Commission to
18 finish its job to determine that the
19 East Quogue proposed project does not
20 conform to state and local law. It
21 must be denied.

22 We all read about the
23 shortcomings of the Discovery Land
24 application and we have enumerated it.
25 The provisions of the Pine Barrens law

1
2 Pine Barrens Act was intended to
3 protect water, take care of our people
4 and Long Island's premier ecosystem.

5 Please stand up and do what is
6 called for now.

7 CHAIRWOMAN GALLAGHER: All
8 right. Thank you, Dick.

9 We are going to move on to
10 Katie Brown to be followed by
11 Andrea Spilka.

12 MS. BROWN: Good afternoon,
13 Commissioners.

14 Thank you for this virtual
15 opportunity to speak today.

16 My name is Katie Brown.

17 I serve as Deputy Director of
18 Long Island Pine Barrens Society.

19 I graduated college in 2013 and
20 was hired be this society directly
21 after that. And at about that time
22 the Hills PDD application had just
23 come out and it was before the
24 Southampton Town Board. And here we
25 are, seven years plus later still

<p>1 2 talking about this same project. 3 4 The Hills and the Lewis Road PRD 5 have been such a big part of my career 6 from the beginning, and I have learned 7 so much from it. I have learned about 8 the effort that goes into protecting 9 the environment. Environmental 10 advocacy is a tough job when you are 11 facing business interests with deep 12 pockets. To be frank, this whole 13 process has been extremely 14 discouraging to someone who graduated 15 college somewhat optimistic. 16 17 What surprised me the most is 18 how much this project has changed in 19 shape, size and scope over the years. 20 Over the course of what was supposed 21 to be a comprehensive review, the map 22 and plan that are before the 23 Commission today is not what was 24 before you at the last hearing. The 25 map and plan that was before the Commission in the February 2020 hearing was not what was supplied in</p>	<p>117</p> <p>1 2 the last hearing what happened to all 3 of the nitrogen mitigation measures 4 that were included in the Hills PDD 5 and deemed necessary; have they been 6 removed from the Lewis Road PRD? If 7 so, what would be the impact on the 8 nitrogen loading calculations? We 9 were met with analysis conducted by 10 SEQRA established that the project 11 complies with the nitrogen guideline 12 of the Comprehensive Land Use Plan. 13 14 That is not an answer. That's a 15 perfect way of dodging tough 16 questions, and responses like that are littered throughout their papers. 17 18 The Lewis Road PRD is not the 19 Hills PDD. The project has changed 20 with each Board that they present to 21 you and this entire review process 22 from the beginning has been more of 23 let's make a deal rather than a review based on sound environmental planning. 24 25 The applicant's goal is to tell us what they think we want to hear.</p>
<p>118</p> <p>1 2 the application in December of 2019. 3 4 In fact, the developer submitted 5 major changes to their plan the very 6 same day of the February hearing. The 7 map and plan before the Commission 8 today, is not what was reviewed and 9 preliminarily approved by the 10 Southampton Town Planning Board in October of last year. 11 12 Most importantly, the project 13 before you today is not the same 14 project the Town Board conducted SEQRA 15 for and denied in 2016. The 16 applicant's answer to the many serious 17 questions that have been raised by 18 environmental advocates and the 19 Commission have been met with secret 20 determine that this was appropriate. 21 The applicant is answering serious 22 questions with quotes from the 23 Environmental Impact Statement for a 24 project that was denied and was no longer applicable. 25 For example, when we asked at</p>	<p>120</p> <p>1 2 Every time serious environmental 3 concerns are raised, they come back 4 with a new iteration of, "Well, what 5 about this". But the entire impact of 6 these changes put together have never 7 been looked at. There is no final 8 overarching review of this project. 9 The applicant is making it up as they 10 go for each Board that they come 11 before. 12 13 The developer is still pointing 14 to environmental studies from as early 15 as 2009. This project has grown in 16 size and shape countless times since 17 2009. Our landscape and our 18 environment has changed just as much 19 since 2009. This development has been 20 shifted south, much closer to nearby 21 homes and nearby water bodies and 22 extensive well field development is 23 now placed within the critical 24 resource area, a sewage treatment 25 plant is now placed behind nearby homes. New wetlands studies must be</p>

1
2 done, new nitrogen modeling and
3 dispersion analysis must be completed,
4 new traffic studies must be completed.
5 Long-term effects, especially with
6 regards to climate change, must be
7 studied. The impacts of development
8 in the critical resource area and the
9 habitat of the threatened coastal buck
10 moth must be reevaluated. A revised
11 excavation plan must be submitted.
12 And the impacts on nearby neighbors
13 and the impacts on the community
14 character must be reevaluated.

15 Each time this project
16 changes -- and we are talking about
17 big changes -- the overall
18 environmental review of the project
19 becomes mute. The project has not
20 stayed the same long enough for any
21 kind of serious environmental review
22 to be completed.

23 As Commissioners, you have a
24 much bigger and more important role
25 than the Town. You are looking at

1
2 will be followed by Michael Alestra if
3 you are still with us.
4 MS. SPILKA: Good afternoon,
5 everyone.
6 My name is Andrea Spilka.
7 I am the president of the
8 Southampton Town Civic Coalition. I
9 am speaking on their behalf and I
10 thank you for the opportunity to
11 speak.
12 Times have changed. And once
13 again, so has the project layout. In
14 attempting to meet the minimum
15 standards established in the club, the
16 applicant had significantly changed
17 the impact on the environment, the
18 community and in some ways, the
19 viability of the development. The
20 current plan is substantially
21 different than what the Planning Board
22 granted in October. Went along with
23 the PDD that served as the basis for
24 the SVIS. How are you going to
25 reconcile these differences?

1
2 this project from a regional
3 prospective. You are looking at the
4 impact this development, the largest
5 ever before the Commission will have
6 on the entire Pine Barrens ecosystem.
7 You have to think about what the
8 precedent that this review process
9 will set for any other development
10 project that comes before this
11 commission in the future.

12 Please do the right thing.
13 Please be the voice of reason for this
14 entire seven year process and vote
15 down this project once and for all.

16 Thank you for your time today.
17 And I ask that you please see our
18 written comments for more detail.
19 They were submitted through e-mail
20 earlier today.

21 Thank you.

22 CHAIRWOMAN GALLAGHER: Yes,
23 Katie. We did receive those.

24 Thank you very much.

25 Okay. Onto Andrea and then we

1
2 And here are just a few:
3 If there are concerns that the
4 fertilizer and the pesticides used on
5 the golf course will impact the STP
6 and any private wells on Spinney Road,
7 the nitrogen calculations were already
8 a concern for Town consultants. And
9 they pressed the need for dispersion
10 analysis.
11 However, the applicant's
12 response circled back to the final EIS
13 done as part of the PDD in denying
14 that request. It doesn't make sense
15 that an environmental done for a
16 denied project that looks nothing like
17 what is being proposed now, can be
18 considered sufficient.
19 What about the change in
20 occupancy rate to 60 days to 183 days?
21 Which will have a substantial impact
22 on nitrogen and traffic and very
23 possibly children in the East Quogue
24 schools.
25 How will we evaluate the impact

<p>1 2 of these changes? How does the golf 3 course relocation impact the Spinney 4 Road community?</p> <p>5 Earlier reviews of the project 6 concluded no adverse impact. However, 7 in moving the golf course to the 8 south, the developers have subsumed 9 the Spinney Road homes. It's inside 10 the resort. They reduced the promise 11 of 200 foot buffers to just 70 feet 12 and they placed the STP 300 yards from 13 their homes. The roads are now 40 14 feet instead of a standard 50. And 15 the circulation analysis shows that 16 Spur Road is gone. How will the new 17 configuration impact traffic flow? 18 And perhaps more importantly, 19 emergency vehicle access?</p> <p>20 The last iteration of the golf 21 course didn't seem playable. This 22 latest version is even smaller with 23 only 78 acres instead of the usual 110 24 to 150. Will a golf course of this 25 size make the resort less viable?</p>	<p>125</p> <p>1 2 very concerned about groundwater 3 contamination beneath mining and 4 vegetative waste processing 5 facilities. Not to mention the fact 6 that the Damascus Road contamination 7 is just west of the project site. 8 It's seems as if the project is 9 surrounded by contaminated sites.</p> <p>10 Lastly, ask yourselves, can the 11 Commission taking charge a regional 12 Pine Barrens protective view of the 13 projects ever approve a water 14 intensive, dual use golf resort in an 15 already compromised watershed and at 16 the same time fulfill its statutory 17 mission?</p> <p>18 I don't think so and I hope you 19 don't either.</p> <p>20 Thank you so much.</p> <p>21 CHAIRWOMAN GALLAGHER: Thank 22 you, Andrea.</p> <p>23 Okay. Michael Alestra followed 24 by Andrew Lynch.</p> <p>25 MR. ALESTRA: Good afternoon.</p>
<p>126</p> <p>1 2 How can open space compliance be 3 monitored if the development is 4 approved? The configuration of many 5 of the home parcels and golf holes are 6 identified partially as open space. 7 How do you prevent encroachment?</p> <p>8 The homeowner's association is 9 in control of 233 acres of open space. 10 Can it be repurposed or sold? With 11 all of the underground parking, will 12 there be a need for dewatering? And 13 how will that be implemented?</p> <p>14 What's the impact of the 15 proposed world class golf course on 16 water usage? Something that's already 17 becoming an issue.</p> <p>18 The owners of the neighboring 19 east coast mine have recently asked 20 for an expansion to excavate the mine 21 40 feet deeper to just 10 feet above 22 the groundwater table. What is the 23 impact of this expansion of the 24 project? Especially when you consider 25 Suffolk County Health Department is</p>	<p>128</p> <p>1 2 I would like to thank the 3 Pine Barrens Commission for this 4 opportunity to speak.</p> <p>5 My name is Michael Alestra, 6 A-L-E-S-T-R-A.</p> <p>7 And I live in East Quogue.</p> <p>8 I have been a resident of 9 East Quogue for 23 years. There's 10 been a battle raged by Discovery Land 11 to build a golf course and luxury 12 housing -- The Hills -- in the 13 Pine Barrens of East Quogue, which 14 brings us here today.</p> <p>15 I have personally attended 16 almost every public hearing that has 17 been held to discuss the project. Now 18 it was due to the vision of some smart 19 people that realize that water is our 20 most precious resource that we need 21 today.</p> <p>22 I have personally -- I'm sorry 23 -- they set out to protect the water 24 by passing legislation that was meant 25 for this moment. The water we drink</p>

1
2 is compromised. One only needs to
3 read the newspaper and learn that
4 every day there is some new threat to
5 our water supply. Whether it.

6 Is the fire fighting foam of
7 Gabreski airport or other toxins
8 infiltrating the water supply. We are
9 being told that it can cost millions
10 to filter out. Some of these problems
11 have hit home. The well water in
12 portions of East Quogue have been
13 deemed to dangerous to drink. Just
14 this year a major project was launched
15 to connect these homes to Town water.
16 Those are the facts.

17 Further more, we know it takes
18 tons of chemicals to support a healthy
19 golf course. We are being going told
20 by the paid professionals of Discovery
21 Land's contra of employees that we
22 should not worry. Some unproven
23 scientific methods will keep the water
24 clean. Really?

25 The real likelihood of these

1
2 longer exists as Mother Nature claimed
3 its superiority, a hurricane destroyed
4 it two or three years ago; I can go on
5 and on.

6 But I once again remind everyone
7 why we are here. The Pine Barrens
8 Commission and its subsequent laws
9 were meant for this very moment. To
10 protect our precious water. For
11 without it, we have nothing.

12 Please vote no on the Hills
13 project.

14 Thank you for your time.

15 CHAIRWOMAN GALLAGHER: Thank
16 you, Michael.

17 Onto Andrew Lynch, to be
18 followed by Camden Ackerman.

19 MR. LYNCH: Thank you, members
20 of the Commission for the opportunity
21 to speak.

22 My name is Andrew Lynch,
23 L-Y-N-C-H.

24 I have no affiliation with
25 Discovery Land. I'm not an employee

1
2 pesticides, fungicides, fertilizers
3 and the like leaching into our grounds
4 and ultimately into our drinking
5 waters is a given.

6 In addition to our drinking
7 water, Shinnecock Bay has experienced
8 the earliest algae bloom ever. That
9 is what independent scientists found.
10 Fertilizers, a major component for a
11 healthy golf course releases huge
12 amounts of nitrogen. Nitrogen along
13 with the summer heat is the major
14 contributor to algae blooms. Things
15 continue to get worse, and there
16 hasn't been any golf course built.

17 As far as Discovery Land claims
18 that they are stewards of the
19 environment, which I am sure you are
20 going to hear. Just look at their
21 track record. A golf course in the
22 community built over in the Bahamas
23 promised to protect the coral reef and
24 the marine life. They did none of it.
25 That golf course and community no

1
2 or a consultant. Sadly, I am not a
3 golfer, so there's not much interest
4 in the final product that they are
5 looking to create here.

6 My interest in this project is
7 that of a resident and a community
8 member. I drive by this property at
9 least twice a day. I heard previous
10 speakers describe it as pristine.
11 When my father first took me to this
12 property 40 years ago, a good portion
13 of it was a wasteland and a junkyard
14 and it has remained that way up until
15 recently.

16 As a local here, I have an
17 active interest in the environment and
18 particularly the health of our bays.
19 I have grown up on the water here
20 kayaking, fishing, sailing. As a
21 resident of Quogue I have seen first
22 hand the water impairment that has
23 occurred in Western Shinnecock Bay. I
24 have taken action, I joined the
Cornell SPAT program. I've gotten

1
2 involved in aquaculture, I've worked
3 with Stony Brook Southampton and water
4 quality monitoring projects. I am an
5 advocate for the Moriches Bay project
6 and really in any effort to help
7 shellfish to improve our water
8 quality.

9 So I am very familiar with how
10 nitrogen has played a huge role in the
11 diminishment in our water quality and
12 that is something that many speakers
13 on both sides of this argument agree.
14 The water quality is very bad in
15 Western Shinnecock and nitrogen is a
16 huge culprit.

17 The fertigation process that the
18 Discovery has proposed here is not
19 new, it's not untested. It's actually
20 quite simple. You're taking nitrogen
21 laden water from deep underground,
22 you're pumping it up and you're using
23 nitrogen to grow grass. The
24 agricultural uses adjacent to this
25 project have been a big contributor of

1
2 groundwater.
3 We are facing a crisis here with
4 nitrogen flowing into our watershed.
5 And this is one of the only projects
6 that I can see that has the ability to
7 actively intercept nitrogen polluted
8 water that's flowing into our bays.

9 It's so bad in our bays that a
10 recent study that came out by
11 Douberry(phonetic) advocates for the
12 creation of new inlets on Dune Road.
13 That's where we are at. That's how
14 bad the water is. And this is a
15 project that can help reverse that
16 trend. By actively taking nitrogen
17 out of the ground and using it to grow
18 grass.

19 I challenge you to think of
20 another opportunity that you have that
21 can so actively help to mitigate the
22 nitrogen problem that we are facing.
23 And I can't imagine a project that is
24 more fitting for you to approve and to
25 move forward.

1
2 the nitrogen in this watershed. You
3 don't need to be a rocket scientist to
4 see that.

5 It's interesting that some of
6 the only criticism I have seen against
7 the fertigation process is that they
8 may have actually run out of nitrogen.
9 That the nitrogen levels may become
10 lower than what the demands are for
11 irrigation.

12 Can you imagine 30 years from
13 now if we achieve that? If Discovery
14 reports that the nitrogen they are
15 pumping out of the ground -- the
16 nitrogen laden water -- is now less
17 than the nitrogen water is all used
18 up? What an epic achievement that
19 would be.

20 I know there are issues of
21 conformity that the Commission has to
22 evaluate here, but I would ask that
23 you look at the bigger picture. Your
24 mission statement -- to paraphrase --
25 is to manage land use to protect vital

1
2 Thank you.
3 CHAIRWOMAN GALLAGHER: Thank
4 you, Andrew.

5 Onto Camden Ackerman, to be
6 followed Elizabeth Jackson.

7 MR. ACKERMAN: Good afternoon.
8 I want to just start off by
9 saying I am here representing the East
10 End Disability Associates.

11 We have met with our counsel and
12 we and they believe that this project
13 meets all of the Standards of the Pine
14 Barrens Commission --

15 CHAIRWOMAN GALLAGHER:
16 (Interjecting) -- just a reminder,
17 your full name and spell it. I know
18 you said you are with the East End
19 Disabilities. Just for the benefit of
20 the court reporter.

21 MR. ACKERMAN: Camden Ackerman,
22 C-A-M-D-E-N, Ackerman,
23 A-C-K-E-R-M-A-N.
24 And I am with East End
25 Disability Associates.

1
2 We have met with our counsel and
3 we believe that this project, one,
4 meets all of the Standards of the Pine
5 Barrens Commission. That being said,
6 we are in the land use organization,
7 but our CEO wanted to share this
8 statement:

9 East End Disability Associates
10 is a 501C3 certified not for profit
11 organization dedicated to creating
12 practical solutions and providing
13 innovative support to people with
14 intellectual and developmental
15 disabilities on the east end of Long
16 Island.

17 On behalf of East End Disability
18 Associates, I would like to express my
19 support to Discovery Land Company.
20 They have been an incredible community
21 partner and have been an empathetic
22 advocate for the needs of people with
23 intellectual disabilities on Long
24 Island.

25 Among other things, either is

1
2 have a couple seconds left -- as a
3 local resident, I would like to
4 welcome Steve Englebright to come golf
5 with me at Hampton Hills at any point,
6 which is located in the core of
7 Pine Barrens region.

8 Thank you.

9 CHAIRWOMAN GALLAGHER: Thank
10 you, Camden.

11 Onto Elizabeth Jackson, to be
12 followed by Claudia Braymer.

13 MS. JACKSON: My name is
14 Elizabeth Jackson, J-A-C-K-S-O-N.

15 And I live in East Quogue. I
16 was born in East Quogue and can trace
17 my family lineage back 11 generations
18 to the greater East Quogue Region.

19 I have a bachelors degree in
20 environmental studies, and have been
21 following this project for many years.

22 Over these years, I have spoken
23 and worked along side many people from
24 different backgrounds, having
25 experienced life in this hamlet at

1
2 received from Discovery Land, they
3 were one of the first organizations to
4 provide assistance to us when the
5 COVID-19 outbreak began. And we
6 needed help the most. During the
7 COVID-19 pandemic, Discovery Land
8 helped us obtain personal protective
9 equipment and enabled us to continue
10 providing care and kept our staff and
11 people that we support at the height
12 of the pandemic safe.

13 EEDA supports Discovery Land's
14 goal in developing a luxury golf
15 course in East Quogue. Their past
16 community support indicates they will
17 continue to be an excellent community
18 partner not only to EEDA but to the
19 entire east end.

20 We look forward to experiencing
21 the benefits from the value that they
22 bring to this region.

23 Signed, Lisa Meyer Fertal, CEO
24 of East End Disability Associates.

25 On a side note -- just while I

1
2 very different periods of time. I
3 have heard their stories about the
4 Hills, listened to their warnings and
5 respected their input over the many
6 years this project has been under
7 review.

8 I come today exhausted, and
9 reflecting on how many of those great
10 neighbors now lay at rest in Oakwood
11 Cemetery, quiet, but soon to be
12 surrounded by this massive commercial
13 resort development.

14 I have about four different
15 points to comment on quick so that we
16 can get back to everything else. Just
17 things that I have found in recent --
18 just looking at the new latest plans.

19 One, would be, we know that the
20 fluctuations are great. The water
21 table fluctuations are great in this
22 area. That's part of why --
23 historically -- why no one built
24 there. That's why we knew not to
25 touch it for generations because that

1
2 is where all the water was getting
3 reprocessed into our aquifer. So even
4 though it seems like an easy enough
5 way to calculate numbers, these soils
6 are laid by the glaciers, they are
7 doing their job for us now. And as
8 soon as we start to disrupt them, we
9 really don't know a hundred percent
10 what will happen because of it.

11 Likewise, we don't know where
12 all the pockets of water are, we don't
13 know what happens when all of a sudden
14 you go digging for a underground
15 parking garage during the dry season,
16 and then the wet season comes and all
17 of a sudden you have compromised
18 construction.

19 The shifting soils, changing
20 elevations, introduction of under
21 water utilities, rerouting water
22 runoff, et cetera. What happens to
23 the post treatment water exiting the
24 sewage treatment plant? That's the
25 part of what I am confused about; is

1
2 Likewise, if you kept it at five
3 feet below the top of the water level
4 potential, than that would kind of be
5 a danger in itself because people
6 don't want to live next to a half
7 filled bathtub.

8 Also, if it's not a wetlands
9 then it would have been lined. So if
10 it's lined, you are also going to have
11 issues with -- if you have it at max
12 capacity regularly and we have water
13 events, it would flood over the banks.
14 Things to consider. Not as easily as
15 seeing the plan quickly.

16 I'm really concerned about the
17 southern pine beetles. We know that
18 they are there and no one ever wants
19 to talk about them as many times as I
20 brought it up. I am concerned because
21 I have seen neighborhoods where the
22 pine beetles have infiltrated and the
23 trees have been filled and it looks
24 like a terror zone. This area now is
25 only going to have maybe 30 feet

1
2 when the water gets processed and is
3 cleaned or whatnot, is it then sent
4 back out into the soil right at that
5 location? Because if that's the case,
6 it's going to get flooding in an area
7 that typically wouldn't have had that
8 point source of flooding. Maybe
9 that's not the case, but that is my
10 concern, so I am bringing it up so you
11 have it to think about.

12 I'm also concerned about --
13 confused about the pond being 10 feet
14 deep and being considered non
15 recreational, but primarily there for
16 storm water runoff for recharge or
17 whatnot. Ten feet is a lot of depth.
18 Normally, if you have a recharge
19 basin, like we do on Lewis Road, they
20 remain empty until the water needs to
21 be filled into them. So I was curious
22 as to how you would maintain this area
23 at maximum 10 foot capacity and then
24 how does more -- when a rain event
25 happens, empty into it?

1
2 between a residential area and the
3 golf course properties that's
4 developed.

5 If the pine beetles happen to
6 get in there, which they might be more
7 likely to because this is going to be
8 an area with development and incoming
9 compromised ecosystem, whatnot. Then
10 all of a sudden, now you're going to
11 have no choice but to take all of
12 trees and cut them down for the sake
13 of the environment and that point.
14 Now, all of that area we just
15 calculated and all these numbers is
16 suddenly going to be a zero versus
17 what little bit was there at the edges
18 of the golf course. Something to
19 really consider. Perhaps look at
20 where the areas that are prone to be
21 susceptible are. Maybe that will play
22 into how close you make these numbers
23 on our neighbors.

24 I'm also still confused a bit
25 about the wonderfulness of the Parlato

1
2 property. Two things:
3
4 One is from what I'm reading, it
5 doesn't actually mean that they are
6 building it on their account. It
7 would just be that they let Suffolk
8 County build it when they want to put
9 the new well in, which is kind of odd
10 because I would think that the Town of
11 Southampton probably also would have
12 let them put a well in there if they
13 owned the property anyway.

14 Also with the Timperman
15 addition, the parcels back there
16 weren't developed much because you
17 couldn't get to them because there was
18 old file mapping. And right now, the
19 access to -- people that live
20 residentially year round is through a
21 right of way that's on the eastern
22 side of the main Parlato property.

23 It's not a road. It can't be
24 abandoned because it's just a right of
25 way. But it is even beyond the right
of away where the asphalt or where the

1
2 there. It's not really rocket
3 science. Just remember that it's not
4 necessarily -- they are not giving you
5 or us as much as we might be lead to
6 believe -- that they are.

7 Thank you.
8 CHAIRWOMAN GALLAGHER: Thank you
9 Elizabeth.

10 Onto Claudia Braymer, to be
11 followed by Michael Marino.

12 MS. BRAYMER: To the members of
13 the Commission, thank you for this
14 opportunity to provide comments.

15 I am Claudia Braymer, one of the
16 attorneys representing the Group for
17 the East End.

18 I am cocounsel with Jeff Bragman
19 who is not able to be here this
20 afternoon.

21 I will not go over all of the
22 history of this project. I know you
23 guys have dealt with this a lot. I am
24 not as familiar with all of the
25 details, but I know that

1
2 dirt road goes where the people are
3 constantly traveling back and forth to
4 their parcel with whoever wants to
5 visit them.

6 So, again, I just want you to
7 look closer to see if this what they
8 are graciously going to give the Town
9 and be part of their calculations so
10 that they can develop more in this
11 core area of development. Is it
12 really what we think we are getting?

13 And I don't want it to be where
14 the Town is the one left with the
15 concerns to have deal with in the long
16 run.

17 I think they have made some
18 advances in shrinking and
19 consolidating. However, I also remind
20 us, we have been explaining that the
21 area on the northern part has already
22 been discussed as being extremely
23 hilly and hard to work with. So the
24 fact that they are now thinking, you
25 know what, maybe we shouldn't build

1
2 Assemblyman Englebright and many, many
3 others have already discussed the
4 sensitive nature of this area as well
5 as the significant scope and impacts
6 of the proposed project. And I know
7 that you have a very big decision to
8 be made in front of you.

9 We have challenged the
10 subdivision approval that was given by
11 the Town Planning Board and I can make
12 any of those pleadings or a memoriam
13 of law available to the Commission's
14 counsel at anytime if you would like
15 me to send that over.

16 The prior application from 2013,
17 the Hills, at Southampton MUPDD, was
18 before the Town Board many years ago.
19 And as we have heard this afternoon,
20 that application never passed and is
21 not even applicable at this time
22 because that Town law has since been
23 repealed. So that application has
24 been entirely discontinued and the
25 Town Board is no longer an involved

1
2 agency.
3
4 In 2017, a new application, the
5 Lewis Road PRD, was submitted to the
6 Planning Board. The Planning Board
7 did not undertake a coordinated review
8 and it did not itself take on the lead
9 agency status. We have the Town's
10 answer in our case now, and they have
11 not indicated anywhere that they took
12 on the lead agency status or undertook
13 the SEQRA process in order to take
14 over lead agency status. And they did
15 not in anyway engage a coordinated
16 review with you as the Commission as
17 an involved agency in the review
process.

18 Because SEQRA requires strict
19 compliance, you need to undertake your
20 own SEQRA review. That means doing
21 your own determination of
22 significance. You cannot be a
23 subordinate to an involved agency when
24 a lead agency doesn't even exist.

25 As I mentioned, the only lead

1
2 Planning Board as well. Which is in
3 further support of our argument that
4 there needs to be a coordinated review
5 between agencies that actually give
6 approval of this project.

7 This is a large project, and we
8 ask that you take our concern
9 seriously, that you do this right.
10 And as an agency with your own
11 authority that -- since there was no
12 coordinated review -- you take lead
13 agency status and give this a positive
14 declaration, so that it can actually
15 be thoroughly reviewed and an EIS
16 prepared for this project.

17 Ultimately, we would like to see
18 the project be denied, but this is the
19 first step.

20 I thank you for the opportunity
21 to provide these comments.

22 And again, I can provide any
23 information as needed.

24 Thank you very much.

25 CHAIRWOMAN GALLAGHER: Thank you

1
2 agency that has existed on this
3 convoluted history of the project on
4 this particular property is the Town
5 Board for the 2013 application that no
6 longer exists and it's no longer
7 relevant. It cannot be approved
8 because that law has been repealed.

9 The Town Board has already been
10 sat today. It is no longer an agency
11 and has no approval jurisdiction. It
12 has no approval authority and it
13 cannot be the lead agency on this new
14 application.

15 The application before you is
16 not simply an alternative, it is a
17 whole new project.

18 So again, in conclusion, you
19 must comply with your own SEQRA
20 requirements for the actual project
21 now before you, which I've heard today
22 is different than what was actually
23 reviewed and approved by the Planning
24 Board, so I am assuming this is all
25 going to have to go back to the

1
2 Claudia.

3 We will move onto
4 Michael Mirino, to be followed by
5 Lawrence Oxman; who I have as our
6 final speaker who requested to speak
7 with us in advance of the meeting.

8 If there are other folks who
9 want to speak afterwards, we will be
10 able to take your comments.

11 MR. MIRINO: Thank you.

12 My name is Mike Mirino.

13 I live in East Quogue.

14 Thank you, Pine Barren

15 Commissioners.

16 What has been happening in our
17 country once again, directing your
18 attention, to prevent commercial golf
19 course development in the Pine Barrens
20 has been easier than expected because
21 prevention is simple. The existing
22 five acre country residence zoning was
23 left for us by people who knew better.
24 Our elected Town Board rejected this
25 commercial golf course. The people of

1
2 East Quogue rejected it in its own
3 village, bigly.

4 Any Town action beyond this is
5 irrelevant. Please protect our
6 environment, restore faith in local
7 government and not grant special
8 permission allowing commercial golf
9 course development in the
10 Pine Barrens.

11 So it's simple, easy and fair.
12 Maintain the in place five acre
13 residential zoning. The conditions
14 under which the property was
15 purchased. The Town of Southampton
16 Code, Chapter 247 Open Space, Article
17 one, PRD, 247-9:

18 Recreational, conservational and
19 cultural uses.

20 Section D applies.

21 Areas for active to recreation
22 which are to contain substantial
23 approvals, structures referencing
24 surfaces and other alteration from
25 their natural state shall not

1
2 I actually -- first my name is
3 Lawrence Oxman. L-A-W-R-E-N-C-E,
4 Oxman, O-X-M-A-N.

5 I reside in Southampton Town. I
6 have been a resident for 43 years in
7 the West Hampton area. I live in
8 Remsenburg now.

9 Again, thank you for letting me
10 speak.

11 I have submitted a written
12 comment that can go for the record, so
13 I don't want to really repeat what
14 I've submitted.

15 But I am a very strong believer
16 in property rights. And I believe
17 that the applicant purchased this
18 property years ago based on the
19 current zoning, based upon the Suffolk
20 County Sanitary Code, based on the
21 Standards and Guidelines of the
22 Pine Barrens Comprehensive Land Use
23 Plan. They have read all of those.
24 They are submitting an application, in
25 my opinion, that meets all of those

1
2 constitute open space as required by
3 section 247-7, which is the applicable
4 sections.

5 Also, please apply the following
6 truth in your substantial public
7 influence and other endeavors.

8 Private community is an
9 oxymoron. Our country needs inclusion
10 and brotherhood now more than ever.

11 Again, thank you for listening.

12 CHAIRWOMAN GALLAGHER: Thank
13 you, Mike.

14 Onto Lawrence Oxman, and then we
15 will check in with folks who may have
16 logged in but did not actually request
17 to speak ahead of time.

18 MR. MILAZZO: Carrie,
19 Steve Barshov -- and I apologize for
20 butchering the name -- has requested
21 to speak after Mr. Oxman.

22 CHAIRWOMAN GALLAGHER: Okay.

23 MR. MILAZZO: Thank you.

24 MR. OXMAN: Thank you for
25 letting me have this opportunity.

1
2 Guidelines. I really think that --
3 let's leave it to the staff with the
4 Planning Board and the Pine Barrens
5 Commission staff to make the
6 recommendations. And I think that
7 everything should be -- everything
8 will be worked out.

9 I am a little offended by all
10 the accusations that the opponents
11 have been saying today. I have not
12 planned on talking about this, but
13 when I start to hear that they are
14 complaining that the golf course has
15 been reduced in size and will it be
16 viable or that the roads are now going
17 to be narrower. I mean, they can't
18 win. So if the roads are too wide,
19 it's not good; if the golf course is
20 too big, that's not good. They are
21 reducing the size, that can't be good
22 either. The bays are polluted. Well,
23 we know that the bays are polluted. It
24 has nothing to do with this
25 application.

1
2 In fact, what this application
3 is trying to do is lessen that effect.
4 Pine beetles. Pine beetles.
5 Seriously. The Damascus contamination
6 was brought up, it's not anywhere near
7 the site. People in the cemetery
8 won't be able to rest in peace. These
9 are just dots that should not be
10 connected. It's fright rhetoric, it's
11 the sky is falling.

12 I am also very tired of hearing
13 that the PDD was defeated. Actually,
14 the Town Board -- the majority of the
15 Town Board voted in favor of it.
16 Unfortunately, it needed a
17 supermajority which it did not gain.

18 So back to my point, I trust the
19 planning staff, I trust the
20 consultants and I trust that you will
21 do the right thing and that you will
22 basically determine that this project
23 meets the Standards and Guidelines of
24 the Comprehensive Plan.

25 Thank you.

1
2 Paget and Riesel here in New York
3 City.
4 I am counsel for the applicant.
5 I would like to take a few
6 moments to address the multiple
7 comments that have been made -- have
8 been made regarding SEQRA.

9 So that the Commission is aware,
10 the Town Board was the lead agency for
11 the review of the entire project.
12 That included a development proposal
13 that was part of the PDD application,
14 which always included the same
15 development that you have before you
16 today. It included residential
17 development and everything that you
18 see except for the public element of
19 the golf course.

20 So when the Town Board undertook
21 its environmental review, it reviewed
22 the entire project, not a distinct and
23 competing development as my good
24 friend Bob DeLuca described it, with
25 all due respect, erroneously.

1
2 CHAIRWOMAN GALLAGHER: Thank
3 you, Lawrence.

4 So at this time, I know that
5 John already mentioned that
6 Steven Barshov would like to speak,
7 but if you are logged in as a
8 participant and have not already
9 provided comment and would like to do
10 so, please indicate your interest in
11 the chat window and we will be able to
12 make a list. It's in alphabetical
13 order, so I would like to call on any
14 remaining individuals who would like
15 to participate.

16 I was also just notified that if
17 you raise your hand in the window,
18 that is also helpful to alert that you
19 would like an opportunity to speak.

20 So we will figure that out while
21 Mr. Barshov is speaking.

22 MR. BARSHOV: Good afternoon,
23 everyone.

24 My name is Steven Barshov.
25 I am an attorney with Sive,

1
2 When the Town Board concluded
3 its environmental review, it adopted a
4 Findings Statement in which it
5 concluded that there were no
6 significant adverse environmental
7 impacts that would arise from this
8 project. When the PDD failed to
9 achieve the supermajority that was
10 required, the applicant simply went on
11 to continue the process by filing a
12 subdivision application with the
13 Planning Board. Something it would
14 have always had to have done, even if
15 the Town Board had granted the PDD
16 approval.

17 When the Planning Board
18 undertook its environmental review, it
19 determined that no supplemental
20 environmental impact statement was
21 needed and that it could proceed to
22 adopt its own Findings Statement,
23 which it did. And most importantly in
24 that regard, this Commission is also
25 an involved agency. It will be called

1
2 upon to make its own Findings
3 Statement.

4 The allegation has been made
5 that the Town Board can no longer be
6 made lead agency because it lacks
7 jurisdiction. It does not lack
8 jurisdiction. Bob DeLuca mentioned
9 the fact that there were additional
10 approvals including acceptance of
11 dedications and other matters which
12 would have to be undertaken by the
13 Town Board so it does retain
14 jurisdiction.

15 And on that legal point, Bob and
16 I disagree. And of course the courts
17 will resolve this, as Claudia quite
18 rightly referenced. This matter is
19 before the court and the judges in
20 that they will decide who is right and
21 who is wrong regarding the
22 environmental review of this project.

23 What is before this Commission
24 today is not any of that quite
25 frankly. What is before this

1
2 be.
3 And will it in fact -- and does
4 in fact -- meet each and every one of
5 the Standards that the Commission must
6 review and analyze.

7 So I would suggest to you that
8 A: There is no issue before you that
9 precludes you -- no environmental
10 matter or environmental process that
11 precludes you from taking action. You
12 are perfectly able to do so as an
13 involved agency. And I would
14 encourage you to please look at the
15 submissions -- the technical
16 submissions which have been made.
17 Where there has been very, very
18 significant technical analysis
19 undertaken in order to show you and
20 prove to you with confidence, with
21 deliberateness that this project does
22 not create a single instance where the
23 Commission Standards are not met.

24 That is what I would ask you to
25 please look at when you are reviewing

1
2 Commission today is something which
3 has remarkably received little comment
4 in all of the comments made today,
5 which is, has this project been
6 demonstrated to meet the various
7 Standards that this Commission must
8 apply?

9 And as I was listening to
10 comments, I was struck by how little
11 there was stated particularly by
12 project opponents as to how and in
13 what way this project fails to meet
14 the Standards this Commission is
15 required to review and analyze. And
16 would point out to the Commission,
17 that a great deal of effort has been
18 undertaken with the Town environmental
19 division and Commission staff in order
20 to refine the location of golf course
21 holes to increase the amount of
22 unfragmented open space and to do
23 other things that can be done and have
24 been done in order to make sure that
25 this project is the best that it can

1
2 this application on the merits.

3 Thank you very much.

4 CHAIRWOMAN GALLAGHER: Okay.

5 Thank you, Steven.

6 Do we have other folks who wish
7 to speak?

8 (WHEREUPON, there was inaudible,
9 indecipherable cross-talk among the
10 parties present.)

11 CHAIRWOMAN GALLAGHER: So
12 Dominic Clark and on deck will be
13 Robert Dallas.

14 MR. CLARK: My name is Dominic
15 Clark. Last name is spelled
16 C-L-A-R-K.

17 I am going to read a letter. He
18 is unable to make the call.

19 The letter from the Incorporated
20 Village of Westhampton Beach. The
21 mayor and the Board apologize for not
22 being on the call. They have an
23 important meeting of their own today.

24 Dear Pine Barrens Commission
25 members,

1
2 We are writing to share our
3 Village's positive experiences with
4 Discovery Land Company.

5 Discovery Land Company purchased
6 the Dune Deck property on Dune Road
7 and our Village demolished the
8 existing structure and transformed it
9 into a world class vacation spot.

10 The developer worked within all
11 of our strict development guidelines,
12 managed to meet all of the latest FEMA
13 requirements and coastal erosion and
14 hazard area requirements. Move their
15 structures back from the dune, rebuilt
16 the dune in front of their property
17 and observed all piping clover and
18 other summer construction limitations.

19 In the process of doing all of
20 their planning, it was discovered that
21 the villages public road at its
22 neighboring beach was located on part
23 of the Dune Deck property. At their
24 own considerable expense Discovery
25 Land Company built a public access

1
2 Southampton. I have been for 20
3 years.

4 I have a three-year-old son, so
5 the aquifer and the environmental
6 quality that is disputed in this
7 beautiful landscape is very important
8 to me.

9 I am a hundred percent in favor
10 of this project and I urge the
11 Commission to respectfully approve the
12 Lewis Road project.

13 Discovery will be an excellent
14 steward of these 600 plus acres.

15 I respectfully disagree with
16 something that I heard before with
17 Assemblyman Englebright and all of the
18 other non-partial objectors to the
19 project.

20 These objections are not based
21 on facts, science, nor from the
22 responses provided in the testimony in
23 the application. All we hear is a lot
24 of noise crafted to draw your
25 attention away from the facts.

1
2 road for our Village residents on the
3 Lashley Beach property.

4 Discovery Land Company has also
5 been a generous partner for many local
6 area charities and public events.
7 Including those for the Westhampton
8 Beach Performing Arts Center, the
9 Greater Westhampton Chamber of
10 Commerce, the Moriches Bay project and
11 the many other supporting programs for
12 the wonderful people for the beautiful
13 village and the environment.

14 Based on our experience with
15 Discovery Land Company, we are
16 confident that they will live up to
17 all of the components of the
18 Lewis Road PRD project and will
19 continue to work to support the
20 community at large for years to come.

21 Signed Sincerely, Maria Moore,
22 Mayor.

23 MR. DALLAS: My name is Robert
24 Dallas and I am a community member and
25 a tax payor in the Town of

1
2 And speaking of the facts, the
3 project does not fall in the core
4 preservation area of the Pine Barrens.
5 The plan meets all of the Standards
6 and Guidelines of the Central Land Use
7 Plan, which was also confirmed by the
8 Town of Southampton Planning Board
9 from the professional planning staff.

10 Not only is there absolutely
11 zero development in the core
12 preservation area, there is zero
13 development in the critical resource
14 area. The plan will preserve over 430
15 acres, and at the same time, will
16 result in tax reductions for people in
17 the East Quogue District -- School
18 District.

19 The plan maximizes over
20 contiguous open space in East Quogue.
21 The project will use less water, less
22 fertilizer and remove nitrogen from
23 the watershed as compared to a
24 standard subdivision.

25 From what I've heard and read

1
2 the Town of Southampton, their
3 scientists and the consultants say,
4 the application conforms with all the
5 Standards and Guidelines in the
6 Central Land Use Plan based on science
7 and facts.

8 So your decision should be
9 simple.

10 Thank you very much for your
11 time and consideration.

12 MS. LIGUORI: Hi everyone.

13 I'm actually going to be reading
14 a letter on behalf of Jok Kommer. So
15 I'll just preface it quickly by saying
16 that for those of you who don't know
17 Jok, he's an environmental scientist
18 teacher at Westhampton Beach.

19 By the way, I am a resident of
20 Westhampton Beach. My husband and I
21 bought a home here about two years
22 ago, but we also grew up out here our
23 whole lives, so my parents bought a
24 home in East Quogue.

25 Everyone in high school loved

1
2 captured my attention years ago. I
3 quickly turned it over for review to
4 my students. It was tough as they
5 didn't like lengthy documents written
6 from policymakers, by careful
7 engineers and local officials. All
8 with something in the mix. The kids
9 just knew how they felt about the
10 development. It was hard for them to
11 define the issues, and the details
12 were tough on the emotions.

13 That's not it though. That's
14 not the point of this review now years
15 down the road. All the emotions and
16 all the platitudes don't compare to
17 the simple fact, unbalanced and with
18 every opportunity Discovery has made
19 their project superior to the
20 requirements put forward to them.
21 They did the right engineering of the
22 waste water treatment. It far exceeds
23 the standards that has been set. They
24 did the right thing when confronted
25 with slope concerns and clustering

1
2 Jok Kommer's class. He really has a
3 strong dedication to protecting the
4 environment. That's become his life
5 mission and he studied this proposal
6 since the beginning and applauded
7 always how innovative and responsible
8 it was. So his endorsement should
9 really reassure you that this is a
10 well designed project.

11 To begin:

12 Not being an engineer, a
13 politician or even a business man it
14 seems odd to pour over documents or
15 even to take the time to show up to
16 represent the interest of people I
17 barely know on behalf of a project
18 that I will never directly benefit
19 from.

20 This too because most of my
21 education in all of my years as a
22 teacher were spent on the environment
23 and studying with my kids, the local
24 systems that constitute our ecology.

25 This project, quote, the Hills,

1
2 when the analysts said to do better,
3 and they did that too. They
4 reconfigured and acquired more land
5 for preservation that does more than
6 just enhance the connections between
7 small parcels. It made them whole and
8 it keeps them in perpetuity for open
9 space. This project does what
10 projects of this type are supposed to
11 do. Make better use of the land than
12 as of right, exceed water quality
13 standards and actually repair damage
14 to water quality.

15 This parcel groups parcels who
16 have had a history of use and
17 scarring. This is a wise use of this
18 land that will benefit the local
19 economy while weighing the
20 environmental cost and always doing
21 more than what was asked.

22 Thank you for consideration,
23 Joseph O. Kommer.

24 CHAIRWOMAN GALLAGHER: Thank
25 you, Heather.

1
2 Who's the last person? Next is
3 Tom Ward and he's on deck and then we
4 will go back to Yuki who has returned
5 to us.

6 MR WARD: Hi. My name is
7 Tom Ward and I am representing the
8 Long Island Chapter of Sierra Club.

9 The Sierra club opposes this
10 program. I'm not going to go into all
11 the reasons, but for -- to repeat
12 things Representative Englebright and
13 DeLuca and others have said.

14 But the concern with the runoff
15 with nutrients, the fact that there is
16 a danger in animal extinction and the
17 fact that that was mentioned today,
18 this plan seems to be based on a view
19 that it's only going to be -- the
20 residences are only going to be used
21 for part of the year. Kind of is a
22 bit of a -- creates a situation where
23 the sewage and other things may not
24 really be up to snuff for people who
25 decide to live there all year.

1
2 376 should be unmuted now if you
3 wanted to speak.

4 If either participants who has
5 dialed in -- one has a phone number
6 ending in 376 and one has a number
7 ending in 437. If you would like to
8 speak, you can unmute yourself at this
9 point. And if not, that is fine. We
10 just wanted to make sure we have given
11 you the opportunity to speak since we
12 saw that you had called in.

13 Okay. Not hearing anyone. We
14 did have two more folks that were part
15 of the applicant who wanted to provide
16 some final comments. So we are going
17 to go back to Chick and then to
18 Ed Divita. I think they wanted to
19 provide some final comments on behalf
20 of the applicant.

21 MR. VOORHIS: Thank you very
22 much.

23 Thank you for recognizing me.

24 I really covered most of what
25 needed to be covered. There were a

1
2 So for all of those reasons, the
3 Sierra Club of Long Island opposes
4 this project.

5 CHAIRWOMAN GALLAGHER: Thank
6 you, Tom.

7 Okay. Yuki, there you are.
8 Hello.

9 MR. ENDO: My name is Yuki Endo.
10 I am a nature lover and loss of any
11 nature in New York is (inaudible)
12 because it's beautiful Pine Barrens
13 across Long Island.(inaudible).

14 CHAIRWOMAN GALLAGHER: Thank
15 you, Yuki.

16 (WHEREUPON, there was inaudible,
17 indecipherable cross-talk among the
18 parties present.)

19 CHAIRWOMAN GALLAGHER: So we
20 just want to check now if there is any
21 call in participants -- any conference
22 call participants who wanted to speak.

23 A phone number ending in 376, if
24 you could just identify yourself.

25 So the phone number ending in

1
2 number of speakers; Mr. Barshov,
3 Mr. Dallas had some excellent points.
4 But I just want to go back to a couple
5 of quick items that Mr. Englebright
6 started and raised a couple of issues
7 that I want to assure to the
8 Commission are addressed.

9 Number one: There will be an
10 integrated turf health management plan
11 for the golf recreation area. That's
12 something that the Town of Southampton
13 administered for other golf courses.
14 It does that very effectively.

15 Mr. Englebright talked golf
16 course chemistry and monitoring.
17 There will be extensive groundwater
18 monitoring. We don't expect any
19 triggers to be met. Especially based
20 on experience of the Town and their
21 expert Dr. Petrovic. But there
22 certainly will be lysimeters which
23 will take samples in the vadose zone
24 before recharge gets to groundwater as
25 well as groundwater monitoring.

<p>1</p> <p>2 It's all part of the project.</p> <p>3 It's all part of the approval.</p> <p>4 The level of cluster was brought</p> <p>5 up by Mr. Englebright. I want to</p> <p>6 assure the Commission that I looked at</p> <p>7 this very carefully. I feel that</p> <p>8 bringing in the Parlato property,</p> <p>9 which is 120 acres east of this site,</p> <p>10 and having no development there as</p> <p>11 opposed to having that be for</p> <p>12 potential future development is</p> <p>13 advantageous in many, many respects.</p> <p>14 It's encouraged by the East Quogue</p> <p>15 Land Use Plan and it just meets common</p> <p>16 sense that it takes that development</p> <p>17 off the table and brings that land use</p> <p>18 to a consolidated area.</p> <p>19 There's no development within a</p> <p>20 core preservation area. That's a</p> <p>21 given. The core area extends 1,000</p> <p>22 feet south of Sunrise Highway. Our</p> <p>23 cluster removes all development from</p> <p>24 steep slope areas and is clustered</p> <p>25 tightly. He also purchased the</p>	<p>177</p> <p>1</p> <p>2 Discovery Land and with guidance from</p> <p>3 the Town.</p> <p>4 The fact that we meet those</p> <p>5 perimeters has already been factored</p> <p>6 into the wildlife and retention of</p> <p>7 habitats based on the Pine Barrens</p> <p>8 plan and the DEIS that was done for</p> <p>9 that plan in the '90s. This is</p> <p>10 compatible growth area. The area we</p> <p>11 are developing is compatible growth.</p> <p>12 There was talk about fuel</p> <p>13 storage. And I think it's very</p> <p>14 important to note that fuel storage is</p> <p>15 not in anyway prohibited. It must</p> <p>16 conform with Article 12 of the Suffolk</p> <p>17 County Sanitary Code. The volume of</p> <p>18 material is not excessive.</p> <p>19 In Article 12, it provides</p> <p>20 safeguards for the storage of that</p> <p>21 material.</p> <p>22 I worked very extensively with</p> <p>23 Dr. Gobbler on this, as well as other</p> <p>24 projects. We came to agreement on the</p> <p>25 numbers at the end of the PDD process.</p>
<p>178</p> <p>1</p> <p>2 Kracke property and consolidated</p> <p>3 development from that, which could</p> <p>4 have been a separate and distinct</p> <p>5 development on its own.</p> <p>6 We worked very closely with the</p> <p>7 Town, we walked the site extensively.</p> <p>8 Many visits were placed to the site to</p> <p>9 ensure that all environmental</p> <p>10 resources and contiguity of open space</p> <p>11 was protected.</p> <p>12 There were a couple of comments</p> <p>13 about wildlife going extinct,</p> <p>14 disequilibrium. I don't see that in</p> <p>15 the Standards and Guidelines.</p> <p>16 Basically, the project will retain</p> <p>17 72 percent of the property. We can</p> <p>18 only build on 28 percent of the</p> <p>19 remaining natural open space. And</p> <p>20 there are many areas that will also be</p> <p>21 preserved that are already cleared.</p> <p>22 We can't develop because of the nature</p> <p>23 of the project site. Those areas are</p> <p>24 already being returned to native Pine</p> <p>25 Barrens through the efforts of</p>	<p>180</p> <p>1</p> <p>2 Dr. Gobbler did recognize that is your</p> <p>3 certain public benefits that were part</p> <p>4 of the PDD and are not required or</p> <p>5 otherwise necessary for a subdivision</p> <p>6 that conforms to Town Code and has</p> <p>7 gained preliminary subdivision. Those</p> <p>8 public benefits that were related to</p> <p>9 the PDD are not necessary. They also</p> <p>10 are not necessary for us to meet with</p> <p>11 the Standards and Guidelines.</p> <p>12 Particularly with respect to</p> <p>13 nitrogen. I spoke extensively about</p> <p>14 the nitrogen load analysis and how we</p> <p>15 are consistent. I will standby the</p> <p>16 record on that. And there's no need</p> <p>17 to look at the CDM Smith model of the</p> <p>18 County, which is basically a regional</p> <p>19 model. We have done all necessary</p> <p>20 site specific site assessments to</p> <p>21 demonstrate conformance with the</p> <p>22 Standards and Guidelines, in</p> <p>23 particular with the nitrate-nitrogen</p> <p>24 Guidelines.</p> <p>25 I will just say that other</p>

1 comments seem very general to me.
 2 They didn't provide scientific backup.
 3 Comments like it's a bad idea are not
 4 in the Standards and Guidelines. And
 5 I will rely on our record and the
 6 submissions that is providing factual
 7 information based on extensive
 8 experience, consultants that have a
 9 reputation to uphold and a team that
 10 sincerely is looking to do the right
 11 thing and provide the Commission with
 12 the information they need to make a
 13 well informed decision.

14 Those are my remarks and really
 15 thank you for letting me speak.

16 CHAIRWOMAN GALLAGHER: Thank
 17 you, Chick.

18 So Mr. Divita and then if we
 19 have no other inquires or requests we
 20 will --

21 (WHEREUPON, there was inaudible,
 22 indecipherable cross-talk among the
 23 parties present.)

24 MR. DIVITA: Good afternoon.

1 Along the way we have listened
 2 carefully to comments and feedback
 3 from the community and from all
 4 agencies. And throughout the process,
 5 we integrated them as appropriate into
 6 the application. We have taken many
 7 years and worked very diligently as a
 8 team to document the project
 9 conformance in writing. It's in the
 10 form of a very thorough and vetted
 11 application.

12 I would just like to clarify a
 13 few points from the speakers today.

14 Number one, we are not the
 15 biggest and baddest project of all
 16 kind as Mr. Amper suggested.

17 This is a very carefully,
 18 professionally and thoughtfully
 19 planned project, fully conforming to
 20 the objective criteria of the
 21 Comprehensive Land Use Plan.

22 Also, the project area is not
 23 off limits to development as
 24 Ms. Kearns states. It's in fact

1 My name is Ed Divita and I am a
 2 partner with Discovery Land Company
 3 and I represent the applicant.

4 I would like to thank the
 5 Commission and staff for a very
 6 thorough evaluation of our
 7 application. And a truly high quality
 8 process and dialogue about the project
 9 details.

10 We are indeed sincere in
 11 proposing a fully conforming project.
 12 Among our most important project
 13 design criteria that we set out at the
 14 outset of the project includes total
 15 conformance with all requirements of
 16 the Comprehensive Land Use Plan.

17 And I am very proud of our team
 18 of skilled professionals and designers
 19 who have prepared the plans and the
 20 application. They interfaced
 21 extensively with the Town and with the
 22 Pine Barrens Commission staff
 23 professionals, all to assure
 24 conformance on every key measure.

1 located within the compatible growth
 2 area. And per legislation, this land
 3 is meant for compatible growth. The
 4 project is fully in compliance with
 5 the New York State SEQRA law and was
 6 thoroughly -- very thoroughly vetted
 7 with multiple draft EIS, many public
 8 hearings, many public comments
 9 provided. Those comments all
 10 integrated to the final EIS which was
 11 completed and accepted by the Town
 12 Board with a vote of five Board
 13 members in favor and zero opposed.

14 And our golf course in the
 15 Bahamas is not gone. It in fact
 16 exists in beautiful and sustainable
 17 form today. It is true that a
 18 category five plus hurricane with
 19 gusts in excess of 220 miles per hour
 20 and a storm surge in excess of 10 feet
 21 hit the Bahamas last year. And the
 22 eye of the wall storm went right over
 23 the top of our project. And because
 24 our course was developed and

1
2 constructed and maintained in such a
3 substantial manner, it survived this
4 storm very well. I myself personally
5 as a representative of Discovery was
6 among the first people on the ground
7 after that storm hit in the Bahamas
8 providing evacuation and relief to
9 thousands of Bahamians -- general
10 citizens, not just our employees.

11 And despite the devastating
12 storm, today we employ over a thousand
13 gainfully at the Bahamas property.
14 And the project is the engine that's
15 leading the way for the Bahamas
16 recovery from the storm. And within
17 the Bahamas government, as high as the
18 Prime Minister, he'll attest to that.

19 Some locals who previously
20 opposed the project during the early
21 years, went live on CNN after the
22 storm thanking Discovery Land Company
23 for helping so much with relief and
24 recovery and evacuations.

25 So I ask in your decision

1
2 CHAIRWOMAN GALLAGHER: Thank
3 you, Ed.
4 I think at this point, John,
5 maybe you can go through the list of
6 individuals who have submitted written
7 comments, if you received several
8 comments in writing. We are not going
9 to read the comments, but we just
10 wanted to make you aware of who have
11 submitted written comments that will
12 be included in the record.

13 MR. PAVACIC: So these are
14 comments that we have received via
15 e-mail. We received approximately 70
16 comments via e-mail.

17 First one: Susan and Tom
18 Madigan, Martin and Ann Downs,
19 Kathleen Hurwitz, Thomas Elefterion,
20 Madeline L. Dale, Grace Cole,
21 Julia Lane, Michele Murray,
22 Melissa Clements, Marie Jacobs,
23 Brendan Martin, Gerard Connelly,
24 Ted Davis, Dennis Fagan,
25 Jeffrey Seeman, Mark F. Kessenich III,

1
2 making, please be guided by the
3 content in our application and the
4 rules, Guidelines and laws that
5 govern.

6 And most important, this project
7 in fact represents a perfect alignment
8 between the Town's Comprehensive Plan,
9 the Comprehensive Land Use Plan and
10 Discovery's approach were committed to
11 being the best possible steward of
12 this land with long-term
13 sustainability being our priority. We
14 are continuing our commitment as a
15 contributor to the community, as the
16 mayor of Westhampton Beach states.
17 And as an employer and bringing high
18 quality low impact fully conforming
19 economic development to the area. And
20 we will be here through the tough
21 times and the good times. And when
22 needed, we will be among the strongest
23 of community members.

24 So we thank you again for
25 consideration of our application.

1
2 Peter Cardel, Ambrose Carr,
3 Britton Bistrian,
4 William Kreitsek, Sr., Celeste Tymann
5 Patrick Haskell, Silas Anthony,
6 Anthony Anne, William C. Wright,
7 Abe and Lucy Cary, Judith McDermott,
8 Peter Scott Sartorius, Jr.,
9 Maria Moore, Mayor of Village
10 Westhampton Beach, Leslie M. Jones,
11 Sheryl Heather, Jeffrey Heath,
12 Patrick Gorman, Michael Alestra,
13 Shannon Sartain, John Artarian,
14 Kristie Roccoforte, Eileen Casino,
15 John Nelson, Ethan Cowan,
16 Susan Kearns, Gregory Oh, Erin Haney,
17 Tyler Morgan, Edward Burke, Jr.,
18 Wendy McCarthy, Bianca Ramirez,
19 Kristopher Selden, Sean Bouker,
20 Michael Schwenk, Tommy Bennetter,
21 Glenn O. Vickers, III,
22 Avishek Chatterjee, Joseph O. Kommer,
23 Yuki Endo, Lawrence Oxman,
24 Lindsey Gravina, Elenor Kobel,
25 Matthew Morgan, Shannon and

1
2 Gregory Celi, Karen Kooie, Long Island
3 Pine Barrens Society, Andrea Spilka
4 for Southampton Town Civic Coalition,
5 Melissa Bateman, Larry Cicarelli,
6 Bob DeLuca for the Group for the East
7 End, Tom McCarthy, Peter Satorias,
8 Aram Terchunian and Camden Ackerman.

9 That's about 71 comments so far.

10 CHAIRWOMAN GALLAGHER: Thank
11 you, John.

12 So we will be accepting
13 additional written public comments.
14 So the Commission will be accepting
15 additional written public comments on
16 the Lewis Road application for five
17 business days following this hearing.

18 They can be submitted to
19 info@pb.state.ny.us.

20 And at this time, there is a
21 motion to close the public hearing and
22 leave it open for written comments for
23 five days.

24 MR. SCHNEIDERMAN: So moved.

25 CHAIRWOMAN GALLAGHER: We got

1
2 motion now to close the Commission
3 meeting and move it -- all right. Ed
4 is making a motion, second is by Jay,
5 everyone is in favor?

6 (Whereupon, there was a
7 unanimous, affirmative vote of the
8 Board.)

9 CHAIRWOMAN GALLAGHER : Yes. We
10 will close the meeting and move into a
11 close advisory session.

12 Do we need a motion for that
13 too?

14 MR. SCHNEIDERMAN: Yes.

15 CHAIRWOMAN GALLAGHER: So I
16 should have made a motion to move into
17 a closed advisory session before we
18 closed the meeting.

19 Motion by Jay, second by Ed. We
20 will move into closed advisory session
21 and closing the meeting -- oh, John?

22 MR. MILAZZO: I was reading the
23 comments from Wayne Bruyn. He just
24 needs clarification for the comments.

25 It's five business days, when do they

1
2 our motion and Ed will second it.

3 And then if we can raise they
4 hand in favor.

5 (Whereupon, there was a
6 unanimous, affirmative vote of the
7 Board.)

8 CHAIRWOMAN GALLAGHER: All in
9 favor.

10 So there's no extensions, I'm
11 assuming. I can't see -- yeah, we
12 have everyone. Okay.

13 So the public hearing is closed.
14 We will be moving the additional
15 public comments for five business
16 days, so that would be next --

17 MR. MILAZZO: (Interjecting) --
18 next Wednesday.

19 CHAIRWOMAN GALLAGHER:
20 (Continuing) -- the 26th.

21 Okay. We will be posting the
22 meeting -- video of the meeting today,
23 and as mentioned previously we will
24 also be providing the transcript.

25 So at this point we also need a

1
2 start? Tomorrow?

3 CHAIRWOMAN GALLAGHER: Tomorrow,
4 if it's tomorrow than that gives us
5 until the end of business on
6 August 26th.

7 MR. MILAZZO: So the comments
8 are due close of business next
9 Wednesday.

10 CHAIRWOMAN GALLAGHER: Correct.

11 MR. SCHNEIDERMAN: So John
12 Milazzo, before we vote on the motion
13 to go into a closed advisory session,
14 I typically like to state the reason
15 for the close advisory, so is it
16 confidential legal advice or is it --

17 MR. MILAZZO: Yes. There's a
18 couple of matters that is we need
19 counsel, one of which we talked about
20 earlier, and we also have an
21 enforcement question for you.

22 MR. SCHNEIDERMAN: Okay. Let me
23 amend my motion to go into close
24 advisory session for the purposes of
25 confidential legal advice and

\$10000000 88:12,23	114:13,22 115:11,13 116:21 117:2,6 120:5 121:16 122:7 124:19 127:2 140:3,14 141:25 142:11,12,13 143:16,19 144:25 156:12 169:21 171:9 178:13 179:12 180:13 182:9 189:9 192:19 (46)	53:24 145:5 accounted 13:10 accurate 7:19 30:24 65:9 194:12 (4) accusations 156:10 achieve 12:11,19 21:12 28:23 134:13 160:9 (6) above 126:21 absence 73:4 99:11 absolutely 97:7 168:10 accept 97:10 acceptance 64:12 161:10 accepted 54:14 80:12 184:12 accepting 189:12,14 access 38:14,23,24 39:4 44:4 125:19 145:18 165:25 (8) accessed 38:10 39:8 accessory 63:6 accommodate 32:25 33:7 accommodated 53:7 accordance 17:17 account 18:16	25:10 53:18 63:15 66:18 72:23 95:3 99:2 132:24 153:4 163:11 193:2 (12) active 38:8,13 40:6 64:17 132:17 153:21 (6) actively 135:7,16,21 activity 15:8 actual 92:25 93:7,13 150:20 (4) actually 28:15 49:9 52:2 54:25 89:22 133:19 134:8 145:4 150:22 151:5,14 154:16 155:2 157:13 169:13 172:13 (16) add 53:14 81:3 adding 103:10 addition 41:12 94:9 130:6 145:14 (4) acres 10:25 14:2 15:3 16:2,4,12,24 17:2,12 18:4,6 20:24,25 21:3 34:3,18 68:6 90:10 95:11 125:23 126:9 167:14 168:15 177:9 (24) across 174:13 act 71:18 72:16,19 74:12 76:2,22 81:13 95:6 110:24 112:11 113:6,24 116:2 (13) acted 96:5 action 23:24	adjacent 15:17 18:19,23 20:6 33:16 102:4 133:24 (7) adjourned 23:17,25 adjournment 24:3 adjust 56:4 adjustments 57:8 administered 176:13 administrative 94:14 ado 26:22 adopt 29:10 64:4 160:22 adopted 26:7 29:3 63:21 160:3 (4) advances 146:18 advantage 71:4 advantageous 177:13 adverse 105:6 125:6 160:6 adversely 105:16 advice 192:16,25 advisory 94:13 191:11,17,20 192:13,15,24 (7) advocacy 117:9
------------------------	---	---	--	---

advocate 133:5 137:22	112:22,22 113:16,16 114:11 123:13 131:6	aguilar 61:22,22 62:11 64:22 65:11 (5)	alphabetical 158:12	133:4,9 136:9,24 141:25 142:10 143:20
advocates 118:17 135:11	146:6 150:18 151:22 152:17 154:11 155:9	aguior 3:6 6:18	already 44:3,10 93:9 109:3,5,10,10	147:15,18,23 150:24 155:15
aerial 38:4	186:24 193:11 (32)	ahead 51:12 58:3 113:20 114:3 154:17 (5)	124:7 126:16 127:15 146:21 148:3 150:9 158:5,8 178:21,24 179:5 (18)	156:9 157:12 158:25 159:4 164:17 166:24 167:9 169:19 173:7 174:10 182:2 194:14,17 (41)
aesthetic 15:2,7 33:2,6 60:18 (5)	against 98:16 100:10 102:6 112:18 114:9 134:6 (6)	air 73:3	also 10:7 11:3 15:19 20:23 26:18 28:19,22 30:16 41:3 47:2 49:19 54:16 59:14	amazed 38:17
aesthetics 60:7	agencies 63:10,11 66:25,25 96:13 97:3 98:2 100:7 151:5 183:5 (10)	airport 129:7	63:19,24 75:8 77:8,13 78:12 88:7 90:14,23 94:12 99:6 142:12 143:8,10 144:24 145:10,13 146:19 154:5 157:12	ambrose 188:2
affect 12:25,25 13:9 52:21 (4)	agency 25:7 61:25 62:4,17,18	alert 158:18	158:16,18 160:24 166:4 168:7 169:22 177:25 178:20 180:9 183:23 190:24,25 192:20 (46)	amend 192:23
affected 13:5 53:5	63:8,17 64:7,17,18 65:6,16,25 66:2,3, 5,12,13,17,20,21,2	alestra 4:8 123:2 127:23,25 128:5,6 188:12 (7)	164:9 174:17 181:23 182:13 185:6 186:22 (10)	amended 81:24
affiliation 70:6 86:23 131:24	agency 25:7 61:25 62:4,17,18	algae 88:4 130:8,14	192:20 (46)	amenity 106:20
affirmative 190:6 191:7 193:6	63:8,17 64:7,17,18 65:6,16,25 66:2,3, 5,12,13,17,20,21,2	algal 87:14	among 47:13,25 53:12 137:25	among 47:13,25
affordable 100:11	4 95:18 96:2,6,11,15,23 97:6,15,16,21	alignment 41:12 186:7	164:9 174:17 181:23 182:13 185:6 186:22 (10)	53:12 137:25
afforded 26:20	98:22 99:4,8,20 14 9:2,8,11,13,16,23, 24 150:2,10,13	allegation 161:4	183:23 190:24,25 192:20 (46)	amount 93:9,10 162:21
after 5:3 38:9 108:6 116:21 154:21 185:7,21 (7)	151:10,13 159:10 160:25 161:6 163:13 (52)	allow 15:15 23:18 59:14	alteration 153:24	amounts 130:12
afternoon 5:12 6:25 10:2 22:7,9 27:4 45:12 71:8 94:4 101:23	agent 65:17,22,23	allowed 25:21,25 56:15 62:15 81:20 83:19 104:3,14 (8)	altered 19:7	amper 4:5 107:23 110:16,19 183:17 (5)
107:24 116:12 123:4 127:25 136:7 147:20 148:19 158:22 181:25 (19)	ago 38:15,16 79:21 82:16 131:4	allowing 8:24 104:8 153:8	alternative 96:25 150:16	analyses 55:22
afterwards 152:9	132:12 148:18 155:18 169:22 171:2 (10)	allows 96:12	although 7:4	analysis 12:18 13:3 30:5,20
again 7:22 8:17,23 11:21 18:4 20:19 21:13 67:24 74:10 78:19 80:10,21 82:2,17,18,18 93:2	agree 44:20 91:7 133:13	almost 37:13 73:12 128:16	always 61:5 62:23 103:13 159:14 160:14 170:7 172:20 (7)	68:15 76:13 77:7 83:22 89:16 119:9 121:3 124:10 125:15 163:18 180:14 (15)
agreement 179:24	alone 77:4	along 34:2 38:23 41:13 61:20 106:8 111:18 123:22	am 22:13 27:9 59:5 71:17,19 74:16 77:14 81:2	analysts 172:2
agricultural 133:24	agreement 179:24	130:12 139:23 183:2 (10)	94:7,12 108:15 110:20 123:7,9 130:19 132:2	analyze 162:15 163:6

analyzed 42:18	anyway 145:12 149:14 179:15	64:3 74:2 84:2,24 85:3 96:11,20 98:11 100:5 113:20 114:24 116:22 118:2 148:16,20,23 149:3 150:5,14,15 155:24 156:25 157:2 159:13 160:12 164:2 167:23 169:4 182:8,21 183:7,12 186:3,25 189:16 (49)	approved 14:13 19:6 29:4,21 45:8 74:11 84:9 95:14 113:7 118:8 126:4 150:7,23 (13) approving 96:12	112:17 114:10 115:10,23,23 116:9,25 117:9,20 119:15 120:3 121:16,25 122:3 123:3,24 124:2,3 125:13 126:5 129:8,16,19 130:18,19 131:7 132:4 134:10,14,20 135:3,13,22 136:18 137:6 140:20,21 141:6,6,12 143:10,18 144:20,21 145:4 146:2,8,12,24 147:4,6 152:8 153:22 155:24 156 :13,16,18,20,22,23 157:9 158:7 163:12,23,25 165:2 166:15 167:20 172:10 173:20 174:7 175:16 176:8 178:20,21,23 179:11 180:4,9,10,15 181:4,15 182:11 183:15 186:14 187:8,13 192:8 (174)
andrea 4:7 116:11 122:25 123:6 127:22 189:3 (6)	anywhere 38:8 111:12 149:10 157:6 (4)	apologize 154:19 164:21	applications 27:14 95:10	aquifer 108:11 109:14 141:3 167:5 (4)
andrew 4:9 104:20 127:24 131:17,22 136:4 (6)	appeals 112:9	appear 39:9	apply 154:5 162:8	aram 189:8 arbitrary 99:22
animal 173:16	appearing 22:16	applauded 170:6	appreciate 45:11 68:20	archeological 40:7
animals 111:11	apples 52:4	applicant 8:21 10:10,12,19 11:24 12:16 14:15,18 17:14 20:20,25 21:24 23:20 24:4 33:19 35:17 38:21 39:24 42:18 51:18 52:13,18 62:9 83:24 98:9,14	approach 186:10	are 5:7,9 7:10,12,13,15,17 8:7,13 9:15,16 10:25 12:7 14:4,9,18
announce 7:15	answered 43:6	111:24 112:2,6 115:8 118:20	appropriate 15:5,17,24 16:24 31:11 70:5 99:11 118:19 183:6 (5)	area 16:2,5,8 21:3,9 33:25
annually 47:3	answering 118:20	120:9 123:16 155:17 159:4	appropriated 57:8	34:4,7 35:16 38:5,7,8 39:19
another 51:17,19 77:12 92:18 102:15,21 135:20 (7)	answers 83:24 113:19	160:10 175:15,20 182:4 (39)	approval 36:18 97:12 99:9 100:8 105:13 108:19 148:10 150:11,12 151:6 160:16	42:21 60:10 72:22 74:13,15 75:21 81:16,19 82:7,8,14,24 83:17 84:5,14 88:4 91:18 120:23
answer 45:21 62:7 67:4 118:15 119:13 149:9 (6)	anybody 91:25	applicant's 24:18 25:2,3,14 26:8 118:15 119:24	177:3 (12)	121:8 140:22 142:6,22 143:24 144:2,8,14
answered 43:6	anyone 80:12 175:13	124:11 (8)	approvals 30:15 153:23 161:10	146:11,21 148:4 155:7 165:14
answering 118:20	anyone 80:12 175:13	applicants 6:2 13:7	approve 85:2,17 127:13 135:24	166:6 168:4,12,14 176:11
answers 83:24 113:19	anything 45:24 104:15,16	application 1:1 5:19,22 11:11 22:18 23:5,16	167:11 (5)	177:18,20,21
anything 45:24 104:15,16	anytime 148:14	25:11,18,20 27:18 29:12 35:9 55:18	anywhere 38:8 111:12 149:10 157:6 (4)	

179:10,10 183:23 184:3 186:19 (57)	94:19	attempting 123:14	bachelors 139:19	94:13 99:17 101:14 103:2,10 106:3 107:11 108:10 110:9,17,21,24 111:8,16,21 112:13 113:6,13,18,24 114:17,25 115:7,9 116:2,18 122:6 127:12 128:3,13 131:7 136:14 137:5 139:7 152:19 153:10 155:22 156:4 164:24 168:4 174:12 178:25 179:7 182:23 189:3 (75)
areas 13:19,25 14:4,7 15:24 16:22 20:15 21:6 28:10 32:14 35:8 36:11 37:14,17 38:22 44:4 53:25 74:13,24 144:20 153:21 177:24 178:20,23 (24)	aspects 13:10	attended 128:15	backgrounds 50:24 139:24	
argument 133:13 151:3	asphalt 145:25	attendees 59:11	backup 181:3	
argumentative 58:5	assault 113:17	attention 11:19	backyard 105:19	
arise 160:7	assembly 70:13 71:16,22	45:11 94:19 96:8 97:2 102:10 107:6,10,16 152:18 167:25 171:2 (12)	bad 112:18 133:14 135:9,14 181:4 (5)	
arose 13:4	assertion 1:1 5:21	attest 185:18	barshov 3:21	
around 21:7	assessment 94:23	attorney 158:25	154:19	
art 92:2	assessments 180:20	attorneys 147:16	158:6,21,22,24	
artarian 188:13	assistance 138:4	atvs 38:14	176:2 (7)	
article 44:11 58:10 83:14 153:16 179:16,19 (6)	associated 113:24	august 5:18 12:5 192:6 194:21 (4)	based 29:18	
arts 166:8	associates 136:10,25 137:9,18 138:24 (5)	authority 28:12,14 83:13 96:16 97:8,12,17 114:5 150:12 151:11 (10)	bahamas 130:22 184:16,22	
ask 6:5 45:16 58:2 59:13 65:12 79:8,9 105:9 107:15 122:17 127:10 134:22 151:8 163:24 185:25 (15)	association 81:9 104:5,17 126:8 (4)	available 26:18 45:20 63:6 148:13 (4)	185:7,13,15,17 (7)	
asked 21:16 26:22 28:14 63:18 67:9 113:16 118:25 126:19 172:21 (9)	assuming 150:24 190:11	average 57:13 58:12 92:23	bahamians 185:9	
asking 54:12	assumptions 48:6 49:18 54:4 55:3 (4)	avishek 188:22	100:25 104:14	
aspect 46:13	assure 96:23 97:25 176:7 177:6 182:24 (5)	avoid 11:7 76:16	109:24 119:23	
		avoidable 76:12	155:18,19,20	
		avoided 78:9	166:14 167:20	
		aware 23:4 25:22 62:14 87:6 90:25 159:9 187:10 (7)	169:6 173:18	
		away 103:25 106:6 145:25 167:25 (4)	176:19 179:7 181:8 (27)	
			baron 44:4	
			barren 90:12 152:14	
			barrens 2:2 5:15 15:21 27:15,19 33:17 43:8 45:5	
			48:13 55:23 64:25 68:9 71:9,18	
			72:16,18,21 73:10 74:6,12	
			76:2,6,21,24	
			81:13,25 83:6	
			84:5,25 85:12	

bateman 189:5	becomes 73:16,17 121:19	believe 29:16 43:4 44:14,24 47:17 48:12 50:21 60:20 65:16 71:24 77:2 136:12 137:3 147:6 155:16 (15)	big 73:5 113:2 114:7 117:4 121:17 133:25 148:7 156:20 (8)	74:9 95:18,25 96:18 97:5,5,7,14,19 98:3,7,12,18,21 104:25 105:8 111:23 112:9,9 115:20,20 116:24 118:9,13 119:19
bathtub 143:7	becoming 126:17		bigger 121:24 134:23	120:10 123:21 148:11,18,25 149:5,5 150:5,9,24
battle 128:10	beds 88:16		below 49:5 143:3	151:2 152:24 156:4 157:14,15
bay 43:16 86:7,12 88:11,20,25 102:16,21 130:7 132:23 133:5 166:10 (12)	beetles 102:24 143:17,22 144:5 157:4,4 (6)	beneficial 28:25 29:6 43:22 60:8 (4)	bigly 153:3	159:10,20 160:2,13,15,17 161:5,13 164:21 168:8 184:13,13
bayberry 41:16	before 8:5 9:3 10:4 38:8 41:4 69:3 70:15 76:8 79:18 85:11 102:8 115:19 116:23 117:20,22,23 118:6,12 120:11 122:5,10 148:18 150:15,21 159:15 161:19,23,25 163:8 167:16 176:24 191:17 192:12 (33)	benefit 43:8,16,18 90:20 136:19 170:18 172:18 (7)	bill 4:3 93:24 101:20 108:5 (4)	190:7 191:8 193:7 (74)
bays 102:18,23 109:23 110:3 132:18 135:8,9 156:22,23 (9)	began 5:3 138:5	benefits 25:21 26:5 56:23 138:21 180:3,8 (6)	billion 111:15	board's 25:23 63:15 64:12
beach 164:20 165:22 166:3,8 169:18,20 186:16 188:10 (8)	begin 170:11	bennetter 188:20	biodiversity 75:8	boards 115:21
bearing 77:13 94:23	beginning 117:5 119:21 170:6	berm 41:15	biogeography 75:10	bob 4:2 93:23 94:2,6 101:18
beautiful 166:12 167:7 174:12 184:17 (4)	begun 88:17	best 29:22 69:12 96:25 162:25 186:11 194:13 (6)	bistrian 188:3	159:24 161:8,15 189:6 (9)
became 89:22	behalf 22:16 62:8 123:9 137:17 169:14 170:17 175:19 (7)	bet 106:10,17,22	bit 8:18 46:4 54:17 110:22 144:17,24 173:22 (7)	bodies 87:5 120:20
because 7:10 8:17 30:12 31:9 36:4 47:4 48:7 52:17 54:18 55:16 58:21 66:17 73:7 76:10,21,24 96:9,9 98:20 107:5,9 140:25 141:10 142:5 143:5,20 144:7 145:9,15,16,23 148:22 149:18 150:8 152:20 161:6 170:20 174:12 178:22 184:24 (40)	being 7:5 21:17 54:22 63:5,8 72:25 79:15 88:25 89:2 90:7 91:4 92:25 103:15 124:17 129:9,19 137:5 142:13,14 146:22 164:22 170:12 178:24 186:11,13 (25)	better 89:23 108:7,14 152:23 172:2,11 (6)	block 11:5	body 87:17 115:24
become 27:23 134:9 170:4	between 8:19 9:12 16:10 34:9 41:9,22,25 47:8 82:6 100:6 144:2 151:5 172:6 186:8 (14)	blooms 87:14 130:14	blocks 15:15 33:15 34:14 43:12 (4)	bona 115:11 born 139:16
	beyond 145:24 153:4	blood 194:16	both 23:13 72:13 75:13 83:4 85:19 86:8 87:4 133:13 (8)	bought 169:21,23
	bianca 188:18	bloom 130:8	bouker 188:19	
		blooms 87:14 130:14	bragman 147:18	
		board 23:14,14 25:8,8,22 29:3 48:17,22 62:3,14,16,24 63:16 64:6,16 65:5,17 66:22,23	braymer 4:13 139:12	

147:10,12,15 (5)	buffers 16:9 19:24 20:4 41:19 125:11 (5)	146:9 (4)	190:3 (66)	142:5,9 149:9 (10)
breakdown 57:24	build 83:3,4 128:11 145:7 146:25 178:18 (6)	caliber 103:20 california 77:19 78:5	can't 65:7 101:8 135:23 145:22 156:17,21 178:22 190:11 (8)	casino 188:14 catastrophic 77:21 79:3
brendan 187:23	builders 81:6	call 6:6,9 113:12 158:13 164:18,22 174:21,22 (8)	cannot 39:13 97:14 149:22 150:7,13 (5)	category 184:19 cause 13:20 29:7
brief 43:2 60:5	building 60:11 90:12 100:10 145:5 (4)	called 104:21 116:6 160:25 175:12 (4)	capabilities 105:15	caused 78:17
briefly 28:8 68:3	buildings 107:2	calling 111:4 115:10	capacity 74:21 106:15 142:23 143:12 (4)	cdm 91:5 92:7 93:3 180:17 (4)
bring 92:7 138:22	built 77:23 130:16,22 140:23 165:25 (5)	camden 4:10 131:18 136:5,21,22 139:10 189:8 (7)	capture 8:2	celest 188:4
bringing 102:16 142:10 177:8 186:17 (4)	bullets 40:10 42:25	came 52:3,22 92:17,19 135:10 179:24 (6)	captured 171:2	cemetery 140:11 157:7
brings 128:14 177:17	burbsphonetic 55:14,16	campaign 114:6	carcinogens 102:11	center 166:8
britton 188:3	burdensome 50:23	can 6:5 7:19 8:2 9:16,17 10:5 21:23 32:15 33:10 34:25 37:8 41:14 46:20,24 48:14 52:21 54:15 55:7,19 56:12 57:22,23,25 60:4,17 65:12 67:5 70:23 71:2,6 83:2 84:22,23 85:16 86:17 87:13 88:5 93:21 96:23	cardel 188:2	central 2:2 5:15 27:15 64:25 83:5 84:5,24 110:17 111:21 168:6 169:6 (11)
brook 86:25 88:8 133:3	burke 188:17	career 117:4	care 116:3 138:10	centralized 14:5
brookhaven 6:17 43:19 71:12	business 57:5 117:10 170:13 189:17 190:15 191:25 192:5,8 (8)	careful 89:13 171:6	ceo 137:7 138:23	certain 30:15 64:8 180:3
brotherhood 154:10	butchering 154:20	carefully 46:8 177:7 183:3,18 (4)	carr 188:2	certainly 61:9 66:3 67:17 68:24
brought 74:17 96:7 102:10 143:20 157:6 177:4 (6)	c 3:2,17 188:6 194:2,2 (5)	carrie 3:3 6:5,20 9:6 59:20 67:3 154:18 (7)	carrie 3:3 6:5,20 9:6 59:20 67:3 154:18 (7)	certified 27:9,9 137:10
brown 4:6 102:19 110:15 116:10,12,16 (6)	cadillac 92:8	carry 75:17	carry 75:17	certify 194:9,14
bruyn 3:15,16 22:3,9,12,12,14 62:7,13 65:7 66:7,23 191:23 (13)	calculate 141:5	carrying 74:20	cary 188:7	cetera 141:22
buck 121:9	calculated 48:8 144:15	case 49:12,16 62:20 67:19 87:23 89:15 99:24	cgcsep 3:23	chair 7:25 70:12 71:20 101:24 (4)
bucks 114:7	calculation 48:14			
buffer 18:22 20:7	calculations 46:10 119:8 124:7			

chairman 59:7	153:16 173:8	chris 80:17 85:24 86:17,24 93:17 102:14 104:18 (7)	clean 129:24 cleaned 142:3	cluster 79:13 177:4,23
chairwoman 3:3 5:5 6:8,12,16 8:15 9:23 21:23 45:13 51:5 61:17 67:7 68:19,25 70:25 71:5 80:6 85:6 86:16,20 93:16 101:17 107:18 110:12,16 116:7 122:22 127:21 131:15 136:3,15 139:9 147:8 151:25 154:12,22 158:2 164:4,11 172:24 174:5,14,19 181:17 187:2 189:10,25 190:8,19 191:9,15 192:3,10 193:3,8 (55)	character 20:2 40:20,20 42:20 73:2 76:4,5 105:3,7,17,21 108:21 109:23 121:14 (14)	christopher 4:11 circled 124:12 circulation 125:15 characte 72:20 charge 127:11 charities 166:6 charles 3:13 chat 158:11 chatterjee 188:22 check 59:22 154:15 174:20 checked 48:18 checklist 14:16 chemicals 73:23 74:2 129:18 chemistry 73:22 176:16	citarelli 189:5 citizens 185:10 city 58:13 159:3 civic 114:10 123:8 189:4 claim 112:20 claimed 131:2 claims 109:4 111:25 114:15 130:17 (4)	clearing 14:3,8 16:19,22,24 17:2 21:5,7 35:4,7,18 38:7 39:25 (13)
challenge 135:19	charter 127:22	claim 112:20 claims 109:4 111:25 114:15 130:17 (4)	clearly 37:2,12 40:3 42:22 52:19 70:3 82:17 83:25 96:4 113:22 (10)	coastal 121:9 165:13
challenged 148:9	chat 158:11	claim 112:20 claims 109:4 111:25 114:15 130:17 (4)	claim 112:20 claims 109:4 111:25 114:15 130:17 (4)	cocounsel 147:18
chamber 166:9	chatterjee 188:22	claims 109:4 111:25 114:15 130:17 (4)	claims 109:4 111:25 114:15 130:17 (4)	code 153:16 155:20 179:17 180:6 (4)
chance 69:19,21	check 59:22 154:15 174:20	claims 109:4 111:25 114:15 130:17 (4)	claims 109:4 111:25 114:15 130:17 (4)	coffee 102:18
change 24:13 52:20 98:4 108:20 112:22 121:6 124:19 (7)	checked 48:18	claims 109:4 111:25 114:15 130:17 (4)	claims 109:4 111:25 114:15 130:17 (4)	cole 187:20
changed 65:10 104:8 113:15 117:16 119:18 120:17 123:12,16 (8)	checklist 14:16	claims 109:4 111:25 114:15 130:17 (4)	claims 109:4 111:25 114:15 130:17 (4)	colleague 79:7
changes 10:14 28:7,24 29:6 82:5 89:10 100:19 109:2 118:4 120:6 121:16,17 125:2 (13)	chemistry 73:22 176:16	claims 109:4 111:25 114:15 130:17 (4)	claims 109:4 111:25 114:15 130:17 (4)	collectively 90:17
changing 141:19	chick 24:23 26:23 27:5 45:14 49:21 51:4 54:7 57:13 59:2 68:20 89:8 175:17 181:18 (13)	claims 109:4 111:25 114:15 130:17 (4)	claims 109:4 111:25 114:15 130:17 (4)	college 116:19 117:14
chapter 82:4	chief 81:5 104:20	clarification 46:11 48:4 53:3 54:8 191:24 (5)	claims 109:4 111:25 114:15 130:17 (4)	close 144:22 189:21 191:2,10,11 192:8,15,23 (8)
	children 56:13 109:6 124:23	clarified 20:20	claims 109:4 111:25 114:15 130:17 (4)	closed 190:13 191:17,18,20 192:13 (5)
	choice 144:11	clarifies 50:16	claims 109:4 111:25 114:15 130:17 (4)	close 144:22 189:21 191:2,10,11 192:8,15,23 (8)
	choose 96:24	clarify 21:2 51:6,14 183:13 (4)	claims 109:4 111:25 114:15 130:17 (4)	closed 190:13 191:17,18,20 192:13 (5)
	chose 25:23 97:5	clarity 12:24	claims 109:4 111:25 114:15 130:17 (4)	closed 190:13 191:17,18,20 192:13 (5)
		clark	claims 109:4 111:25 114:15 130:17 (4)	closed 190:13 191:17,18,20 192:13 (5)
		164:12,14,15,16 (4)	claims 109:4 111:25 114:15 130:17 (4)	comes 56:22 92:3 111:18 122:10 141:16 (5)
		class 126:15 165:9 170:2	claims 109:4 111:25 114:15 130:17 (4)	commence 23:16
		claudia 4:13 139:12 147:10,15 152:2 161:17 (6)	claims 109:4 111:25 114:15 130:17 (4)	commencements 112:12
				comment 7:12 8:2 12:3,23 21:15

36:2 57:11 58:21 59:9 62:8 67:18 69:4 140:15 155:12 158:9 162:3 (16)	95:14,21 98:25 99:13,15 101:4,10 104:19 107:25 108:8 110:4,18 113:14,16 114:12,17 115:19 117:21,24 118:6,18 122:5,11 127:11 128:3 131:8,20 134:21 136:14 137:5 147:13 149:15 156:5 159:9 160:24 161:23 162:2,7,14,16,19 163:5,23 164:24 167:11 176:8 177:6 181:12 182:6,23 189:14 191:2 (111)	communities 56:9 community 20:2 40:19 44:8 78:13 90:16 105:7,17,21 108:21 109:23 121:13 123:18 125:4 130:22,25 132:7 137:20 138:16,17 154:8 166:20,24 183:4 186:15,23 (25) company 56:18 137:19 165:4,5,25 166:4,15 182:3 185:22 (9) comparable 54:13 55:13 commission's 25:13 29:9 96:8 148:13 (4)	98:10 115:2 compliance 94:24 99:24 126:2 149:19 184:5 (5) complicated 54:19 complies 40:3 119:11 comply 37:2 150:19	127:2 142:12 143:16,20 (5) concerning 25:12 concerns 96:14,24 103:17 114:13 120:3 124:3 146:15 171:25 (8) concluded 42:19 125:6 160:2,5 193:13 (5) concludes 75:15 concluding 44:21 51:2 conclusion 33:10 34:25 37:9 150:18 (4) condition 20:5 conditions 32:15 153:13 conducted 98:21 118:13 119:9 conference 2:2 174:21 confidence 163:20 confident 166:16 confidential 192:16,25 configuration 125:17 126:4 configured 15:19 confirmed 20:23 168:7 confirms 29:16 35:12
commerce 166:10	commissioners 6:7 8:6 101:24 106:11 116:13 121:23 152:15 (7)	compare 171:16	compared 53:2 168:23 comparing 13:2 51:15 52:4	comprehensive 8:10 11:15,20 27:24 44:12 94:25 117:19 119:12 155:22 157:24 182:17 183:22 186:8,9 (14)
commercial 106:22 140:12 152:18,25 153:8 (5)	commissions 101:3	comparison 51:16	compromised 105:4 127:15 129:2 141:17 144:9 (5)	conference 2:2 174:21 confidence 163:20 confident 166:16
commission 2:2 5:10,17 7:14 8:16,22 9:12,20 11:19,25 12:8 13:13 16:15 21:21 22:2,10,21 23:4,6 24:6 25:6,17 26:15,24 27:15,20 30:10 31:20 32:19 36:7,17 39:19 42:3,22 45:16 49:20 50:9,18 53:18 55:24 59:8,12 61:18 62:10,13,15 63:23 64:20 69:2 71:9 77:8 79:22 80:23 83:6,23 84:6,25 85:12 94:5	commit 39:24	compatible 24:10 25:4 26:13 81:19 82:7,24 83:17 84:4,13 179:10,11 184:2,4 (13)	competing 95:10 159:23	compromising 87:10 conceived 79:18
commitment 26:4 186:14	committed 186:10	complain 156:14	concentration 12:12 31:6,12 46:25 47:6 67:21 93:7 (7)	confidential 192:16,25 configuration 125:17 126:4 configured 15:19
committee 65:2 70:13 71:21 94:14 (4)	common 177:15	complete 22:6 68:7 107:21	concern 59:23 60:2 87:15 98:13 124:8 142:10 151:8 173:14 (8)	confirmed 20:23 168:7 confirms 29:16 35:12
communicated 71:25	communication 89:8	completed 28:4 91:2 121:3,4,22 184:12 (6)	concerned 74:16	
		completely 53:23		

conform 11:13 16:17 17:4 18:2,9,24 19:18 20:9,17 30:14,14 31:21 36:4,19 56:16 85:22 114:20 179:16 (18)	5:14 71:21 83:15	construction 141:18 165:18	contrary 34:22 50:12	cost 129:9 172:20
conformance 8:8 15:8 21:12 24:10 34:15 35:19 37:11,18 44:15,21 50:10,19 180:21 182:16,25 183:10 (16)	conservational 153:18	consultant 51:19 132:2	contributor 130:14 133:25 186:15	could 62:6 65:17 78:8 82:12 84:11,18 86:22 160:21 174:24 178:3 (10)
conforming 182:12 183:20 186:18	consider 86:11 126:24 143:14 144:19 (4)	consultants 48:20 53:22 54:6 124:8 157:20 169:3 181:9 (7)	control 17:7,8,15,23 36:2,5,10,16,22 49:25 50:2,21 126:9 (13)	couldn't 70:19 145:16
conformity 134:21	considerable 72:14 165:24	consultation 24:5	controller 73:18	counsel 3:8 62:9,11 136:11 137:2 148:14 159:4 192:19 (8)
conforms 13:14 14:21 26:12 29:19 32:21 33:21 35:2 40:9 45:4 85:14,21 113:2 115:8 169:4 180:6 (15)	consideration 18:14 80:4 101:16 169:11 172:22 186:25 (6)	contain 153:22	conventional 46:14	count 71:3
confronted 171:24	considerations 72:9	containment 32:10	convoluted 150:3	countless 120:15
confused 141:25 142:13 144:24	considered 25:21 39:13 90:8 124:18 142:14 (5)	contaminated 127:9	coordinated 62:14 97:20,25 98:21 99:3,19 101:5 149:6,14 151:4,12 (11)	country 152:17,22 154:9
connect 129:15	consistency 34:21 35:24 40:23 68:7 (4)	content 186:3	context 37:25 39:11 77:23 101:13 (4)	county 6:20 28:11 60:3 84:17 91:2,12 92:4
connected 75:3 157:10	consistent 27:24 28:25 33:9 34:12 36:23 42:24 49:2 51:2 55:22 60:21 79:23 180:15 (12)	contiguity 178:10	coordination 28:4 95:22	104:19 126:25 145:7 155:20 179:17 180:18
connection 22:17	consists 16:9	contiguous 15:16 33:15 43:12 75:2 168:20 (5)	copy 14:15	194:5 (14)
connections 39:7 172:6	consolidated 28:22 177:18 178:2	continuation 22:22	coral 130:23	couple 22:5 54:7 79:10 139:2
connelly 187:23	consolidating 146:19	continue 5:17 13:15 44:6 130:15 138:9,17 160:11 166:19 (8)	core 34:4 74:13,15 81:16 82:7,13 139:6 146:11 168:3,11 177:20,21 (12)	176:4,6 178:12 192:18 (8)
consent 23:18,25	constant 91:14	continued 5:8	cornell 132:25	course 73:7,13,17 74:3,6,18 76:18 79:12 83:4 100:18
conservation	constantly 146:3	continuing 186:14 190:20	correct 8:14 46:16,19 48:10 50:4 192:10 (6)	103:18 104:3 105:18 109:12 117:18 124:5 125:3,7,21,24 126:15 128:11 129:19
	constitute 154:2 170:24	contra 129:21	correctly 31:15	130:11,16,21,25 138:15 144:3,18
	constructed 185:2	contradiction 75:25	corridor 37:22	152:19,25 153:9 156:14,19 159:19
			corridors 16:10 18:18,21 19:5 (4)	161:16 162:20 176:16 184:15,25 (41)
			cosponsor 71:17	

courses 55:19 73:21 74:13 103:20 176:13 (5)	criteria 8:24 182:14 183:21	dallas 164:13 166:23,24 176:3 (4)	88:21	deemed 106:19 119:5 129:13
court 136:20 161:19 194:7	critical 94:19 120:22 121:8 168:13 (4)	damage 172:13	decade 87:21 88:8	deep 15:6 117:10 133:21 142:14 (4)
courts 161:16	criticism 134:6	damaged 44:5	decades 99:18	deeper 126:21
covenants 56:11	crosstalk 47:13,25 53:12 164:9 174:17 181:23 (6)	damascus 127:6 157:5	december 23:7 118:2	defeated 98:6 111:23 157:13
cover 41:16	cubic 103:22	dangerous 129:13	decide 83:3 84:7 99:13 109:7 161:20 173:25 (6)	define 72:21 171:11
covered 43:4 175:24,25	culprit 133:16	date 23:22 54:5	decimating 108:16	defined 21:8
covickphoneticas 48:19	cultural 18:12 19:15 37:19 40:4 153:19 (5)	dated 64:23	decimation 102:22	degree 94:10 139:19
covid 23:2,23 58:21 109:11 (4)	culturally 40:13	davis 187:24	decision 12:6 44:22 82:17 85:16,23 97:18	degrees 94:10
covid19 138:5,7	cuomo 82:3 88:22	day 12:3 46:22 47:18 100:23 102:6 118:5 129:4 132:9 194:20 (9)	98:14 115:22 148:7 169:8 181:14 185:25 (12)	deis 179:8
cowan 188:15	curious 54:20 142:21	days 12:4 38:16 57:20,21 67:12,14,16,20 68:17 80:11	deck 104:2 164:12 165:6,23 173:3 (5)	delay 8:18
coward 104:13		124:20,20 189:17,23 190:16 191:25 (16)	declaration 151:14	deliberateness 163:21
crafted 167:24		deadline 21:13 23:24	decline 102:23	delivered 23:22
create 76:9,11 132:5 163:22 (4)	currently 14:13 17:19 71:19 86:9 90:5 (5)	deal 119:22 146:15 162:17	declining 109:25	deluca 4:2 93:23 94:4,6 159:24
created 14:24 32:24 81:13,16 100:5 (5)	customize 55:17	dealing 29:24 55:3	dedicated 10:25 16:12 137:11	161:8 173:13 189:6 (8)
creates 74:18 173:22	cut 37:7 144:12	dealt 115:18 147:23	dedication 28:12 34:2 96:10 97:11 170:3 (5)	demands 134:10
creating 137:11	d 3:15 153:20	dear 164:24	demographic 56:6	
creation 74:21 110:23 113:9 135:12 (4)	daily 105:22	debris 38:6	demolished 165:7	
credits 90:12	dale 6:19 47:15 54:7 57:12,25 59:19 61:4 187:20 (8)	dec 86:9 87:24	demonstrated 26:6 39:17 42:2 43:13,20 45:3 68:13 75:13 162:6 (9)	
creek 87:18 88:2 90:11 100:13 (4)				
crisis 135:3				

demonstrates 37:12	185:11 (4)	developed 15:17 16:11 17:11 82:19,24 83:8,11 84:22,23 144:4 145:15 184:25 (12)	126:12	director 3:7,9 110:20 116:17 (4)
denied 111:25 114:21 118:14,23 124:16 151:18 (6)	destroyed 131:3 destruction 74:19 108:23	developer 79:9 109:5 118:3 120:12 165:10 (5)	abilities 136:19 137:15	dirt 38:14 41:6 146:2
dennis 187:24	detail 50:8 61:2 122:18	developers 81:10 89:9 110:8 114:7 125:8 (5)	dialed 175:5 dialogue 9:11,20 182:9	dis 56:7 disabilities 137:23
density 47:10 68:5 98:4	detailed 30:5 43:14	developing 138:14 179:11	dic 4:5 110:14 116:8	disability 136:10,25 137:9,17 138:24 (5)
deny 100:24	details 112:21 147:25 171:11 182:10 (4)	development 1:1 5:21 11:3 13:24 18:5,7,15 19:2,5,8 21:2,8 25:19 28:22 32:16 33:25 34:7,10 35:16 39:19 47:11 63:2 74:25 76:15,19 81:10,17,20 83:16 89:6,19 95:10 99:18 105:5	didn't 56:4 96:20 125:21 171:5 181:3 (5)	disagree 91:25 161:16 167:15
denying 124:13		developmental 13:14 16:11 19:10 21:11 24:12 27:10 30:11 33:11 36:12 39:11 42:12 45:11 48:12 51:11 54:12 57:11 60:12 63:11 66:12 69:11 72:12 75:11 78:12 81:11 84:12 87:11 90:12 93:11 96:12 99:11 102:12 105:11 108:12 111:11 114:12 117:11 120:12 123:11 126:12 129:11 132:12 135:11 138:12 141:11 144:12 147:11 150:12 153:11 156:12 159:11 162:12 165:11 168:12 171:11 174:12 177:11 180:12 183:11 186:12 189:11 192:12 195:11 198:12 201:11 204:12 207:11 210:12 213:11 216:12 219:11 222:12 225:11 228:12 231:11 234:12 237:11 240:12 243:11 246:12 249:11 252:12 255:11 258:12 261:11 264:12 267:11 270:12 273:11 276:12 279:11 282:12 285:11 288:12 291:11 294:12 297:11 300:12 303:11 306:12 309:11 312:12 315:11 318:12 321:11 324:12 327:11 330:12 333:11 336:12 339:11 342:12 345:11 348:12 351:11 354:12 357:11 360:12 363:11 366:12 369:11 372:12 375:11 378:12 381:11 384:12 387:11 390:12 393:11 396:12 399:11 402:12 405:11 408:12 411:11 412:12 415:11 418:12 421:11 424:12 427:11 430:12 433:11 436:12 439:11 442:12 445:11 448:12 451:11 452:12 455:11 458:12 461:11 464:12 467:11 470:12 473:11 476:12 479:11 482:12 485:11 488:12 491:11 494:12 497:11 500:12 503:11 506:12 509:11 512:12 515:11 518:12 521:11 522:12 525:11 528:12 531:11 532:12 535:11 538:12 541:11 542:12 545:11 548:12 551:11 552:12 555:11 558:12 561:11 562:12 565:11 566:12 569:11 570:12 573:11 574:12 577:11 578:12 581:11 582:12 585:11 586:12 589:11 590:12 593:11 594:12 597:11 598:12 601:11 602:12 605:11 606:12 609:11 610:12 613:11 614:12 617:11 618:12 621:11 622:12 625:11 626:12 629:11 630:12 633:11 634:12 637:11 638:12 641:11 642:12 645:11 646:12 649:11 650:12 653:11 654:12 657:11 658:12 661:11 662:12 665:11 666:12 669:11 670:12 673:11 674:12 677:11 678:12 681:11 682:12 685:11 686:12 689:11 687:12 690:11 691:12 694:11 695:12 698:11 699:12 702:11 703:12 706:11 707:12 710:11 711:12 714:11 715:12 718:11 719:12 722:11 723:12 726:11 727:12 730:11 731:12 734:11 735:12 738:11 739:12 742:11 743:12 746:11 747:12 750:11 751:12 754:11 755:12 758:11 759:12 762:11 763:12 766:11 767:12 770:11 771:12 774:11 775:12 778:11 779:12 782:11 783:12 786:11 787:12 790:11 791:12 794:11 795:12 798:11 799:12 802:11 803:12 806:11 807:12 810:11 811:12 814:11 812:12 815:11 813:12 816:11 814:12 817:11 815:12 818:11 816:12 819:11 817:12 820:11 818:12 821:11 819:12 822:11 820:12 823:11 821:12 824:11 822:12 825:11 823:12 826:11 824:12 827:11 825:12 828:11 826:12 829:11 827:12 830:11 828:12 831:11 829:12 832:11 830:12 833:11 831:12 834:11 832:12 835:11 833:12 836:11 834:12 837:11 835:12 838:11 836:12 839:11 837:12 840:11 838:12 841:11 839:12 842:11 840:12 843:11 841:12 844:11 842:12 845:11 843:12 846:11 844:12 847:11 845:12 848:11 846:12 849:11 847:12 850:11 848:12 851:11 849:12 852:11 850:12 853:11 851:12 854:11 852:12 855:11 853:12 856:11 854:12 857:11 855:12 858:11 856:12 859:11 857:12 860:11 858:12 861:11 859:12 862:11 860:12 863:11 861:12 864:11 862:12 865:11 863:12 866:11 864:12 867:11 865:12 868:11 866:12 869:11 867:12 870:11 868:12 871:11 869:12 872:11 870:12 873:11 871:12 874:11 872:12 875:11 873:12 876:11 874:12 877:11 875:12 878:11 876:12 879:11 877:12 880:11 878:12 881:11 879:12 882:11 880:12 883:11 881:12 884:11 882:12 885:11 883:12 886:11 884:12 887:11 885:12 888:11 886:12 889:11 887:12 890:11 888:12 891:11 889:12 892:11 890:12 893:11 891:12 894:11 892:12 895:11 893:12 896:11 894:12 897:11 895:12 898:11 896:12 900:11 897:12 901:11 898:12 902:11 899:12 903:11 900:12 904:11 901:12 905:11 902:12 906:11 903:12 908:11 904:12 910:11 905:12 912:11 906:12 914:11 907:12 916:11 908:12 918:11 909:12 920:11 910:12 922:11 911:12 924:11 912:12 926:11 913:12 928:11 914:12 930:11 915:12 932:11 916:12 934:11 917:12 936:11 918:12 938:11 919:12 940:11 920:12 942:11 921:12 944:11 922:12 946:11 923:12 948:11 924:12 950:11 925:12 952:11 926:12 954:11 927:12 956:11 928:12 958:11 929:12 960:11 930:12 962:11 931:12 964:11 932:12 966:11 933:12 968:11 934:12 970:11 935:12 972:11 936:12 974:11 937:12 976:11 938:12 978:11 939:12 980:11 940:12 982:11 941:12 984:11 942:12 986:11 943:12 988:11 944:12 990:11 945:12 992:11 946:12 994:11 947:12 996:11 948:12 998:11 949:12 1000:11 950:12 1001:11 951:12 1002:11 952:12 1003:11 953:12 1004:11 954:12 1005:11 955:12 1006:11 956:12 1007:11 957:12 1008:11 958:12 1009:11 959:12 1010:11 960:12 1011:11 961:12 1012:11 962:12 1013:11 963:12 1014:11 964:12 1015:11 965:12 1016:11 966:12 1017:11 967:12 1018:11 968:12 1019:11 969:12 1020:11 970:12 1021:11 971:12 1022:11 972:12 1023:11 973:12 1024:11 974:12 1025:11 975:12 1026:11 976:12 1027:11 977:12 1028:11 978:12 1029:11 979:12 1030:11 980:12 1031:11 981:12 1032:11 982:12 1033:11 983:12 1034:11 984:12 1035:11 985:12 1036:11 986:12 1037:11 987:12 1038:11 988:12 1039:11 989:12 1040:11 990:12 1041:11 991:12 1042:11 992:12 1043:11 993:12 1044:11 994:12 1045:11 995:12 1046:11 996:12 1047:11 997:12 1048:11 998:12 1049:11 999:12 1050:11 1000:12 1051:11 1001:12 1052:11 1002:12 1053:11 1003:12 1054:11 1004:12 1055:11 1005:12 1056:11 1006:12 1057:11 1007:12 1058:11 1008:12 1059:11 1009:12 1060:11 1010:12 1061:11 1011:12 1062:11 1012:12 1063:11 1013:12 1064:11 1014:12 1065:11 1015:12 1066:11 1016:12 1067:11 1017:12 1068:11 1018:12 1069:11 1019:12 1070:11 1020:12 1071:11 1021:12 1072:11 1022:12 1073:11 1023:12 1074:11 1024:12 1075:11 1025:12 1076:11 1026:12 1077:11 1027:12 1078:11 1028:12 1079:11 1029:12 1080:11 1030:12 1081:11 1031:12 1082:11 1032:12 1083:11 1033:12 1084:11 1034:12 1085:11 1035:12 1086:11 1036:12 1087:11 1037:12 1088:11 1038:12 1089:11 1039:12 1090:11 1040:12 1091:11 1041:12 1092:11 1042:12 1093:11 1043:12 1094:11 1044:12 1095:11 1045:12 1096:11 1046:12 1097:11 1047:12 1098:11 1048:12 1099:11 1049:12 1100:11 1050:12 1101:11 1051:12 1102:11 1052:12 1103:11 1053:12 1104:11 1054:12 1105:11 1055:12 1106:11 1056:12 1107:11 1057:12 1108:11 1058:12 1109:11 1059:12 1110:11 1060:12 1111:11 1061:12 1112:11 1062:12 1113:11 1063:12 1114:11 1064:12 1115:11 1065:12 1116:11 1066:12 1117:11 1067:12 1118:11 1068:12 1119:11 1069:12 1120:11 1070:12 1121:11 1071:12 1122:11 1072:12 1123:11 1073:12 1124:11 1074:12 1125:11 1075:12 1126:11 1076:12 1127:11 1077:12 1128:11 1078:12 1129:11 1079:12 1130:11 1080:12 1131:11 1081:12 1132:11 1082:12 1133:11 1083:12 1134:11 1084:12 1135:11 1085:12 1136:11 1086:12 1137:11 1087:12 1138:11 1088:12 1139:11 1089:12 1140:11 1090:12 1141:11 1091:12 1142:11 1092:12 1143:11 1093:12 1144:11 1094:12 1145:11 1095:12 1146:11 1096:12 1147:11 1097:12 1148:11 1098:12 1149:11 1099:12 1150:11 1100:12 1151:11 1101:12 1152:11 1102:12 1153:11 1103:12 1154:11 1104:12 1155:11 1105:12 1156:11 1106:12 1157:11 1107:12 1158:11 1108:12 1159:11 1109:12 1160:11 1110:12 1161:11 1111:12 1162:11 1112:12 1163:11 1113:12 1164:11 1114:12 1165:11 1115:12 1166:11 1116:12 1167:11 1117:12 1168:11 1118:12 1169:11 1119:12 1170:11 1120:12 1171:11 1121:12 1172:11 1122:12 1173:11 1123:12 1174:11 1124:12 1175:11 1125:12 1176:11 1126:12 1177:11 1127:12 1178:11 1128:12 1179:11 1129:12 1180:11 1130:12 1181:11 1131:12 1182:11 1132:12 1183:11 1133:12 1184:11 1134:12 1185:11 1135:12 1186:11 1136:12 1187:11 1137:12 1188:11 1138:12 1189:11 1139:12 1190:11 1140:12 1191:11 1141:12 1192:11 1142:12 1193:11 1143:12 1194:11 1144:12 1195:11 1145:12 1196:11 1146:12 1197:11 1147:12 1198:11 1148:12 1199:11 1149:12 1200:11 1150:12 1201:11 1151:12 1202:11 1152:12 1203:11 1153:12 1204:11 1154:12 1205:11 1155:12 1206:11 1156:12 1207:11 1157:12 1208:11 1158:12 1209:11 1159:12 1210:11 1160:12 1211:11 1161:12 1212:11 1162:12 1213:11 1163:12 1214:11 1164:12 1215:11 1165:12 1216:11 1166:12 1217:11 1167:12 1218:11 1168:12 1219:11 1169:12 1220:11 1170:12 1221:11 1171:12 1222:11 1172:12 1223:11 1173:12 1224:11 1174:12 1225:11 1175:12 1226:11 1176:12 1227:11 1177:12 1228:11 1178:12 1229:11 1179:12 1230:11 1180:12 1231:11 1181:12 1232:11 1182:12 1233:11 1183:12 1234:11 1184:12 1235:11 1185:12 1236:11 1186:12 1237:11 1187:12 1238:11 1188:12 1239:11 1189:12 1240:11 1190:12 1241:11 1191:12 1242:11 1192:12 1243:11 1193:12 1244:11 1194:12 1245:11 1195:12 1246:11 1196:12 1247:11 1197:12 1248:11 1198:12 1249:11 1199:12 1250:11 1200:12 1251:11 1201:12 1252:11 1202:12 1253:11 1203:12 1254:11 1204:12 1255:11 1205:12 1256:11 1206:12 1257:11 1207:12 1258:11 1208:12 1259:11 1209:12 1260:11 1210:12 1261:11 1211:12 1262:11 1212:12 1263:11 1213:12 1264:11 1214:12 1265:11 1215:12 1266:11 1216:12 1267:11 1217:12 1268:11 1218:12 1269:11 1219:12 1270:11 1220:12 1271:11 1221:12 1272:11 1222:12 1273:11 1223:12 1274:11 1224:12 1275:11 1225:12 1276:11 1226:12 1277:11 1227:12 1278:11 1228:12 1279:11 1229:12 1280:11 1230:12 1281:11 1231:12 1282:11 1232:12 1283:11 1233:12 1284:11 1234:12 1285:11 1235:12 1286:11 1236:12 1287:11 1237:12 1288:11 1238:12 1289:11 1239:12 1290:11 1240:12 1291:11 1241:12 1292:11 1242:12 1293:11 1243:12 1294:11 1244:12 1295:11 1245:12 1296:11 1246:12 1297:11 1247:12 1298:11 1248:12 1299:11 1249:12 1300:11 1250:12 1301:11 1251:12 1302:11 1252:12 1303:11 1253:12 1304:11 1254:12 1305:11 1255:12 1306:11 1256:12 1307:11 1257:12 1308:11 1258:12 1309:11 1259:12 1310:11 1260:12 1311:11 1261:12 1312:11 1262:12 1313:11 1263:12 1314:11 1264:12 1315:11 126		

171:18 179:2 182:3 185:5,22 (33)	162:19	dominic 164:12,14	drew 81:15	earlier 51:6 69:17 79:24 92:12 122:20 125:5 192:20 (7)
discovery's 186:10	divita 3:20 175:18 181:19,25 182:2 (5)	done 31:13 38:21 48:13,23 55:12 58:16 69:12 77:7 96:17 98:17 121:2 124:13,15 160:14 162:23,24 179:8 180:19 (18)	drink 128:25 129:13	earliest 130:8
discrepancies 51:21	dlv 3:18 22:17	dorian 6:19 47:22 55:10	driving 87:11 106:14 109:22 110:2 111:9 130:4,6 (7)	early 120:13 185:20
discuss 128:17	document 50:15 64:23 183:9	dots 157:9	drive 132:8	easement 97:10
discussed 41:4 146:22 148:3	documentation 34:21 49:17,19 57:7 62:2 (5)	douberryphonetic 135:11	driveways 37:4,13	easements 64:14
disequilibrium 76:12,17 178:14	documents 26:7 64:2 170:14 171:5 (4)	double 55:19 105:12	drs 27:16	easier 152:20
disgrace 106:9	dodging 119:14	doubt 98:17	dry 141:15	easily 30:14 39:8 143:14
dispersion 121:3 124:9	does 9:10,19 13:13 16:16 17:4,25 18:9,24 19:18 20:9,16 21:21 36:3,13 39:8,24 40:18 42:17 50:23 53:6 54:25 62:4 66:19 84:8 85:21 105:18 114:19 115:4 125:2 142:24 161:7,13 163:3,21 168:3 172:5,9 176:14 (38)	down 103:14 107:16 122:15 144:12 171:15 (5)	dual 127:14	east 33:24 37:25 38:5,25 40:21 41:5,7 44:6 56:16 58:18 94:8 102:25 105:4 106:4 107:3 109:10 112:15,23 114:19 124:23 126:19 128:7,9,13 129:12
displacement 103:21	downgrading 28:18	downs 187:18	dump 38:9	136:9,18,24 137:9,15,17
disputed 167:6	dozen 87:22	dumping 38:7	dumpsite 38:6 39:3,11 41:5 (4)	138:15,19,24 139:15,16,18
disputing 52:12	dr 48:19 80:17 85:9,25 86:19,24 102:14 104:18 176:21 179:23 180:2 (11)	dune 104:2 135:12 165:6,6,15,16,23 (7)	dune 104:2 135:12 165:6,6,15,16,23 (7)	147:17 152:13 153:2 168:17,20 169:24 177:9,14 189:6 (46)
disrupt 141:8	doing 112:17 141:7 149:20 165:19 172:20 (5)	draft 23:10 29:23 32:18 34:17,23 35:12 37:23 40:11 42:17 184:8 (10)	duration 88:10	eastern 108:21 145:20
distinct 95:9 159:22 178:4	dollar 98:16 115:14	drainage 13:17,19,23,24 14:3,7,10,21 31:19 32:13 33:4 (11)	easy 141:4 153:11	
distinguished 71:8,10	dollars 90:15 111:15 112:3	draw 167:24	during 60:6 61:2 87:21 88:7 138:6 141:15 185:20 (7)	ecologic 76:11
distributed 13:25	domenica 194:7		each 15:5 50:17 66:13 99:8 119:19 120:10 121:15 163:4 (8)	ecological 76:5
district 25:19 56:14 63:3 71:16 168:17,18 (6)				ecology 72:10 73:3 76:23 77:13 170:24 (5)
disturb 105:20				economic 43:18 186:19
disturbance 13:21 31:22 32:7 40:15 42:16 (5)				
diversity 111:11				
division 24:6				

economy 43:23 172:19	124:12 151:15 184:8,11 (6)	employee 131:25 employees 129:21 185:10 employer 186:17 empty 142:20,25 enabled 69:15 138:9	192:21 193:2 engage 149:14 engine 185:14 engineer 14:14 170:12	enumerated 114:24 envelopes 16:19,22 35:4,8 (4)
ecosystem 77:16,20 78:25 111:7 115:15 116:4 122:6 144:9 (8)	either 30:13 55:5 93:2 100:24 127:19 137:25 156:22 175:4 (8)	environment 24:5 26:11 44:2 89:11 109:16 115:6,13 117:8 120:17 123:17 130:19 132:17 144:13 153:6 166:13 170:4,22 (17)	englebright 3:17 70:11,21,23 71:3,7,11 80:7 82:9 108:13 139:4 148:2 167:17 173:12 176:5,15 177:5 (17)	environmental 3:11 5:13 23:10,11 24:15,16 27:10 28:6 29:7 44:7 61:9 62:19 63:9,12,14,24
ecosystems 75:14	elected 152:24	encourage 7:7 163:14	engineers 14:17 171:7	engineers 14:17 171:7
ed 3:20 6:17 57:12 58:2,3 175:18 182:2 187:3 190:2 191:3,19 193:4 (12)	elefterion 187:19	encouraged 9:15 29:8 177:14	englebright 3:17 70:11,21,23 71:3,7,11 80:7 82:9 108:13 139:4 148:2 167:17 173:12 176:5,15 177:5 (17)	environmental 3:11 5:13 23:10,11 24:15,16 27:10 28:6 29:7 44:7 61:9 62:19 63:9,12,14,24
edges 144:17	element 159:18	encroachment 126:7	enhance 172:6	environmental 3:11 5:13 23:10,11 24:15,16 27:10 28:6 29:7 44:7 61:9 62:19 63:9,12,14,24
education 170:21	elements 62:22 64:11	end 43:3 65:18 94:8 100:22 110:6 112:16 136:10,18,24 137:9,15,17 138:19,24 147:17 179:25 189:7 192:5 (18)	enhanced 24:14	environmental 3:11 5:13 23:10,11 24:15,16 27:10 28:6 29:7 44:7 61:9 62:19 63:9,12,14,24
educational 37:21 39:15	eliminated 84:12	elimination 100:14	enhanced 24:14	environmental 3:11 5:13 23:10,11 24:15,16 27:10 28:6 29:7 44:7 61:9 62:19 63:9,12,14,24
edward 3:4 75:7 188:17	elimination 100:14	elizabeth 4:12 136:6 139:11,14 147:9 (5)	enhanced 24:14	environmental 3:11 5:13 23:10,11 24:15,16 27:10 28:6 29:7 44:7 61:9 62:19 63:9,12,14,24
eeda 138:13,18	else 50:4 140:16	elizabeth 4:12 136:6 139:11,14 147:9 (5)	endangered 109:13	environmental 3:11 5:13 23:10,11 24:15,16 27:10 28:6 29:7 44:7 61:9 62:19 63:9,12,14,24
eelgrass 88:16	elsewhere 54:14	elsewhere 54:14	endeavors 154:7	environmental 3:11 5:13 23:10,11 24:15,16 27:10 28:6 29:7 44:7 61:9 62:19 63:9,12,14,24
effect 30:25 43:22 73:11 74:22 157:3 (5)	email 122:19 187:15,16	elsewhere 54:14	ended 95:8 98:8	ensure 33:20 34:12,15 178:9 (4)
effectively 176:14	emergency 125:19	email 122:19 187:15,16	enders 112:23	ensuring 110:7
effects 87:3 121:5	emotions 171:12,15	emergency 125:19	ending 174:23,25 175:6,7 (4)	entered 31:15
effluent 47:5	empathetic 137:21	emotions 171:12,15	endo 174:9,9 188:23	entertain 8:7
effort 38:17 91:13 117:7 133:6 162:17 (5)	employ 185:12	empathetic 137:21	endorsement 170:8	entire 69:22 82:11,13 119:20 120:5 122:6,14 138:19 159:11,22 (10)
efforts 91:4 178:25	employed 13:22 31:23	employ 185:12	endorsing 111:16	entirely 75:21 148:24
eileen 188:14		employed 13:22 31:23	enforced 7:24	entitle 9:19
eis 39:17 63:20			enforcement	entitled 22:18
				equipment 138:9

erin 188:16	77:3 97:6,16 100:3 102:7	excavate 126:20	expectations 72:15 79:20	extensively 48:18 68:13 178:7 179:22 180:13 182:22 (6)
erosion 17:15,23 35:25 36:5,10,16,21 49:25 50:21 165:13 (10)	108:17 112:2 125:22 141:3 145:24 148:21 149:24 160:14 170:13,15 (17)	excavation 121:11	expected 12:7 152:20	extent 15:22 26:12 33:18 81:18 (4)
erosions 17:6,8	event 142:24	exceed 172:12	expecting 54:23	extinct 178:13
erroneously 159:25	events 87:20 143:13 166:6	exceeds 171:22	expense 165:24	extinction 173:16
especially 121:5 126:24 176:19	eventually 44:21	excellent 29:9 90:3 138:17 167:13 176:3 (5)	experience 27:11 166:14 176:20 181:9 (4)	extinctions 76:9
esq 3:8,13,15,21 (4)	ever 91:9,11 97:21 109:25 122:5 127:13 130:8 143:18 154:10 (9)	except 159:18	experienced 130:7 139:25	extraordinary 33:20
essentially 55:21 95:9,20	every 52:9 87:23 96:11 120:2 128:16 129:4 163:4 171:18 182:25 (9)	excess 102:11 184:20,21	experiences 165:3	extravagant 73:8
establish 15:16	everyone 7:7,22 8:16 22:8,24 27:4 69:12,20 123:5 131:6 158:23 169:12,25 190:12 191:5 193:9 (16)	excessive 86:13 87:2,9,12 179:18 (5)	experiencing 138:20	extremely 117:12 146:22
established 18:17 19:3 37:20 39:14 119:10 123:15 (6)	executed 91:11	expert 176:21	eye 184:23	
estimates 58:17	executive 3:7,9 81:5 110:20 (4)	experts 53:21	f 187:25 194:2	
estimating 57:14	exercise 25:23	explain 68:3	faces 102:21	
estimation 91:5	exhausted 140:8	explained 51:23 52:20 53:6 57:6 (4)	facilities 10:23 127:5	
estuaries 88:17	exist 149:24	explaining 146:20	facility 105:14 109:20	
et 141:22	existed 150:2	facing 111:19 117:10 135:3,22 (4)		
eternity 108:22	existence 110:4	explanation 30:22 53:10		
ethan 188:15	existing 20:4 37:24 38:11 152:21 165:8 (5)	express 137:18	fact 36:16 66:19 91:25 95:24	
evacuation 185:8	evolutionary 53:15	extended 34:6 57:4	102:14 104:9,18 118:3 127:5	
evacuations 185:24	exact 26:4 33:3 57:22,24 92:9 (5)	exists 34:8 131:2 150:6 184:17 (4)	146:24 157:2 161:9 163:3,4	
evaluate 124:25 134:22	exactly 28:13 31:13	exiting 141:23	171:17 173:15,17 179:4 183:25	
evaluation 182:7	example 89:14 118:25	expansion 126:20,23	184:16 186:7 (21)	
even 42:21 74:13		expect 176:18	factor 61:16	
			factored 179:5	

factors 50:25	81:2 117:24 118:5 (9)	file 145:17	70:3,15 78:12 86:23 103:5 111:22 132:11,21 138:3 151:19 155:2 185:6 187:17 (20)	follow 84:25
facts 29:18 44:24 46:17 50:25 129:16 167:21,25 168:2 169:7 (9)	feedback 183:3	filed 98:15	111:22 132:11,21 138:3 151:19 155:2 185:6 187:17 (20)	followed 5:25 23:8 80:17 93:23 101:21 107:21 110:14 116:10 123:2 127:23
factual 181:7	feel 177:7	filled 142:21 143:7,23	fit 101:8	131:18 136:6 139:12 147:11 152:4 (15)
fagan 187:24	41:20 42:16 60:11,14	fills 37:7	fitting 135:24	following 5:4,19 139:21 154:5 189:17 (5)
failed 107:6,10 160:8	105:19,23 106:16,25 125:11,14	filter 129:10	five 12:3,4 55:6 57:16 68:5,17 69:16,21,22 80:11 89:2 91:13 92:21 97:9 143:2 152:22 153:12 184:13,19 189:16,23 190:15 191:25 (23)	foolishly 106:19
fails 113:22 162:13	126:21,21 142:13,17 143:3,25 177:22	final 23:11 59:21 63:12 98:14,19 120:7 124:12 132:4 152:6 175:16,19 184:11 (12)	foot 41:15 105:13 109:20 125:11 142:23 (5)	
fair 153:11	184:21 (23)	finally 104:23 109:17 113:12 115:18 (4)	footage 61:6	
fairways 73:15	felt 171:9	find 59:3 102:7	flag 109:14	
faith 153:6	fema 165:12	findings 12:6 19:13 23:13 25:9	forced 107:7	
fall 98:24 168:3	fenced 38:22	29:2,11 44:20 63:22 64:4 96:3	flood 143:13	
falling 157:11	fertal 138:23	99:16 160:4,22 161:2 (14)	forest 74:23 77:19 106:12	
falls 99:7	fertigation 104:21 133:17 134:7	findings 12:6 19:13 23:13 25:9	forests 77:25 78:6	
familiar 46:3 133:9 147:24	fertilizer 55:18 124:4 168:22	29:2,11 44:20 63:22 64:4 96:3	forgot 93:18	
family 139:17	fertilizers 130:2,10	99:16 160:4,22 161:2 (14)	form 9:18 19:9 84:2 113:15 183:11 184:18 (6)	
far 46:7 68:8 109:3 130:17 171:22 189:9 (6)	few 38:15 86:3 103:17 124:2 159:5 183:14 (6)	finds 32:20 40:8 41:6	flowing 135:4,8	
farm 28:19	fine 175:9	fine 175:9	fluctuations 140:20,21	
father 132:11	finish 114:18	flux 103:14	formally 23:6 86:22 98:8	
favor 157:15 167:9 184:14 190:4,9 191:5 193:4 (7)	fide 115:11	fire 77:14,15,17,20,22	formed 101:11	
features 20:15 42:8	field 28:19 120:21	78:5,6,24 79:14 129:6 (10)	formula 79:3	
february 10:10,17 23:17 24:4 27:18 41:24	fields 28:13,20	fires 77:21 78:15	forth 61:14 146:3	
	fifty 106:8	firm 22:13	forward 83:20 90:21 92:5 135:25 138:20 171:20 (6)	
	fighting 129:6	first 5:24 7:16 12:9 22:20	folks 5:9 80:10 152:8 154:15 164:6 175:14 (6)	
	figure 158:20	30:19,23 55:11	found 30:13 38:4 87:25 102:15 130:9 140:17 (6)	

four 10:24 18:11 28:12 41:15 57:16 140:14 (6)	further 11:4 24:14,20 26:16,20,22 28:13 40:8 48:21 64:10 82:5 95:22 102:22 129:17 151:3 194:14 (16)	geis 56:17 general 26:2 36:20 181:2 185:9 (4)	100:24 120:10 131:4 141:14 147:21 150:25 155:12 173:4,10 175:17 176:4 187:5 192:13,23 (26)	176:11,13,15 184:15 (49)
fourth 71:15,25		generally 60:24		golfer 132:3
fragmentation 73:8,10 74:17 75:4 76:11 (5)	future 39:6 107:11 110:8 122:11 177:12 (5)	generations 139:17 140:25		gone 103:25 115:19 125:16 184:16 (4)
fragmenting 74:22 75:19	fuzzy 113:18	generous 166:5		
frank 117:11	gabreski 129:7	gerard 187:23		good 6:25 9:25 22:7,9 27:4 71:7 77:9 94:4 101:23
frankly 61:4 161:25	gain 157:17	get 5:7 9:3 47:3 57:22,23 93:21 94:2 110:8 130:15 140:16 142:6 144:6 145:16 (13)		107:24 116:12 123:4 127:25 132:12 136:7 156:19,20,21 158:22 159:23 181:25 186:21
fred 70:9,18,19 79:7 (4)	gained 180:7	goes 30:17 50:16 112:25 113:13 117:7 146:2 (6)		(22)
freleng 104:20	gainfully 185:13	gets 54:18 113:18 142:2 176:24 (4)		gorman 188:12
fresh 8:25	gallagher 3:3 5:5 6:8,12,16,21 8:15 9:23 21:23 45:13	getting 46:19 93:12 100:16,17 141:2 146:12 (6)		got 100:23 189:25
friend 159:24	fright 157:10	give 69:20 70:14 87:7 92:9 93:19 146:8 151:5,13 (8)		gotten 132:25
front 89:25 92:12 105:15 148:8 165:16 (5)	fuel 78:24 79:15 109:20 179:12,14 (5)	given 5:15 37:15 54:21 69:18 130:5 148:10 175:10 177:21 (8)		govern 186:5
fueling 105:15	fulfill 127:16	gives 47:2 92:8 192:4		government 153:7 185:17
full 30:16 68:15,21 136:17 (4)	fulltime 58:8,20 67:20	giving 7:12 80:20 85:4 147:4 (4)		governor 82:3 88:22
fully 54:4 67:17 182:12 183:20 184:5 186:18 (6)	gallon 78:20,21	glaciers 141:6		grace 187:20
fungicides 130:2	garage 141:15	glen 188:21		graciously 146:8
	gasoline 78:21 105:15	globally 107:8		grade 16:23,25 17:3,11,13 18:6,8 35:19 (8)
	gave 26:3	go 6:3 8:16 10:6 21:23 30:11 43:2 51:12 54:16 58:2 59:25 60:25 92:5		grading 39:25
				graduate 94:9,10
				graduated 116:19 117:13
				grant 153:7
				granted 83:13

123:22 160:15	132:19	habitat 74:19 75:4 121:9	has 10:10 21:10 22:24 23:2 27:22 31:3 32:5 33:19 34:18 35:17 36:6,16 37:10	he 164:17 170:2,5 177:25 191:23 (5)
grass 133:23 135:18	grows 109:2	habitats 179:7	he'll 185:18	
gravina 188:24	growth 24:10 25:4 26:13 41:9 81:19 82:7,24 83:17 84:4,13 179:10,11 184:2,4 (14)	half 12:13,21 54:22 55:5,6 57:15 87:22 143:6 (8)	he's 22:4 169:17 173:3	
great 17:10 43:25 78:22 88:16 90:20 92:14 93:9 111:20 140:9,20,21 162:17 193:8 (13)	guess 70:18	hamlet 139:25	head 78:18	
greater 17:3,12,17 18:7 24:9 36:12 37:7 139:18 166:9 (9)	guidance 179:2	hampton 58:18 139:5 155:7	health 102:20 126:25 132:18 176:10 (4)	
greatest 87:15 91:8,9 96:15 111:8,10 (6)	guided 186:2	hand 110:23 132:22 158:17 190:4 194:20 (5)	healthy 102:23 129:18 130:11	
gregory 188:16 189:2	guideline 12:9,11,22 13:14,16,18 14:22,23 15:3 16:20 17:5,6,18 18:2,10,15 19:2,12,17,21 20:10 31:17,21	handled 7:4	hear 8:19,20 11:25 70:24 119:25 130:20 156:13 167:23 (8)	
grew 169:22	32:23 35:6,11,20 36:4,8,9,13 37:2,3,11,20 40:10,24 41:3	hands 107:14	heard 9:9 103:5 132:9 140:3 148:19 150:21 167:16 168:25 (8)	
grid 82:15	42:6,13,19 49:11 119:11 (43)	haney 188:16	hearing 1:1 5:8,18,23 6:11 7:4,21 9:8 10:9,18	
grosser 3:19	guidelines 8:9 11:14,18,24 15:9	happen 67:16 68:16 78:15 96:21 108:10 141:10 144:5 (7)	12:19 123:13 128:16 130:7 132:14,22 133:10,18 134:21 135:6 140:6 146:21 148:22,23 150:2,8,9,11,12 152:16,20 154:20 156:14,24 161:4	
ground 134:15 135:17 185:6	18:12 24:11 25:5 26:14 27:25 29:20	happened 119:2	162:3,5,17 163:17 166:4 170:2 171:18,23 173:4 175:4,5,6 179:5 180:6 (109)	
grounds 130:3	30:2,9,13,18 44:16 45:5 85:15 94:25 101:3 155:21 156:2 157:23 165:11 168:6 169:5 178:15 180:11,22,24 181:5 186:4 (32)	happens 141:13,22 142:25	haskell 188:5	hearings 23:3 80:24 184:9
groundwater 90:24 91:10,11 92:3,6,13,16 126:22 127:2 135:2 176:17,24,25 (13)	gusts 184:20	happy 60:5,25 68:14	hasn't 130:16	heart 95:4
group 94:7 112:15 147:16 189:6 (4)	guys 147:23	hard 88:19 146:23 171:10	haven 108:12	heat 130:13
groups 172:15	h 3:5,24	hargrave 3:11 5:24 6:24 9:25 30:8 51:13 52:16 59:2 (8)	having 28:19 31:18 46:3 63:4 93:3 139:24 177:10,11 (8)	heath 188:11
grow 133:23 135:17	habitable 60:15	harm 115:12	hazard 165:14	heather 172:25 188:11
grown 120:14		harmful 87:14	heavy 56:23	

height 138:11	hiking 37:24 39:9	homes 83:3 106:8 109:9 120:20,25 125:9,13 129:15 (8)	husband 169:20	impact 19:10,15 23:10,12 24:15 40:19 58:22 62:20 63:9,12,25 66:15 105:16,20 118:22 119:7 120:5 122:4
held 128:17	hills 11:6 16:3,7,14 111:2,25 112:5,10 116:22 117:3 119:4,18 128:12 131:12 139:5 140:4 148:17 170:25 (18)	hope 10:4 79:22 127:18	hydrogeological 74:8	1'll 10:6 13:15 22:5 28:7 40:17 58:6,20,24 59:22 85:9 169:15 (11)
hello 174:8		hosting 22:21		123:17
help 133:6 135:15,21 138:6 (4)		hour 184:20		124:5,21,25
helped 138:8	hilly 146:23		125:3,6,17	
helpful 85:18 158:18	hired 116:20	house 105:23	126:14,23 160:20	
helping 85:22 185:23	his 58:2 170:4,8	housing 59:24 60:10,21,23 61:12 73:11,14 76:20 100:11 105:5 128:12 (11)	186:18 (29)	
here 5:9 6:15 8:13 63:23 64:23 65:4 68:23 78:7,15 89:4 93:25 113:4 114:11 115:22 116:24 124:2 128:14 131:7 132:5,16,19 133:18 134:22 135:3 136:9 147:19 159:2 169:21,22 186:20 (30)	historic 39:11 40:6	how 53:4 61:10 67:9 73:23 86:4 91:20,20 99:14 109:21 117:16 123:24 124:25 125:2,16 126:2,7,13 133:9 135:13 140:9 142:22,24 144:22 162:10,12 170:7 171:9 180:14 (28)	139:12 107:9	
here's 95:12	historically 38:3 61:10 140:23	however 41:8 42:11 124:11 125:6 146:19 (5)	115:21 (4)	
hereby 5:14 194:9	hold 62:5 94:10	huge 130:11 133:10,16	132:14	
hereunto 194:20	holding 94:9	human 78:10 102:20	121:7,12,13 148:5	
hi 169:12 173:6	hole 74:2 76:18 109:12	hundred 16:4 98:15 112:3 141:9 167:9 (5)	160:7 (13)	
high 41:15 111:17 169:25 182:8 185:17 186:17 (6)	home 102:13 105:16 126:5 129:11 169:21,24 (6)	hundreds 112:23	132:25 105:6	
higher 89:17	homeowner's 104:4,17 126:8	hurricane 131:3 184:19	114:14	
highway 34:3,5,9 40:13,16 42:15 81:8 177:22 (8)	homeowners 109:7	hurwitz 187:19	121:7,12,13 148:5	
			160:7 (13)	
			186:18 (29)	

imposed 23:23	104:22	inevitable 78:14	institute 81:6	introduction 141:20
impossible 7:11	incorporated 164:19	infiltrated 143:22	integrated 100:4,20 176:10 183:6 184:11 (5)	invested 88:22
improve 86:11 133:7	incorporates 26:9	infiltrating 129:8	intellectual 137:14,23	investment 88:12 90:14
improved 27:23	increase 88:18 93:7 162:21	influence 154:7	intended 33:6 110:25 116:2	invited 69:16
improvements 60:18 64:14	increased 42:2	influx 109:6	intends 76:22	involved 25:7 27:12 63:11,17 66:2,13,25 86:10 96:5 97:16 100:8 133:2 148:25 149:16,23 160:25
inability 100:25	increasingly 106:13	info@pbstatenys 189:19	intensive 127:14	intercept 135:7
inaudible 36:15,20 38:23 47:12,24 53:11 54:3 68:9,11 103:6 164:8 174:11,16 181:22 (14)	incredible 137:20	information 32:5 34:23 40:22 42:23 44:17 50:11 53:20,24 63:18 92:9 151:23 181:8,13 (13)	interest 93:4 100:7 132:3,6,17 158:10 170:16 (7)	involves 32:6 112:15
inaudiblebut 34:6	indecipherable 47:13,25 53:12 164:9 174:17 181:23 (6)	informed 44:22 181:14	interested 194:17	irrelevant 153:5
inaudiblethat 35:2	independent 48:14 88:13 89:15 130:9 (4)	initial 96:19	interesting 134:5	irrigation 15:7 134:11
incentive 98:4	independently 99:7	initiated 99:12	interests 97:2 117:10	is 5:5,14 6:10 7:6,9,23 8:13 9:8,9,11,13 10:4,8 12:2,18,20 13:13 14:11,12,13 15:2,3,7
include 19:23	indicate 36:13 42:18 74:4 158:10 (4)	inlets 135:12	interfaced 182:21	interjecting 7:2 51:10 52:11 86:17 136:16 190:17 (6)
included 14:7 28:8 82:22 119:4 159:12,14,16 187:12 (8)	indicated 27:22	innovative 137:13 170:7	interrupt 47:15 86:18	16:2,5,15,15 17:2,19,25 18:19,22 20:5,16 21:3,13 22:4,11 23:4 25:6,18 29:17,18,23 30:25 31:11,13
includes 33:23 73:2 182:15	indicates 31:21 32:23 35:14 40:5,12 42:9 138:16 (7)	inputs 13:5,9 30:22,25 49:18 52:8,17,23 53:4 55:20 (10)	into 6:3 54:17 61:12 69:4 74:23 75:22 82:13 84:13 102:25 117:7	32:8,10,17 33:4,8,9 34:11,23 35:5,25 36:3,14 37:19 38:11,21
including 10:23 23:9,19 27:16 41:16 76:4 87:8 161:10 166:7 (9)	indicating 31:19	inquires 181:20	insertion 11:10	39:2,8,15 40:13,14,18,25 41:8,20 42:4,11,12 ,14,15,20,21,24 43:11,23,25
inclusion 109:12,18 154:9	indifference 73:12	inside 125:9	inside 125:9	44:15,22 45:22 46:15,22 47:2 48:9,23
income 61:12	individuals 57:2 158:14 187:6	instance 163:22	instead 75:2,4 97:4 125:14,23 (5)	49:12,15,16 50:4,10,11,22
incoming 144:8			introduce 69:25 86:22	
inconclusive				

51:20 52:12,24 53:20,22,23 54:4,9,14 55:11,13 ,16,20,22,25 57:5,6,17 58:7 59:7,16 60:8,10,13 ,19,21,22 61:8,24 62:3,14,18 64:6,16,19 65:5 66:6,13,16,22 68:4,7,10 69:18 70:10,11 71:11,13,24 72:14 73:5,7 74:6,25 75: 4,6,8,12,16,17,24 76:8,12,25 77:9,11,15,19 78:5,8,25 79:2,18,19 80:16 81:4 82:19,23 83:7,20 84:21 85:11,12,15,18 86:6,9 87:16,17,19 88:4 91:7,12 92:2,8,24 93:6,9,13,19,25 94:6 95:8 96:22 97:11 98:25 99:2,6,25,25 100:6 101:25 103:9,14,15,24 104:11,13 105:24,24 106:9 107:5,9,14,20,22 108:2 109:15 110:4,6,19 111:5,23 112:17,20 113:15,21 114:2,3,16 115:11,13 116:5,16 117:9,15,21 118:7,12,20 119:13,17,24 120:7,9,12,21,24 123:6,20 124:17 125:16,22 126:3,8,22,25 127:7,8 128:5,19 129:2,4,6 130:5,9,13 131:22 132:6	133:12,14,15,18 134:7,16,17,25 135:5,14,14,23 137:10,25 139:6,13 141:2,25 142:2,3,9,17 143:24 144:7,15 145:3,8,19,24 146:11,14 147:19 0,15,16,22,24 151:2,7,18 152:12,21 153:4 154:3,8 155:2 156:19 157:3,3,11 158:18,21,24 159:9 160:24 161: 18,20,21,23,24,25 162:2,5,14,25 163:8,24 164:14,15,18 166:23 167:6,7,23 168:10,12 170:9 172:17 173:2,6,15,21 174:9,11,20 175:9 177:9,12,24 179:9,11,14,18 180:2,18 181:7,11 182:2 183:18,23 184:4,5,16,18 185:14 189:20 190:13 191:4,4,5 192:15,16,18 194:11 (393)	islandinaudible 174:13 islands 74:23,24 75:22 issue 13:11 63:19 96:8 126:17 163:8 (5) issued 97:21 issues 8:12 72:10 134:20 143:11 171:11 176:6 (6) issuing 99:9 items 30:12 43:3 50:17 176:5 (4) iteration 114:14 120:4 125:20 its 9:13 19:9 25:25 62:15 63:13 64:4 78:5 79:24 84:2,23 91:3 96:2,19 100:25 104:22 106:20 114:18 127:16 131:3,8 153:2 159:21 160:3,18,22 161:2 165:21 178:5 (28) itself 40:22 143:5 149:7 jackson 4:12 136:6 139:11,13,14,14 (6) jacobs 187:22 january 64:24 95:13 jay 3:5 6:13 8:15 45:22 46:19 47:16 58:15 114:8 191:4,19 (10)	jeff 147:18 jeffrey 3:23 187:25 188:11 job 85:11 114:18 117:9 141:7 (4) jobs 43:21 john 3:7,8,9 8:16 9:24 69:17 158:5 187:4 188:13,15 189:11 191:21 192:11 (13) joined 70:8 132:24 joining 193:9 joint 5:16 110:18 jok 169:14,17 170:2 jones 188:10 joseph 172:23 188:22 jr 188:8,17 judges 161:19 judith 188:7 julia 187:21 julie 3:11 5:24 6:22 7:3 8:4,5 30:8,23 31:16 43:4 50:7 51:6,12 53:16 (14) july 10:14 jump 70:16 jumped 70:18 june 10:13 24:19 64:21 66:10 (4)	junkyard 132:13 jurisdiction 1:1 5:22 11:11 62:24 63:2 64:8,9 150:11 161:7,8,14 (11) jurisdictional 96:16 97:7 just 7:3 8:25 10:6 11:21 28:7 30:11 38:15 47:10 50:20 51:13 53:14 54:7,16,20 58:5,20,24 59:5,10,25 60:4 66:17 68:3 70:7 74:4,10 77:10 78:3 82:14 85:9,10 86:3,17,20 87:6 90:2,8,22,23 91:15 92:11,22 93:8,15 94:2 101:8 103:25 112:17 114:8 116:22 120:17 124:2 125:11 126:21 127:7 129:13 130:20 136:8,16,19 138:25 140:16,18 144:14 145:6,23 146:6 147:3 157:9 158:16 169:15 171:9 172:6 174:20,24 175:10 176:4 177:15 180:25 183:13 185:10 187:9 191:23 (83) justice 61:10 karen 189:2 kathleen 187:19 katie 4:6 110:15 116:10,16 122:23 (5)
--	---	---	--	--

kayaking 132:20	known 87:19	138:2,7 155:22 165:4,5,25	lastly 109:18 127:10	149:7,11,13,24,25 150:13 151:12 159:10 161:6 (42)
kearns 4:3,4 93:24 101:20,22,23,25 102:2 107:20,24 108:2,3 183:25 188:16 (14)	knows 58:15	166:4,15 168:6 169:6 172:4,11,18 177:15,17 179:2 182:3,17 183:22	later 50:3 116:25	leaders 114:10
keep 129:23	kommer 169:14 172:23 188:22	184:3 185:22 186:9,12 (71)	latest 10:20 20:21 113:15,17 114:14 125:22 140:18 165:12 (8)	leading 185:15
keeping 19:25 104:12	kommer's 170:2	land's 129:21 138:13	launched 129:14	leaf 38:6
keeps 172:8	kooie 189:2	landings 88:19	learn 129:3	
kept 138:10 143:2	kracke 16:4 178:2	lands 82:6	learned 117:5,6	
kessenich 187:25	kreitsek 188:4	landscape 120:16 167:7	least 132:9	
key 182:25	kristie 188:14	landscaping 60:19	leave 58:6,24 109:20 156:3 189:22 (5)	
kids 104:12,13 170:23 171:8 (4)	kristopher 188:19	lane 187:21	led 88:9 91:4	
kind 76:17 77:24 100:20 121:21 143:4 145:8 173:21 183:17 (8)	I 3:10 187:20	language 33:3 42:13	left 111:10 139:2 146:14 152:23 (4)	
kinds 101:12	lack 100:4 161:7	large 14:4 15:15 33:15 34:14 43:11	lawn 105:22	
knew 140:24 152:23 171:9	lacking 65:22	73:9 103:24 151:7 166:20 (9)	lawrence 4:15 152:5 154:14 155:3,3 158:3 188:23 (7)	legal 3:8 66:10 95:2 161:15 192:16,25 (6)
know 7:3 27:8 54:17 58:15 60:12 61:5,25 69:17 86:4,12 87:12 90:6,25 92:10,12 93:18 110:24 129:17 134:20 136:17 140:19 141:9,11,13 143:17 146:25 147:22,25 148:6 156:23 158:4 169:16 170:17 (33)	laden 133:21 134:16	lacks 161:6	laws 82:4 85:2 131:8 186:4 (4)	legislation 128:24 184:3
knowledge 48:12	laid 141:6	largely 75:20,21	lawsuit 98:16	legislative 77:2
	land 8:10 11:15,20 18:20 20:7 27:24 37:25 38:2 39:5,8,13 41:7,22 43:25 44:12 45:20 56:17,18,24 72:9,12 73:3,18 82:22 83:9 84:4,9 86:14 90:10 94:12,25 104:16 111:19,22 112:20 113:9,22,25 114:15,23 115:4 119:12 128:10 130:17 131:25 134:25 137:6,19	larger 11:4 103:21 107:2	lay 140:10	legislators 82:10
		largest 107:2 122:4	layout 10:11,15 24:8,18,25 123:13 (6)	legislature 72:24 81:14,15,24 82:12 84:11 113:8 (7)
		larry 189:5	length 41:13	
		lashley 166:3	leaching 105:11 130:3	lengthy 171:5
		last 7:16 10:21 42:4 59:16	lead 37:9 61:24 62:4,17,18 63:8	leslie 188:10
		69:2,19 70:4,4 84:19 86:23 89:13	64:7,18 65:5,15,17 ,22,23,24	less 12:14,19,20 16:23,25 18:5
		92:11 111:20	66:3,5,12,20,21,24	35:8,18 49:9
		117:22 118:10	87:13 90:16	67:24 125:25
		119:2 125:20	95:18,25 96:10,23	134:16 168:21,21
		164:15 173:2	97:6,14,20 98:22	(14)
		184:22 (20)	99:4,20 147:5	

lessen 157:3	130:3 137:18 139:3 141:4	listening 108:6 154:11 162:9	108:12 110:2 114:20 132:16 139:3 153:6 166:5	looking 59:3 64:22 78:7 91:16 106:11,18,24 121:25 122:3 132:5 140:18 181:11 (12)
let 59:11 93:18,19 145:6,11 192:22 (6)	142:19 143:24 148:14 151:17 158:6,9,13,14,19 159:5 171:5 175:7	liter 49:10,13 67:23 92:20 (4)	170:23 171:7 172:18 (16)	
let's 115:7 119:22 156:3	181:4 182:5 183:13 192:14 (37)	literally 35:10 92:17		locals 185:19
letter 30:4 164:17,19 169:14 (4)	likelihood 78:10 129:25	litigation 112:14	located 28:11 60:24 139:6 165:22 184:2 (5)	looks 92:6 124:16 143:23
letting 154:25 155:9 181:16	likely 20:6 144:7	littered 119:16		lose 75:23
level 14:12 92:25 93:13 97:23 99:13 143:3 177:4 (7)	likewise 141:11 143:2	little 8:18 46:10 54:16 65:14 93:13 110:22 144:17 156:9 162:3,10 (10)	location 60:8 142:5 162:20	loss 74:20 75:5 77:25 78:2,11 87:8,13 174:10 (8)
levels 72:6 92:15 134:9	limit 7:24 14:8 21:5	live 69:7 71:12 108:4 128:7 139:15 143:6 145:18 152:13 155:7 166:16 173:25 185:21 (12)	locations 89:3	
lewis 1:1 5:20 22:19 23:5 25:17 26:9 38:12,25 39:2,20 41:8 42:11,11 95:16,19 96:2,20 97:8 105:2 111:3 112:7 117:3 119:6,17 142:19 149:4 166:18 167:12 189:16 (29)	limitations 23:2,23 165:18	logical 68:4	lot 89:4 92:21 142:17 147:23 167:23 (5)	
license 106:21	limited 54:22 95:22	long 53:18 58:13 71:13 81:6,11 88:23 94:15 103:6 108:21 110:21 111:7,10,14 113:10 115:15 116:4,18 121:20 137:15,23 146:15 173:8 174:3,13 189:2 (25)	louis 38:12	
lies 95:4	limiting 102:19	lived 102:4	loved 169:25	
lieu 14:4 31:25	limits 40:2 110:10 183:24	lives 78:2 169:23	lover 174:10	
life 78:11 130:24 139:25 170:4 (4)	lindsey 188:24	living 58:19	low 68:11 186:18	
liuorri 169:12	line 41:10	llc 22:17	lower 48:8,8 61:11 134:10 (4)	
like 22:20 25:7,16 45:15 55:15 59:14 61:19 62:7 64:15 67:5 70:22 72:7 90:10 91:23 119:15 124:16 128:2	lineage 139:17	llp 3:16	lowermiddle 61:11	
	lined 143:9,10	load 31:4,6 43:16 46:20 47:2,7 88:6 180:14 (8)	lucy 188:7	
	lingers 109:2	loading 52:14 86:14 87:3 89:12,18,24 90:5,18 119:8 (9)	luxury 128:11 138:14	
	lips 7:9	loads 87:10 89:22	lynch 4:9 127:24 131:17,19,22,23 (6)	
	liquor 106:21	loath 54:17	lysimeters 176:22	
	lisa 138:23	local 19:7 76:9 90:13 99:12 100:12 105:20	m 188:10	
	list 158:12 187:5	looked 55:24 56:18,19 120:7 177:6 (5)	madam 101:24 110:16	

made 21:12 48:7 52:13 82:5 89:10 97:20 108:17 112:13 146:17 148:8 159:7,8 161:4,6 162:4 163:16 171:18 172:7 191:16 (19)	making 82:17 93:17 102:25 120:9 186:2 191:4 (6)	marino 147:11 mark 3:18 187:25 man 170:13 manage 134:25	142:23 (4) may 8:11 19:6,9 26:16 32:19 42:10 47:17 65:25 82:10 134:8,9 154:15 173:23 (13)	measure 182:25 measured 93:11 measurement 60:9 measures 18:17 19:22 26:10 33:20 119:3 (5)
madeline 187:20	managed 165:12	marvin 48:19	masks 7:11	meek 3:3 6:21
madigan 187:18	management 14:11 20:11 42:5 77:15 96:14 176:10 (6)	mass 55:12	mayor 164:21 166:22 186:16 188:9 (4)	meet 81:21 83:18 84:8 101:2,6 113:23 115:5 123:14 162:6,13 163:4 165:12 179:4 180:10 (14)
main 15:24 33:25 93:14 145:21 (4)	mandated 104:25	massive 140:12	master 10:11,15,22 72:14 (4)	mcarthur 75:7 mcarthurwilson 75:9
maintain 142:22 153:12	manner 20:14 185:3	match 16:16	match 16:16	meeting 29:15 152:7 164:23 190:22,22 191:3,10,18,21 (9)
maintained 20:13 185:2	many 27:14 73:14 77:5 79:21 86:12 89:10,20 91:6 109:3 118:15	material 179:18,21	materially 24:13	mcdermott 188:7 meetings 102:11
maintenance 100:10	126:4 133:12 139:21,23 140:5,9 143:19 148:2,2,18	materials 10:13	me 27:8 57:23 67:6 70:24 78:17 80:20 93:5,19	meets 21:5 83:8 84:2 136:13 137:4 155:25 157:23 168:5 177:15 (9)
major 96:11 118:4 129:14 130:10,13 (5)	166:5,11 177:13,13 178:8,20 183:7 184:8,9 193:11 (30)	matter 1:1 59:18 77:10 99:14 100:4	109:21,24 117:15 132:11 139:5 148:15 154:25 155:9 167:8	melissa 187:22 189:5
majority 43:11 157:14	map 29:5 81:15,25 117:19,23 118:6 (6)	matters 64:9 96:9 161:11 192:18 194:18 (5)	175:23 181:2,16 192:22 194:11 (22)	melville 27:7
make 11:24 57:10 58:5,20 59:12,15 60:4,7 66:14 70:2 83:25 85:16 86:4 93:15 101:11 119:22 124:14 125:25 144:22 148:11 156:5 158:12 161:2 162:24 164:18 172:11 175:10 181:13 187:10 (29)	mapping 145:17	matthew 188:25	mean 9:11 55:2 93:13 145:4 156:17 (5)	member 3:4,5,6 7:13,14 9:12 94:13 132:8 166:24 (9)
maker 115:22	march 58:11,14	max 143:11	meaning 51:11 77:16 78:23	members 5:11 9:7,21 22:2,10 26:17 45:17,19
makes 37:23	marcincuk 3:15 22:14	maximize 16:21 35:7	meaningful 73:4	59:8,12 61:18 65:3 69:2 71:8
	maria 166:21 188:9	maximized 35:17	means 40:18 109:17 149:20	94:5 107:25 110:17 115:24
	marie 187:22	maximizes 168:19	meant 47:19 128:24 131:9 184:4 (4)	131:19 147:12 164:25 184:14 186:23 (23)
	marine 75:13 130:24	maximum 15:22 26:12 33:18		

membership 26:2 56:25 63:4,5 (4)	milazzo 3:8 6:5,10 7:2 9:7 154:18,23 190:17 191:22 memorial 81:7 memoriam 148:12 mention 70:7 88:7 90:2,22 127:5 (5) mentioned 31:17 69:25 149:25 158:5 161:8 173:17 190:23 (7) merits 164:2 message 110:9 met 49:24 50:5 118:18 119:9 136:11 137:2 163:23 176:19 (8) method 47:7 methods 48:15 129:23 meyer 138:23 michael 4:8,14 123:2 127:23 128:5 131:16 147:11 152:4 188:12,20 (10) michele 187:21 microphone 69:14 middle 61:11 might 54:13 91:16 103:3 144:6 147:5 (5) mike 152:12 154:13	misstated 115:3 mitch 80:18 85:7,10 mitchell 3:24 80:16 81:4 milligrams 49:10,12 67:22 92:19 (4) million 12:13,20 90:14 98:15 112:3 (5) millions 129:9 minds 9:2 mine 126:19,20 minimal 13:20 32:7 minimalize 26:10 minimize 37:5,7 76:17 minimum 20:8 31:22 34:8 123:14 (4) mining 127:3 minister 185:18 minutes 9:3 22:5 69:17,21,22 (5) mirino 4:14 152:4,11,12 (4) mismanagement 77:22 mission 127:17 134:24 170:5 monday 41:12 monitor 73:24 monitored 89:5 126:3	monitoring 64:15 87:24 88:3 133:4 176:16,18,25 (7) months 38:15 101:2 105:22 moore 166:21 188:9 moral 110:6 more 22:6 27:23 41:20 50:8 60:14,20 61:2,7 62:12 91:13 92:19 94:16 100:18 102:7 114:12 119:21 121:24 122:18 125:18 129:17 135:24 142:24 144:6 146:10 154:10 172:4,5,21 175:14 (29) model 12:24 13:11 20:22 31:2,3 46:12,13,16 48:7,24 49:17 51:9,22 52:19 53:8,22 54:4 55:11,12,14 56:25 57:5 58:7,22 67:11,14 75:7,12 91:17,21,23 92:7 93:4 180:17,19 (35) modeled 12:16 modeling 54:9,13 55:23 56:2 57:15 90:24 91:4,9 92:3,13 121:2 (11) modifications 21:11 moment 81:15 128:25 131:9 moments 159:6 mission 127:17 134:24 170:5 monday 41:12 monitor 73:24 monitored 89:5 126:3	191:2,4,12,16,19 192:12,23 (9) move 61:20 69:4,7 82:12 83:19 101:19 116:9 135:25 moves 35:10 113:20 114:3 moving 100:6 125:7 190:14 mowing 105:22 ms 6:24 9:25 51:13 52:16 59:2 61:22 62:11 64:22 65:11 107:24 morgan 188:17,25 moriches 133:5 166:10 much 9:6 11:8 32:15 59:19 64:16 80:19 85:4 101:15 108:7,14 117:6,16 most 45:2 87:23 91:6 99:17 103:19 111:8 113:11 117:15 118:11 modifications 128:20 138:6 160:23 170:20 175:24 182:13 186:6 (16) mostly 46:22 88:13 moth 121:10 mother 131:2 motion 189:21 190:2 mupdd 148:17
---	--	--	--	--

murray 187:21	174:9 182:2 (29)	(17)	194:3,9 (34)	42:15 50:12 52:16 56:12 62:24 63:20
must 14:7 17:14 25:9 31:20 63:11 83:19 99:3,13 101:4 114:21 120:25 121:3,4,6,10,11,14 150:19 162:7 163:5 179:15 (21)	narrower 156:17 narrowest 18:21 national 82:15 native 13:21 15:21 31:22 32:3 33:17 75:23 76:10 178:24 (8) natural 13:17,19 15:14 18:22 19:24 20:4 31:18 32:7,15 33:14 34:8 38:23 43:10 74:24 76:23 108:23 153:25 178:19 (18) naturally 34:19 nature 131:2 148:4 174:10,11 178:22 (5) navigate 85:8 near 40:8 73:14 90:10 100:12 157:6 (5) nearby 120:19,20,24 121:12 (4) necessarily 9:11,19 147:4 necessary 72:3 103:23 119:5 180:5,9,10,19 (7)	needed 40:23 63:20 100:19 138:6 151:23 157:16 160:21 175:25 186:22 (9) needs 14:20 80:12 104:5,23 129:2 137:22 142:20 151:4 154:9 191:24 (10) negative 19:10 neighborhoods 143:21 neighboring 126:18 165:22 neighbors 121:12 140:10 144:23 nelson 3:13 24:24 27:5 188:15 (4) net 93:6 netnegative 43:15 never 68:16 95:25 97:19,20 98:21 106:10,17,23 120:6 148:20 170:18 (11) new 5:13 22:15 53:24 58:13 71:21 72:17 80:3 81:8 84:16 85:2 98:10 104:15 109:11 111:12 113:7 114:16 120:4,25 121:2,4 125:16 129:4 133:19 135:12 140:18 145:8 149:3 150:13,17 159:2 174:11 184:6	news 78:3,4 newsday 58:10 newspaper 129:3 next 12:5 18:11 21:15 33:11 35:25 80:16 93:19,20,22 101:19 107:20 143:6 173:2 190:16,18 192:8 (16) nightmare 103:8 nightmares 102:9 nine 35:13 76:7 nitrate 12:9 nitratenitrogen 12:12 30:21 180:23 nitrogen 12:10,17 20:21 31:4 43:15 46:10,20 47:3 48:9 52:14 53:19 55:20 67:21 68:12 86:14 87:3,10,12 88:6 89:12,18,22,24 90:4,18,19,24 91:10,11 92:15,18 93:10 102:12 119:3,8,11 121:2 124:7,22 130:12,12 133:10,15,20,23 134:2,8,9,14,16,17 135:4,7,16,22 168:22 180:13,14 (58) no 21:9 25:18 30:25 32:17 33:9 34:22,25 40:5,14	71:2 91:24 97:7,17 99:19,20,20 103:22 104:11 108:4 109:17 112:4,19 114:4 118:23 120:7 125:6 130:25 131:12,24 140:23 143:18 144:11 148:25 150:5,6,10,11,12 151:11 160:5,19 161:5 163:8,9 177:10,19 180:16 181:20 190:10 194:17 (56) noise 167:24 non 142:14 nonclustering 77:11 nonconformance 32:17 none 37:13 69:6 130:24 nonetheless 26:6 nonpartial 167:18 nontrivial 77:9 nor 167:21 normally 142:18 north 16:14 22:14 34:3 60:11 (4) northerly 11:6 16:6 northern 146:21

northwest 28:20	nutrients 173:15	occupants 54:24	15:25 17:24 30:19,22 31:16	63:4 75:3 95:7 97:11 100:16
notary 194:8	o 3:10 75:7 172:23 188:21,22 194:2 (6)	occupied 56:10 67:15,20	33:11 35:25 40:10,19 42:4 46:13 50:20 57:12 61:25 62:22 66:12,17 67:4 68:5 74:14 78:16 79:11 89:2 91:8 102:7 103:8	126:2,6,9 153:16 154:2 162:22 168:20 172:8 178:10,19 189:22 (34)
note 19:3 37:24 39:23 59:10 138:25 179:14 (6)	o'shea 3:15 22:13	occur 36:14	66:12,17 67:4 68:5 74:14 78:16 79:11 89:2 91:8 102:7 103:8	operation 106:22
noted 24:23 34:17 54:8	oak 41:17	occurred 62:21 132:23	113:10 129:2 135:5 137:3 138:3 140:19,23 143:18	opinion 51:8 155:25
nothing 124:16 131:11 156:24	oakwood 140:10	occurs 18:7	145:3 146:14 147:15 153:17	opinions 50:13
notice 5:14	object 72:5	october 96:4 118:10 123:22	163:4 175:5,6 176:9 183:15 187:17 192:19 (47)	opponents 156:10 162:12
notified 158:16	objections 72:4 167:20	odd 145:8 170:14	187:17 192:19 (47)	opportunity 9:9,14 45:9,18 59:17 69:24 70:15 80:21 84:7 85:5
now 26:23 38:18 39:5 65:21 70:22 71:5 77:17 79:21 91:21 95:8 97:9 98:24 99:13 100:6,16 102:13,24 105:24 106:5 107:6,8 109:7 116:6 120:22,24 124:17 125:13 128:17 134:13,16 140:10 141:7 143:24 144:10,14 145:17 146:24 149:9 150:21 154:10 155:8 156:16 171:14 174:20 175:2 191:2 (46)	objective 37:8 44:23 47:2 83:8,11,18 84:3 183:21 (8)	off 70:18 110:10 136:8 177:17 183:24 (5)	ones 50:6,8	ongoing 108:25
number 31:7,14 49:6 52:12 53:25 56:14 57:20 75:15 79:11,13,14 98:6 102:17 174:23,25 175:5,6 176:2,9 183:15 (20)	objectively 29:17	offended 156:9	offer 34:14	86:2 116:15 123:10 128:4
numbers 49:5 59:3 93:5 141:5 144:15,22 179:25 (7)	objectors 167:18	offered 56:11	only 24:13 27:22,23 32:24	131:20 135:20 147:14 151:20
numerous 54:6	obligated 101:11	offering 33:25	37:9 40:13 42:14 49:13,22,23 54:23 63:6 66:12 68:5 77:3 84:7	154:25 158:19 171:18 175:11 (22)
	obligations 95:23	officer 81:5	85:11,15 96:5 100:23 110:5 125:23 129:2 134:6 135:5 138:18 143:25	oppose 112:24
	observation 59:21	offices 27:6	149:25 168:10 173:19,20 178:18 (32)	opposed 177:11 184:14 185:20
	observed 165:17	official 65:23	officials 171:7	opposes 173:9 174:3
	obtain 138:8	offline 59:22	offline 59:22	optimistic 117:14
	obtained 30:15	offsite 25:20 26:4 34:15	onsite 20:4 52:5 56:13	option 25:24 31:4
	obvious 103:12	oh 70:18 93:25 188:16 191:21 (4)	onto 69:7 122:25 131:17 136:5 139:11 147:10 152:3 154:14 (8)	orally 9:17
	obviously 21:18 51:23 59:11 61:6 65:8 80:9 89:4 90:20 (8)	old 145:17	open 11:5 15:10,16,24 16:3,9,13,17 18:23 21:25 25:25 28:23 33:12,15	oranges 52:4
	occupancy 54:21 56:4,6,24 57:19 58:8,9 67:9,13 68:16 104:7 124:20 (12)	once 8:23 30:14 66:11 69:14 83:17 122:15 123:12 131:6 152:17 (9)	34:8,13,19 43:12	order 69:12 93:20 149:12 158:13 162:19,24 163:19 (7)
	occupant 57:14	one 7:18 11:17		organization 7:17 137:6,11

organizations 138:3	181:6 182:7,13,18 184:15,24,25 185:10 186:3,13,14,25 190:2 (74)	97:8,12,17 100:25 103:2 106:3,12 109:13 117:17,18 130:22 139:22 140:5 143:13 147:21 148:15 149:13 168:14,19 170:14 171:3 184:23 185:12 (37)	oysters 88:15 p 3:2,2,4,10 (4) page 35:13 40:11 pages 50:22 paget 3:21 159:2 paid 129:20	180:3 (23) partially 126:6 participant 158:8 participants 7:20 71:10 174:21,22 175:4 (5) participate 158:15 particular 11:18 27:13 30:12 56:8 87:15 91:18,18 115:24 150:4 180:23 (10) papers 119:16 particularly 58:17 132:18 162:11 180:12 (4) parties 23:19 26:19 47:14 48:2 53:13 164:10 174:18 181:24 194:15 (9) parcels 15:17 33:16 126:5 145:14 172:7,15 (6)
other 8:12 13:3,10 21:6,11 32:10 33:9 39:18 45:19 48:20 52:24 53:7 56:2 59:8 61:17 63:10 64:14 66:24 71:9 76:14 77:5 82:10 90:7 98:2 122:9 129:7 137:25 152:8 153:24 154:7 161:11 162:23 164:6 165:18 166:11 167:18 173:23 176:13 179:23 180:25 181:20 (41)	out 11:12 47:23 49:13 53:19 66:14 71:19 73:11,20 74:10 76:13,20 77:6,10 78:16 90:8 104:12 108:13 112:23 116:23 128:23 129:10 134:8,15 135:10,17 142:4 156:8 158:20 162:16 169:22 182:14 (31)	overall 35:16 121:17 overarching 120:8 overcrowded 109:5 outbreak 138:5 outbuildings 106:25 outcome 13:2 194:17 outcomes 91:17 outfit 54:10 outline 26:25 outlined 11:14 56:20 output 13:2 outputs 30:25 49:18 outset 182:15 outside 28:10 outstanding 30:6	overlay 42:3 overly 50:22 71:23 overview 11:21 overwhelming 100:2 own 29:10 48:13 52:10 57:2 64:4 66:14 96:3,14 149:20,21 150:19 151:10 153:2 160:22 161:2 164:23 165:24 178:5 (18) owned 145:12 owners 63:7 104:8 126:18 ownership 73:9 oxman 4:15 152:5 154:14,21,24 155:3,4,4 188:23 (9) oxymoron 154:9	paralytic 87:20 paraphrase 134:24 parcel 146:4 172:15 parcels 15:17 33:16 126:5 145:14 172:7,15 (6) parents 169:23 park 106:15 parking 106:17 126:11 141:15 parlato 16:14 33:24 144:25 145:21 177:8 (5) part 57:5 61:15 63:20 68:21 71:13 79:15 80:13 94:14 (7) patient 22:24 patrick 188:5,12 pattern 16:6
our 7:5 26:17 32:19 34:6 44:17 49:16 52:22 59:23 66:9 69:12 70:8 85:22 87:14 88:2 104:13 106:13 108:11,24 109:5,22,22,23 110:2 116:3 120:16,16 122:17 128:19 129:5 130:3,4,6 131:10 132:18 133:7,11 135:4,8,9 136:11 137:2,7 138:10 141:3 144:23 149:9 151:3,8 152:5,16,24 153:5 154:9 165:2,7,11 166:2,14 169:22 170:24 177:22	over 8:17 12:14 54:18 57:20 62:25 63:2 64:8,10 67:13 84:19 88:12 90:18 95:3 96:16			

74:19	36:12 37:6,14 43:9 88:18 103:20 111:18 141:9 167:9 178:17,18 (21)	personally 128:15,22 185:4	103:2,10 106:3,4,12 107:11 108:10	89:11,17 90:6,6 91:3,3 95:2 103:24
pavacic 3:7,9 187:13	percentage 35:15 57:19	perspective 77:12	110:9,17,21,24 111:8,16,21 112:13	113:10,15,25 117:20,23 118:4,6 119:12 121:11
pay 107:6,10,15	perception 61:8	pertained 49:25	113:6,13,18,24 114:17,25 115:7,9	123:20 143:15 155:23 157:24
payor 166:25	perfect 119:14 186:7	pertaining 8:8	116:2,18 122:6 127:12 128:3,13	168:5,7,14,19 169:6 173:18
pc 3:22	perfectly 163:12	pertains 49:14	131:7 136:13 137:4 139:7	176:10 177:15 179:8,9 182:17
pdd 25:19,24 48:17 64:10 89:14 90:2,9 116:22 119:4,18 123:23 124:13 157:13 159:13 160:8,15 179:25 180:4,9 (19)	performance 25:3	pesticides 124:4 130:2	143:17,22 144:5 152:14,19 153:10 155:22 156:4	183:22 186:8,9 (75)
pe 3:19	performing 166:8	pet 54:2	157:4,4 164:24 168:4 174:12 178:24 179:7	planned 1:1 5:20 25:19 156:12 183:20 (5)
peconic 102:21	perhaps 54:15 125:18 144:19	peter 188:2,8 189:7	182:23 189:3 (86)	planner 3:12 27:9 104:20
pending 14:12	perimeters 56:20 179:5	petrovic 176:21	pines 19:25	
people 21:16 58:12,19,22 71:15 91:6,7 93:18 100:15 106:6 115:23 116:3 128:19 137:13,22 138:11 139:23 143:5 145:18 146:2 152:23,25 157:7 166:12 168:16 170:16 173:24 185:6 (28)	period 12:4 21:15	phd 3:19 4:11	piping 165:17	planning 2:2 5:16 23:14 25:8 29:3 41:23 45:6 48:22 62:3 63:16
per 12:13,20 40:16 46:21,22 47:18,19,20,21 49:10,12 55:4,4,5,6 57:20 67:12,22 68:5 90:19 92:20 184:3,20 (23)	periods 140:2	philanthropic 88:14	pitch 41:16	65:5 74:9 95:18,25 96:18 97:4,19 98:12,20 104:19,24 105:8
percent 16:23,25 17:3,10,13,17 18:6,8 35:9,19	permanent 43:9 75:22	phone 174:23,25 175:5	place 38:10,13 49:23 83:21 153:12 (5)	110:18 112:8 115:20 118:9 119:23 123:21 148:11 149:5,5
	permission 153:8	photographs 38:4	placed 23:2 38:22 120:22,24 125:12 178:8 (6)	150:23 151:2 156:4 157:19 160:13,17 165:20 168:8,9 (40)
	permit 36:20 99:9	picture 134:23	placement 16:21 18:3 35:7,17 37:3 78:19 (6)	places 39:21
	permitting 97:3	piece 101:10 157:8	pin 103:14	plans 17:9,15 28:2,4,15 33:4 41:18 140:18 182:20 (9)
	perpetuity 172:8	pine 2:2 5:15 15:21 27:15,19 33:17 41:16 43:8	pine 2:2 5:15 10:11,15,22 11:15,20,22 13:23 14:11,22	plant 10:24 28:17,21 51:16,20 60:12,16 90:13
	perplexed 108:15	45:5 48:13 55:23 64:25 68:9	17:7,21,23 21:6 27:25 36:6,11,22 37:8,12 40:17	
	person 46:21 47:21 55:4,6,7 173:2 (6)	71:9,18 72:16,18,21 73:10 74:6,12 76:2,6,21,24 81:13,25 83:6 84:5,25 85:12	44:12,18,25 45:7 48:25 53:19 56:17 60:6 61:3,5 63:2 72:12,14 73:5	100:9 105:10 109:19 113:4 120:24 141:24 (14)
	personal 138:8	90:12 94:13 99:17 101:14 102:24	74:9 79:16,18 83:9 84:4	plants 51:25

61:13,14 111:11 (4)	points 54:8 76:13 77:9 86:3 93:14 140:15 176:3 183:14 (8)	165:3 (4)	precious 109:13 128:20 131:10	(4)
plaque 107:9		possible 10:7 11:8 60:9 73:15 81:18 186:11 (6)	precludes 163:9,11	presently 75:19 102:18
platitudes 171:16	poisoning 87:20	possibly 124:23		preservation 15:14,21 33:17 34:4 43:9 74:12 76:2,22 81:16 82:8,14 168:4,12 172:5 177:20 (15)
play 104:3 144:21	pola 3:25 93:22,25	post 141:23		
playable 125:21	policy 2:2 5:16 94:15 110:18 (4)	posted 21:17,20	predicted 75:6	preserve 33:14 76:3,22 111:16 168:14 (5)
played 133:10	policymakers 171:6	posting 190:21	preface 169:15	
pleadings 148:12	politician 170:13	potential 26:10 39:6 86:13 87:8,10 143:4 177:12 193:2 (8)	prefer 62:10	preserved 178:21
please 7:14 70:2 116:5 122:12,13,17 131:12 153:5 154:5 158:10 163:14,25 186:2 (13)	polluted 135:7 156:22,23	potentially 12:25 17:21	preliminarily 118:8	preserves 34:13
pleased 29:13	pollution 17:20	pounds 46:21 47:3,18,19,20 55:4,5,6 57:16,16,16 90:18 (12)	preliminary 29:4 180:7	preserving 90:10
plenty 59:14	polly 3:24	pond 142:13	president 3:18 94:7 123:7	
plus 116:25 167:14 184:19	ponds 14:24 15:5,6 31:25 32:22,23 33:5 49:15 (8)	pond 142:13	pressed 124:9	
pm 2:3 5:3 193:13	pools 105:11	pour 170:14	presumably 14:19	
pockets 117:11 141:12	poorly 76:25	power 61:14	preparation 23:9 62:19	
point 46:6 49:13 58:6 60:3 73:20 74:10 77:4,10 78:16 90:8 93:6 108:18 139:5 142:8 144:13 157:18 161:15 162:16 171:14 175:9 187:4 190:25 (22)	pope 3:13 24:24 27:6	powers 82:20	prepared 21:19 29:14 63:9 151:16 182:20 194:11 (6)	pretty 27:8 46:2 64:16
pointed 11:12 71:19 77:6 108:13 (4)	population 31:7,14 58:16	practicable 15:23	prepped 93:21	prevent 126:7 152:18
pointing 120:12	portion 5:2 69:4 132:12	practical 26:12 31:24,24 32:9 33:18 100:3 137:12 (7)	presence 73:12 74:5	prevention 17:20 152:21
	portions 20:12 129:12	prd 22:19 23:5 25:17 26:9 39:2 41:8,22 95:16 96:2 97:8,12 117:3 119:6,17 149:4 153:17 166:18 (17)	present 6:10 24:24 27:17 47:14 48:2 53:13 119:19 164:10 174:18 181:24 (10)	previous 72:4,13 132:9
	position 29:10 85:20	precedence 79:23	presentation 6:2 10:4 11:16,25 22:6 (5)	previously 25:12 38:24 69:24 79:6 96:7 185:19 190:23 (7)
	positive 23:12 88:17 151:13	precedent 122:8	presented 29:19 41:24 49:20 65:21	primarily 72:8 142:15
				primary 27:17

30:9 73:18	117:12 119:20 122:8,14 133:17 134:7 149:12,17 160:11 163:10 165:19 179:25 182:9 183:5 (23)	37:10,12 40:2,8,9,19 41:18 42:10,20,24 43:7,14,17 44:15 45:3,22 46:3 50:2 51:15,17,19 52:9,25 53:2 56:22 61:25 62:23 63:14 64:11 66:5 67:15 68:11 70:10 72:2,5 80:22,24,25 83:19 85:13,18 86:10 89:23 90:21 91:9 92:5 96:17 97:25 99:2,18 100:24 102:5 103:9,13 104:10,25 106:12,18,24 108:17,19,25 111:3,5,22 112:6,21,24 113:13,22 114:3,19 115:4,10,12,17	184:5,24 185:14,20 186:6 (200)	111:5,6 114:19 124:17 126:15 133:18 148:6 (23)
prime 185:18	processed 27:14 142:2	projects 13:4 27:16 46:23 47:9,9 49:14 51:25 52:9,24 53:16 55:15 56:2 127:13 133:4 135:5 172:10 179:24 (17)	proposing 182:12	
principal 3:11	processing 127:4	proprietary 54:10		
principle 75:10	produced 95:9	prospective 122:3		
principles 44:25	product 132:4	protect 42:8 72:24 78:12 89:11 108:9 109:16		
prior 8:24 25:10 40:17 41:23 98:4 148:16 (6)	professional 27:10 94:12 168:9	promised 130:23		
prioritize 15:20 33:16	professionally 183:19	promote 87:14		
priority 186:13	professionals 129:20 182:19,24	prone 79:15 144:20		
pristine 75:20 132:10	professor 86:25	properties 57:2 144:3		
private 16:3,9 124:6 154:8 (4)	profit 137:10	protection 18:13,16 19:19,21 40:25 71:18 72:16,19,19 80:2 101:14 115:6 (12)		
privilege 71:14	program 88:10,24 89:6	property 11:7 20:24 33:24 34:13 38:12 41:6,10,25 44:5 56:19 78:2,11 82:13,15,18 83:10 84:13,19,22 92:14 93:12 95:11 98:5 101:10 132:8,12 145:2,12,21 150:4 153:14 155:16,18 165:6,16,23 166:3 177:8 178:2,17 185:13 (41)		
probably 9:2 61:16 91:6,7,22 145:10 (6)	programs 64:15 166:11	protective 127:12 138:8		
problem 73:6 95:4 112:4 135:22 (4)	prohibited 115:16 179:15	protects 20:14		
problematic 73:21 74:25 77:12	prohibits 112:19	proud 182:18		
problems 129:10	project 9:10,15	prove 163:20		
procedural 99:24	10:12 11:9 12:14,17,19 13:3,6,13 15:25 16:13,16,21 17:4,9,11,16 18:2,9,19,24 19:14,18 20:3,9,17 24:13 27:22 29:17 31:8,9 32:6,12,21 33:8,13 34:18 35:2,6 36:3,19,25	provide 9:14,18 11:4 22:6 24:9 26:20,24 32:9 34:21 41:21 44:8 45:10 50:24 69:16,19 70:6 85:19 96:13 proposal 18:16 19:3,6,9 73:19 76:8,24 79:9 83:8 94:20 95:20 97:16 159:12 170:5 (14)		
proceed 91:20 95:15,21 99:15,23 160:21 (6)	160:8 161:22 162:5,12,13,25 163:21 166:10,18 167:10,12,19 168:3,21 170:10,17,25 171:19 172:9 174:4 177:2 178:16,23 182:9,12,13,15 183:9,16,20,23	proposed 12:3 14:4 21:14 31:9 32:11 41:18 45:3 70:10 73:5 76:15,20 78:19 83:16 102:5 103:15 105:25		
proceedings 5:3 107:22		provided 10:15 14:15 15:25 28:15		
process 5:10 25:13 31:10 54:6 94:22,22 95:8 96:22 104:21				

32:5,18 34:23 42:3 53:20 57:7 64:21 83:22,24 158:9 167:22 184:10 (16)	purest 111:9 purpose 57:3 109:8 purposes 11:2 15:2,7 33:2,6 86:21 192:24 (7) pursuant 5:12 96:6 101:5 purview 65:19 put 55:2 61:12 69:12 97:13 104:23 110:6 111:14 120:6 145:7,11 171:20 (11) putting 79:5 quality 86:11 95:6 112:11 133:4,8,11,14 167:6 172:12,14 182:8 186:18 (12) quantified 43:23 quantity 111:9 quarter 54:23 question 13:8,12 16:15 17:25 20:16 49:23 51:7 52:22 58:2 61:24 65:12 66:4,6 67:4,8 192:21 (16) questions 8:22 13:4 20:18 21:22,25 24:21 25:11 26:16 30:7 43:6 45:17,21,24 46:5,6 48:6 52:17 59:13 69:3 113:17 118:16,21 119:15 (23) quick 61:24 87:7	140:15 176:5 (4) quickly 10:7 53:14 67:5 143:15 169:15 171:3 (6) quiet 140:11 quite 46:4 133:20 161:17,24 (4) quogue 22:17 40:21 56:16 102:25 105:4 107:3 109:10 114:19 124:23 128:7,9,13 129:12 132:21 138:15 139:15,16,18 152:13 153:2 168:17,20 169:24 177:14 (24) quote 170:25 quotes 118:21 r 3:2,10 194:2 raged 128:10 rain 142:24 raise 158:17 190:3 raised 23:20 25:12 118:16 120:3 176:6 (5) ramirez 188:18 range 49:10 rapaport 3:25 93:22 rare 108:9 rate 124:20 rates 55:18	rather 73:8 119:22 ratio 67:13 raynor 194:7 reached 33:10 reaching 111:17 react 107:7 read 7:8 50:23 58:10 62:2 78:18 114:22 129:3 155:23 164:17 168:25 187:9 (11) reading 145:3 169:13 191:22 reads 36:9 real 129:25 realistically 39:7 realize 128:19 really 30:11 50:11 73:5 78:17 87:18 88:5 90:3 129:24 133:6 141:9 143:16 144:19 146:12 147:2 155:13 156:2 170:2,9 173:24 175:24 181:15 (21) reason 107:4,8 110:3 122:13 192:14 (5) reasons 173:11 174:2 reassure 170:9 rebuilt 165:15 receive 122:23	received 21:19 28:2 59:22 69:13 138:2 162:3 187:7,14,15 (9) receiving 86:6 87:5,16 recent 39:12 135:10 140:17 recently 24:22 88:22 91:2 126:19 132:15 (5) recharge 12:18 13:17,19 14:5,5 20:22 31:18,25 67:22 142:16,18 176:24 (12) reckless 99:22 recognize 180:2 recognized 63:16 recognizing 175:23 recommendation s 74:7 156:6 reconcile 123:25 reconfigured 172:4 record 7:19 8:3 22:11 29:18 34:20 35:10 39:18 43:21 44:23 56:7 60:2 68:17,22 80:14 130:21 155:12 180:16 181:6 187:12 194:12 (20) recovery 185:16,24 recreation 153:21 176:11
---	--	--	--	---

recreational 18:17,20 19:4,20,22 37:21 39:14 40:6 41:2 56:9 102:19 106:20 142:15 153:18 (14)	regards 87:2 89:24 90:23 91:19 92:22,23,25 121:6 (8)	remained 38:13 132:14 remaining 16:8 20:18 158:14 178:19 (4)	173:11 repetitive 71:24 replicates 32:14 report 5:25 6:23 8:20 10:16,20 20:19 23:21 29:14,16,23 32:18 34:18,24 35:12,22 36:3 37:23 39:24 40:11,22 42:9,17 43:4 44:17,19 46:9 49:22 65:8 68:10 (29)	64:11 required 11:13 17:9 36:11,17 43:13 49:6 66:16,18 98:9 113:14 154:2 160:10 162:15 180:4 (14)
red 109:14	regional 101:13 107:12,13 122:2 127:11 180:18 (6)	remark 60:5		requirement 17:22 49:7 99:25
reduce 20:3 78:10 88:6 89:12 (4)	regionally 107:5	remarkably 162:3		requirements 36:21,24 75:25 79:19 113:23 115:5 150:20 165:13,14 171:20 182:16 (11)
reduced 125:10 156:15	regrow 39:3	remarks 45:10 181:15		requires 14:2 36:15 66:11 72:19
reducing 89:21 156:21	regularly 143:12	remember 147:3	reporter 136:20 194:8	76:3 77:17 96:10 99:23 149:18 (9)
reduction 90:17	regulate 73:25	remind 25:16 80:10 131:6 146:19 (4)	reports 134:14	rerouting 141:21
reductions 168:16	reiterate 85:10	reminder 25:6 136:16	represent 170:16 182:4	reserve 13:24 14:3 32:14
reef 130:23	reject 79:17	removal 32:2	representative 173:12 185:5	
reevaluated 121:10,14	rejected 65:18 77:3 152:24 153:2 (4)	remove 79:11,14 168:22	representing 7:18 71:15 136:9 147:16 173:7 (5)	reside 56:12 155:5
referenced 12:21 161:18	related 41:4 180:8 194:15	removed 119:6	represents 43:17 186:7	residence 152:22
referencing 153:23	relates 41:3	removes 177:23	reprocessed 141:3	residences 100:12,18 173:20
referred 46:12 53:17 75:9	relating 72:10	remsenburg 155:8	repurposed 126:10	resident 128:8 132:7,21 139:3 155:6 169:19 (6)
refers 37:20 42:6	released 10:21	renames 112:7	reputation 181:10	residential 1:1 5:21 28:9 46:23 47:10 79:2 81:10
refine 162:20	releases 130:11	render 85:22	request 69:11,13 124:14 154:16 (4)	98:10 144:2 153:13 159:16
reflecting 140:9	relevant 150:7	repair 107:7 172:13	requested 60:22 152:6 154:20	(11)
reflects 96:25	relocates 10:23	reparanda 111:17	requests 181:20	residentially 145:19
regard 72:11 160:24	relocation 37:15 125:3	repealed 148:23 150:8	require 32:2	residents 56:12
regarding 52:14 53:25 72:2,9 159:8 161:21 (6)	rely 32:19 181:6	repeat 155:13		
	remain 16:13 64:18 142:20			

104:2 166:2	responsibilities 98:24	return 79:8 returned 173:4 178:24	revisions 24:12,17 26:25 48:25 (4)	167:12 171:15 189:16 (52)
residing 54:25	responsibility 95:2 99:7 109:15 110:5 (4)	revenue 43:21	rhetoric 157:10	roads 37:3,4,13 38:23 39:20 125:13 156:16,18 (8)
resolution 95:14,16 98:6	responsible 62:18 99:8 170:7	reverse 135:15	richard 107:22 110:19	roadside 20:11,13 42:4,7,12,14 (6)
resolve 99:14 161:17	responsive 76:25	review 8:22 11:17 14:14 23:8 29:2,15,23,25 37:8 38:3 43:14 44:19,23 48:17 60:7 62:15 63:14,16,21,24	riesel 3:22 159:2	roadways 18:3 100:14
resort 56:9 125:10,25 127:14 140:13 (5)	rest 13:15 70:16 104:23 140:10 157:8 (5)	69:9 72:3 87:7 94:21 95:3,6,8,15 96:12 97:20,24 98:8,22 99:4,10,12,19 100:5,21 101:5 112:11 117:19	right 26:3 65:21 69:6 70:20 85:24 87:25 89:18,23 116:8 122:12 142:4 145:17,20,23,24 151:9 157:21 161:20 171:21,24 172:12 181:11 184:23 191:3 (24)	robert 75:6 164:13 166:23
resource 120:23 121:8 128:20 168:13 (4)	restaurant 106:21	119:20,22 120:8 121:18,21 122:8 140:7 149:6,15,16,20 151:4,12 159:11,21 160:3,18 161:22 162:15 163:6 171:3,14 (64)	rightly 161:18	roccoforte 188:14
respected 140:5	restrictions 56:11	reviewed 29:17 46:4 48:21 53:17 98:3 118:7 150:23 151:15 159:21 (9)	rights 155:16	role 6:6,8 25:13 108:7 121:24 133:10 (6)
respectfully 107:15 167:11,15	rests 107:13	reviewing 11:23 17:19 51:14 163:25 (4)	risks 86:13	romaine 3:4 6:17 57:10 58:4 65:12 66:8,21 67:2 (8)
respective 44:11 54:21	result 12:18 13:9 26:3 29:9 33:8 34:25 35:23 36:25	reviews 36:15 125:5	riverhead 1:1 6:19 43:20 61:23 (4)	romaine's 67:18
respects 177:13	40:21 42:21 43:15 45:7 75:5 77:25	revised 10:11,13,22 11:22	road 1:1 5:20 22:15,19 23:5 25:17 26:9 27:7 28:18 38:11,12,25 39:2,20 40:14	roughly 16:4
respond 78:14	84:6 97:18 105:6 168:16 (18)	121:10 (7)	41:8 42:11,11,17 95:16,19 96:2,20 97:8,10 100:15	round 56:10 109:9 145:19
responded 10:20	resulted 24:8 77:21 95:7	revision 24:8 91:14	102:4 105:2 106:8 108:5 111:3 112:7 117:3 119:6,17 124:6 125:4,9,16 127:6 135:12 142:19 145:22 146:2 149:4	rules 97:12 186:4
responders 78:13	results 13:6 52:21 53:5 79:4 (4)		165:6,21 166:2,18	run 134:8 146:16
responds 91:21	retain 161:13 178:16			runoff 14:25 32:25 33:7 141:22 142:16 173:14 (6)
response 13:7 23:19 24:18,20 25:2 50:21 64:20 66:9 124:12 (9)	retention 19:23 179:6			runs 38:11
responses 10:16 25:15 119:15 167:22 (4)				rusty 65:14
				s 3:2,10,10

sadly 132:2	says 15:3 36:9 39:16 65:4 (4)	scope 117:17 148:5	(16)	septic 52:5,5 90:15
safe 138:12	scale 91:22	scott 188:8	seeing 143:15 193:11	seqra 23:9,12 25:7,9,13 26:7 29:2,8 65:14,24 66:11 95:7,19,23
safeguard 108:11	scallop 102:21	scratch 78:18	seeks 12:11	66:6,9 98:25 99:12,16,23 101:5 114:2 118:13 119:10
safeguards 179:20	scarce 106:13	screen 7:6 10:6	seem 109:24 125:21 181:2	149:12,18,20 150:19 159:8
said 41:11 47:18 50:9 63:8 69:17 85:11 136:18 137:5 172:2 173:13 (10)	scarring 172:17	screening 41:21	seeman 3:23	184:6 (30)
sailing 132:20	scenarios 91:19	sea 22:14 86:14	187:25	serenity 38:10,13
sake 144:12	scenic 19:19,22 20:14 40:25 42:8 (5)	seagrass 87:13	seems 61:7 108:8 127:8 141:4	series 90:3 102:8
same 48:16,23 51:17 95:11 117:2 118:5,12 121:20 127:16 159:14 168:15 (11)	scheduled 23:16	season 141:15,16	170:14 173:18 (6)	serious 114:12 118:15,20 120:2 121:21 (5)
samples 176:23	schneiderman 3:5 6:13,15 8:5 9:5 11:12 46:2 48:3 49:4,21 51:3,10 52:11 53:9 189:24	seasonal 56:3,5,24 104:7,10 (5)	seen 109:3,10 132:21 134:6	seriously 151:9 157:5
sanitary 46:14 155:20 179:17	191:14 192:11,22 (18)	second 30:24 31:16 57:12,23 86:18 190:2	send 148:15	serve 65:17,24 116:17
sartain 188:13	schneiderman's 114:6	191:4,19 193:4 (9)	senior 3:18	served 123:23
sartorius 188:8	school 56:13 90:13 104:12,14 168:17 169:25 (6)	seconds 139:2	sense 124:14 177:16	session 6:4 191:11,17,20 192:13,24 (6)
sat 150:10	schools 109:6 124:24	secret 118:18	sensitive 101:9 148:4	set 39:20 79:24 106:5 122:9
satisfied 53:10	schwenk 188:20	section 11:6 16:6 69:8 153:20 154:3 (5)	sent 142:3	128:23 171:23 182:14 194:20 (8)
satisfy 17:21	science 94:11 147:3 167:21 169:6 (4)	sections 29:24 154:4	sep 59:25	separate 16:12 178:4
satorias 189:7	scientific 129:23 181:3	sediment 17:7,8,15 36:2,5,10,22 50:2,20 (9)	separations 75:22	setauket 71:12
saw 29:13 51:21 113:9 175:12 (4)	see 10:5 41:14 71:2,6 73:19	separation 41:24 60:19	separated 74:24	setback 60:13,15
say 28:8 45:23 50:3 77:17 78:22 93:8 104:17 112:5 169:3 180:25 (10)	scientist 134:3 169:17	91:20 103:3 109:6 122:17 134:4 135:6 146:7 151:17 159:18 178:14 190:11	september 12:8 21:14 193:11	setting 78:25
saying 136:9 156:11 169:15	scientists 130:9 169:3			seven 116:25 122:14
				several 26:17 42:25 72:5 92:20 100:25 187:7 (6)

severe 79:3	32:24 33:14 35:7 36:11,14 37:5	123:16 (4)	situations 75:14	64:6,16,18 66:12,21 67:8
sewage 10:24 28:17,21 46:15,17 51:16,20,25 52:6,6,7 60:12,16 61:13 68:6 90:12 100:9 105:9 109:18 113:4 120:23 141:24 173:23 (23)	38:2 44:13,18 45:7 73:20 76:16 77:3 78:23 83:10 84:9 90:20 96:17 99:15 109:14 129:22 156:7 157:9 169:8 170:8 175:2 191:16 (37)	silas 188:5 similar 13:4 47:7 55:12,21,25 77:19 (6) similarly 63:23 112:22	sive 3:21 158:25 six 105:22 size 75:17 105:11,12 117:17 similarly 63:23 120:15 125:25 156:15,21 (8)	68:7 70:14,20 73:13 74:4,16 75:19 76:7,25 77:10 78:7 79:5 80:12,15 82:16 84:15,20 85:18 88:4 89:3,25 91:15 92:21 93:12,14,19,20,25
shall 15:13 153:25	shoulder 103:3 shouldn't 146:25	simple 133:20 152:21 153:11 169:9 171:17 (5)	skilled 182:19 sky 157:11	96:11 100:22 101:19 102:7 117:6 119:7 123:13 127:18,20
shannon 188:13,25	shoved 100:10 show 163:19 170:15 showing 10:14 68:15	simply 97:13 99:15 103:25 150:16 160:10 (5) simulation 12:17	slipped 47:23 slope 11:8 35:9 37:17 171:25 177:24 (5)	132:3 133:9 135:9,21 140:15 141:3 142:10,10,21 143:9 146:6,9,23 148:23 150:18,24
shape 117:17 120:15	shown 44:9 shows 13:23 88:3 125:15	since 10:9 24:3 27:21 41:23 53:15 59:16 65:10,18 74:11 81:23 88:17 102:6 120:15,18 148:22 151:11 170:6 175:11 (18)	sloped 28:10 slopes 16:25 17:3,10,12,16 18:5,7 35:18 36:12 37:6,14 (11)	151:14 153:11 155:12 156:18 157:18 158:4,10,13,20 159:9,20 161:13 163:7,12 164:11 167:4 169:8,14,23
share 54:15 137:7 165:2	shrinking 146:18	sincere 182:11	slowly 7:8,23 10:8	170:8 174:2,19,25 175:16 181:19 185:23,25 186:24
shared 10:5	side 138:25 139:23 145:21	sincerely 166:21 181:11	small 103:19 172:7	187:13 189:9,12,14,24 190:10,13,16,25
shellfish 87:20,23 88:24 133:7 (4)	sides 133:13	single 96:15 163:22	smaller 125:22 smart 128:18	191:15 192:7,11,15 193:9 (139)
sheryl 188:11	sierra 173:8,9 174:3	site 12:15 13:25 15:13,18 27:13 32:13 38:16,25 40:15 43:10,11	smith 91:5 92:7 93:3 180:17 (4)	society 110:21 112:13 116:18,20 189:3 (5)
shinnecock 43:16 86:7,12 88:9,11,20,25 102:16 130:7 132:23 133:15 (11)	signed 82:4 138:23 166:21	52:15 60:6 61:3,5 97:22 99:5,21 149:22 (4)	snuff 173:24 so 5:12 7:3,7,9,19 ,22,25,25 8:6,25 9:3,5 10:3,8,9 13:2,12 14:20 15:20 40:18 45:22 46:7,13,16 48:5,25	soil 103:22 142:4 soils 141:5,19
shortcomings 77:6 114:23	significant 19:10 32:2,4 39:22 40:14 43:18 53:20 148:5 160:6 163:18 (10)	sites 40:6,7,7 127:9 (4)	siting 61:7	sold 104:10 126:10
should 13:22 14:24 15:19 16:21 18:16 19:3,23 20:13 29:21 31:23	significantly 19:15 49:5 89:17	situation 83:7 173:22	solely 14:25 33:2,5 82:23 (4)	solidaristic 83:5

solutions 137:12	34:11 43:19 44:9 58:18 62:3,17	93:20 132:10 133:12 176:2 183:14 (6)	spreading 73:11	44:16 45:4 49:24 50:5 81:22
some 14:16 21:16 38:18 51:21 53:16 58:22 60:17 62:2 72:8,11 92:12,16,20 100:20 106:25 111:14 123:18 128:18 129:4,10,22 134:5 146:17 175:16,19 176:3 185:19 (27)	65:5 68:10 82:21,25 83:2,21 84:18 86:9 95:17,24 96:18 97:4,5,19 98:3,7,11,20 104:24 105:8 111:24 112:8 114:4 116:24 118:9 123:8 133:3 145:10 148:17 153:15 155:5 167:2 168:8 169:2 176:12 189:4 (45)	speaking 9:4 123:9 158:21 168:2 (4)	spreads 76:20	83:9,12,12,18 84:3,8 85:14
someone 81:12 117:13	special 72:22,25 153:7	special 72:22,25 153:7	spur 125:16	94:24 101:3,6 123:15 136:13
something 78:8 85:10 91:23 126:16 133:12 144:18 160:13 162:2 167:16 171:8 176:12 (11)	species 41:17 74:20 75:5,16,24 76:10 77:16 111:12 (8)	species 41:17 74:20 75:5,16,24 76:10 77:16 111:12 (8)	square 105:13 106:16,25 109:19 (4)	137:4 155:21 157:23 162:7,14 163:5,23 168:5 169:5 171:23 172:13 178:15 180:11,22 181:5 (43)
sometimes 75:8 101:8	southern 102:24 143:17	specific 42:13 72:23 180:20	staff 5:25 6:23 7:13 8:20 9:13,21	180:15
somewhat 117:14	southward 11:4	specifically 29:24 55:15 72:12,18 76:18 88:10 (6)	standpoint 61:10	
son 167:4	space 11:5 15:10,25 16:3,9,13,17 18:23 28:23 33:12,15 34:8,13,15,19	speed 7:25	start 6:23 8:6 9:20 22:4 136:8	
sonir 30:20 31:3 54:9 55:11 67:10 91:23 (6)	43:13 75:3 97:11 100:16 126:2,6,9 153:16 154:2 162:22 168:20 172:9 178:10,19 (29)	spell 7:15 70:4 136:17	141:8 156:13 192:2 (8)	
soon 140:11 141:8	spaces 15:16	spelled 164:15	started 5:7 176:6	
sorry 47:15 114:8 128:22	spat 132:25	spent 170:22	state 5:13 70:3,8 71:22 72:17 79:20	
sound 37:18 119:23	speak 7:7,23 8:25 22:5 45:18 59:17 61:19 65:7 67:6 69:11 70:15,22 72:7 86:2 116:15 123:11 128:4	spilka 4:7 116:11 123:4,6 189:3 (5)	81:14,14,23 82:11 84:11,16 85:2 92:2 95:5 101:7 103:13 104:15 109:25 111:12 112:10 113:8 114:17,20 153:25	
source 142:8	131:21 152:6,9 154:17,21 155:10 158:6,19 164:7 174:22 175:3,8,11 181:16 (31)	spinney 28:18 100:15 102:4 106:8 108:5 124:6 125:3,9 (8)	stake 87:8 89:4	184:6 192:14 194:3,8 (29)
south 11:7 16:3,7 28:17 34:5 37:16 120:19 125:8 177:22 (9)	spoke 180:13	staking 39:25	stated 96:4 162:11	
southampton 6:14 22:15 28:5	spoken 139:22	stand 44:13 116:5	statement 12:7	
	spot 165:9	standard 11:17 15:12 16:18 21:5 33:13,22 34:16,22	19:13 23:11,12,13 24:15 25:10 29:10 30:17 35:13 62:20	
	sprawl 78:8	sprawl 78:8	63:10,13,22,25 64:5 66:16 96:3 99:16 118:22 134:24 137:8 160:4,20,22 161:3	
	sprawling 77:11,24	sprawls 76:19	standards 8:9 11:14,23 24:11 25:4 26:13 27:25 29:20,25 30:9,18	
			states 13:18 15:12 16:20 18:15	

19:2,21 33:13 35:6 36:19 37:4 183:25 186:16 (12)	stony 86:25 88:8 133:3	121:7 170:5 studies 56:7 68:8 120:13,25 121:4 139:20 (6)	subordinate 149:23	43:7 summer 130:13 165:18
static 53:23	stop 113:21 115:7	stopped 38:20	study 56:21 135:10	subsequently 98:15,22
status 66:11 149:8,11,13 151:13 (5)	storage 78:20,21,24 105:14 109:20	studying 170:23	substantial 43:24 99:17 124:21 153:22 154:6 185:3 (6)	super 103:6 superior 171:19
statute 82:12	179:13,14,20 (8)	sub 91:3	superiority 131:3	
statutory 127:16	stories 140:3	subdivision 15:12,18 24:9,17 25:18 29:5 36:18 46:23 62:23,25 63:7 82:23 98:10 148:10 160:12 168:24 180:5,7 (18)	substantially 49:9 67:24 123:20	supermajority 157:17 160:9
stay 70:19 104:9 109:8	storm 14:11,24 17:20 32:9,12,25 33:7 142:16	subsumed 125:8	supervisor 3:4,5,6 11:12 114:5 (5)	
stayed 121:20	184:21,23 185:4,7,12,16,22	successful 38:18	such 15:19 23:2 34:7 64:12 74:14 103:7 107:4 117:4 185:2 (9)	supplemental 63:20 68:15 160:19
stays 79:23	(15)	subject 99:3 100:19	sudden 141:13,17 144:10	supplementary 64:2
steep 11:7 28:10 177:24	stp 31:10 124:5 125:12	subjective 83:12	suddenly 144:16	supplied 52:18 117:25
steeper 37:16	straddles 74:14	submission 20:21 23:8 32:20 89:14 (4)	sued 112:2	suppling 114:11
stenographer 7:6 70:5 86:21	stranger 107:21	street 102:13	sufficient 41:21 42:23 124:18	supply 11:2 87:11 129:5,8 (4)
stenographers 7:8	stress 7:22	submissions 8:8 23:18 26:8,21 39:18 163:15,16 181:7 (8)	sufficiently 43:5	support 15:13 29:11 44:7 50:25
step 151:19	strict 99:23 101:6 149:18 165:11 (4)	strong 155:15 170:3	suffolk 6:20 28:11 84:17	85:20 88:13,14
steve 3:17 70:20 139:4 154:19 (4)	strongest 186:22	submit 17:14,22 68:14 80:12 98:9 (5)	91:2,12 92:4 104:19 126:25	99:25 129:18
steven 3:21 70:11 71:11 158:6,24 164:5 (6)	struck 162:10	submitted 9:17 10:12 11:22 23:6 24:19,21 30:3	145:6 155:19 179:16 194:5 (12)	137:13,19 138:11,16 151:3 166:19 (15)
steward 44:2 167:14 186:11	structure 165:8	36:6 50:13,15 64:2 66:10 69:11	suggest 66:8 163:7	supporting 32:4 166:11
stewards 130:18	structures 60:13,15 153:23 165:15 (4)	79:7 118:3 121:11 122:19 149:4 155:11,14	suggested 183:17	supports 138:13
still 5:9 14:11,19 62:4 64:7,7,17 101:20 113:19 114:2 116:25 120:12 123:3 144:24 (14)	students 109:11 171:4	187:6,11 189:18 (23)	summarize 24:25	supposed 79:2 117:18 172:10
	studied 24:6	submitting 155:24	summary 10:21	

sure 22:3 55:9 58:9 60:7 67:7 70:2 130:19 162:24 175:10 193:10 (10)	140:21 177:17	tell 48:14 119:24 tail 73:16 take 55:7 57:23 60:9 66:19 69:22 116:3 144:11 149:7,12 151:8,12 152:10 159:5 170:15 176:23 (15)	ten 47:20 142:17 tenants 104:8 terchunian 189:8 term 103:5 terms 6:6 32:22 46:9 57:19 58:18 60:18,23 73:21 (8)	116:8,14 122:16,21,24 123:10 127:20,21 128:2 131:14,15,19 136:2,3 139:8,9 147:7,8,13 151:20,24,25 152:11,14 154:11,12,23,24 155:9 157:25 158:2 164:3,5 169:10 172:22,24 174:5,14 175:21,23 terrestrial 75:14 terror 143:24 testify 80:21 82:10 testimony 50:14 81:2 167:22 than 12:19,20 talked 176:15 192:19 talking 77:14 117:2 121:16 156:12 (4)	
surprised 117:15		takes 66:17 112:6 129:17 177:16 (4)	testify 80:21 82:10	thank 185:22	
surrounded 103:9 127:9 140:12		taking 25:10 106:6 127:11 133:20 135:16 163:11 (6)	testimony 50:14 81:2 167:22	theile 79:7 125:2 129:10,15,25	
survived 185:3		talk 104:13 143:19 179:12	than 12:19,20	their 8:25 20:20 48:13 53:21 57:5 59:12,15,16 63:21 65:19 66:14 69:13 79:15 96:13 102:25 104:21	
susan 4:4 101:22 107:20 108:2 110:13 187:17 188:16 (7)		talked 176:15 192:19	than 12:19,20 16:23,25 17:3,10,12,17 18:5,8 21:11 32:10 35:8,18 41:20 48:9 52:24,25 60:14,20 61:7 67:24 89:23 91:13,22 92:19	they're 100:17	
susceptible 144:21		tank 78:20,22	tank 78:20,22 94:16 107:2 108:14 114:12 119:22 121:25 123:21 134:10,17 143:4 150:22 152:20 154:10 172:5,11,21 192:4 (43)	than 12:19,20 16:23,25 17:3,10,12,17 18:5,8 21:11 32:10 35:8,18 41:20 48:9 52:24,25 60:14,20 61:7 67:24 89:23 91:13,22 92:19	thiele 70:9
suspect 8:11		tap 38:11	target 100:6	thing 92:11 107:16 122:12 157:21 171:24 181:12 (6)	
sustainability 186:13		target 100:6	target 100:6 143:4 150:22 152:20 154:10 172:5,11,21 192:4 (43)	things 56:15 61:5 78:17 79:10 87:7 90:7,9 130:14 137:25 140:17 143:14 145:2 162:23 173:12,23 (15)	
sustainable 184:17		tax 43:21 166:25 168:16	tax 43:21 166:25 168:16	them 8:24 9:3,18 26:18 70:14 87:22	
sustains 108:24		teacher 169:18 170:22	thank 6:24 8:4 9:5,22,23,25 22:7,20 27:2,3 45:9,13 47:22	them 8:24 9:3,18 26:18 70:14 87:22 103:9 141:8 142:21 143:19 144:12 145:11,16	
svis 123:24		team 26:17 45:20 181:10 182:18 183:9 (5)	team 26:17 45:20 181:10 182:18 183:9 (5)	think 46:5,6 47:19 51:5,11 52:2 58:21 60:17 61:4,8,15 62:11 65:9,21 72:3 77:8 86:4,8 87:5 89:25 90:25	
swppp 36:23		technical 46:20 64:6 163:15,18 (4)	technical 46:20 80:4,6,19,20,23 85:4,6,25 93:16 101:15,17 107:17,18 110:11,12	there's 8:17 21:9 87:21 88:11,18 89:3,10 91:24 98:13 99:19 128:9 132:3 177:19	
system 14:10					
systems 13:20 46:15 90:15 170:24 (4)					
t 3:10 194:2,2					
table 126:22		ted 187:24			

91:6,15,24,24 92:6 93:2,3,12 100:23 104:5 119:25 122:7 127:18 135:19 142:11 145:9 146:12,17 156:2,6 175:18 179:13 187:4 (44)	thousands 185:9 threat 107:12 129:4 threatened 121:9 three 36:17 40:12 41:14 52:2 69:18 79:14 82:15 93:20 103:21 131:4 (10)	took 89:12 132:11 149:10 top 143:3 184:24 total 48:9 102:17 182:15 touch 140:25 tough 117:9 119:14 171:4,12 186:20 (5) today 7:10,20 22:16 24:2 50:14 68:18,23 70:9 72:7 80:21 82:11 85:5 94:18 108:6 114:11 115:10 116:15 117:21 118:7,12 122:16,20 128:14,21 140:8 150:10,21 156:11 159:16 161:24 162:2,4 164:23 173:17 183:14 184:18 185:12 thursday 10:21 190:22 193:10 (39) thus 32:14 44:20 63:21 tiana 102:16 tide 102:15 tightly 177:25 time 7:23 8:18,19 27:21 45:15 59:9,15 61:18 thoughtful 79:25 thoughtfully 183:19 thoughts 9:14 69:3 72:11 79:5 80:8 (5) thousand 185:12	took 89:12 132:11 149:10 top 143:3 184:24 total 48:9 102:17 182:15 touch 140:25 tough 117:9 119:14 171:4,12 186:20 (5) today 7:10,20 22:16 24:2 50:14 68:18,23 70:9 72:7 80:21 82:11 85:5 94:18 108:6 114:11 115:10 116:15 117:21 118:7,12 122:16,20 128:14,21 140:8 150:10,21 156:11 159:16 161:24 162:2,4 164:23 173:17 183:14 184:18 185:12 thursday 10:21 190:22 193:10 (39) thus 32:14 44:20 63:21 tiana 102:16 tide 102:15 tightly 177:25 time 7:23 8:18,19 27:21 45:15 59:9,15 61:18 thoughtful 79:25 thoughtfully 183:19 thoughts 9:14 69:3 72:11 79:5 80:8 (5) thousand 185:12	town's 14:16,17 19:13 36:23 149:8 186:8 (6) toxic 87:23 88:3 102:15 toxins 102:11 129:7 trace 139:16 track 96:13 130:21 trade 81:9 traffic 121:4 124:22 125:17 trail 18:18,21 19:4 37:22 39:6,15 41:7,9,13 (9) trails 18:18,20 19:4 37:21,24 44:3 (6) transcribed 7:5 transcript 21:18 190:24 194:10 transformed 165:8 transparent 100:21 transpired 5:4 traveling 146:3 traversing 37:6 treasure 111:20 treasures 113:11 treated 47:4,9 treatment 10:24
---	--	--	--	---

28:17,21 46:15,17 51:16,20,25 52:6,7,7 60:12,16 61:13 68:6 90:13 100:9 105:10 109:19 113:4 120:23 141:23,24 171:22 (24)	55:5 57:15 70:8 79:13 92:20 99:18 100:23 111:15 131:4 145:2 169:21 175:14 (20)	underground 106:16 126:11 133:21 141:14 (4) understand 26:15,19 58:7 65:15 (4) tyler 188:17	31:7,15 54:22 73:14 (5) university 86:25 88:9 94:16 unmute 175:8	167:10 us 7:10 45:25 56:16 61:20 85:5,22 101:21 102:8 106:7 112:15 119:25 123:3 128:14 138:4,8,9 141:7 146:20 147:5 152:7,23 173:5 180:10 192:4 193:9 (25)
trees 74:23 103:4 106:4 143:23 144:12 (5)	tymann 188:4	understandable 10:8	unmuted 69:14 94:3 175:2	
trend 135:16	type 31:8 46:23 52:25 55:23 60:23 99:2 172:10 (7)	understanding 14:9 22:25 39:10 108:7 (4)	unmuting 5:10 85:9	
trespassing 38:20	types 55:21	understood 38:2	unproven 129:22	usage 126:16
tricky 93:13	typical 64:13	undertake 149:6,19	untested 104:22 133:19	use 8:10 11:15,20 19:25 27:25 39:13 44:12 56:17
triggers 176:19	typically 54:23 142:7 192:14	undertaken 161:12 162:18 163:19	until 12:5 23:25 38:14 132:14 142:20 192:5 (6)	72:9,12 73:18 83:9 84:4 94:12 95:2 102:19 113:9,25 119:12
true 184:18 194:11	ultimately 115:22 130:4 151:17	undertook 149:11 159:20 160:18	up 21:25 26:3 40:17 52:3 54:4 67:5 80:16 85:24 87:24 93:19 94:2,20 97:15	127:14 134:25 137:6 155:22 168:6,21 169:6 172:11,16,17 177:15,17 182:17
truly 182:8	unable 164:18	unable 164:18	up 21:25 26:3 40:17 52:3 54:4 67:5 80:16 85:24 87:24 93:19 94:2,20 97:15	183:22 186:9 (34)
trust 157:18,19,20	unanimous 190:6 191:7 193:6	undisclosed 105:10	undisputed 84:21	used 15:6 38:24 39:9 46:16 47:5 48:15,23 56:2
truth 154:6	unanimously 113:7	undisputed 84:21	undisputed 84:21	48:15,23 56:2
try 10:6 71:23 76:3	unauthorized 38:19 39:12 44:4	undisturbed 20:12 42:7,12,14 (4)	undisturbed 157:6 166:16 169:22 170:15 173:24 177:5 (30)	73:24 124:4 134:17 173:20 (12)
tune 78:3	unbalanced 171:17	unfortunately 157:16	update 48:24 67:14	useful 50:12
turf 176:10	unbroken 15:15 34:14 43:12	unfounded 109:4	updated 28:2 53:23 54:3	uses 16:11 133:24 153:19
turmoil 107:5	unclustered 74:18	unfragmented 11:5 15:10 16:5,17 28:23 33:12 162:22 (7)	upgrading 28:20 90:15	using 133:22 135:17
turn 55:2	under 14:13 23:9 25:7 83:13,14,20 95:19,23 96:14 97:13 99:9 140:6 141:20 153:14 (14)	unique 72:22 108:9	uphold 181:10	usual 125:23
turned 171:3		unit 68:5	upon 75:17 85:16 99:8 155:19 161:2 (5)	usually 7:8 65:15
twice 60:14 132:9		units 28:9	urge 79:17 84:24	utilities 141:21
two 12:13,21 15:4,24 38:16 40:10 50:20 51:24				utilization 56:23

utilize 63:11	59:19 68:4,11 72:25 73:21,24,25	villages 165:21	walked 38:15 41:11 178:7	109:22 110:2 111:9 116:3
utterly 112:10	74:5,16,25 79:25 80:19,22 85:4,18	virgin 106:12	wall 184:23	120:20 126:16 127:13 128:19,23,25
vacation 165:9	89:13 101:15 110:3 118:4	virtual 116:14	walt 27:6	129:5,8,11,15,23 130:7 131:10
vadose 176:23	122:24 124:22 127:2 131:9	visible 20:6 42:10,21 105:2,3 (5)	want 6:22 45:23 46:8 57:10 59:9,11,25 67:17	132:19,22 133:3,7,11,14,21 134:16,17
validated 48:22 49:3 54:5	133:9,14 140:2 148:7 151:24 155:15 157:12	vision 128:18	68:3 70:7,14 77:10 88:5 92:5,10 94:18 101:9 119:25	135:8,14 140:20 141:2,12,21,21,23 142:2,16,20 143:3,12 168:21
value 91:16 104:22 138:21	163:17,17 164:3 167:7 169:10 175:21 176:14	visits 178:8	136:8 143:6 145:7 146:6,13 152:9 155:13 174:20 176:4,7 177:5 (29)	171:22 172:12,14 (69)
variability 92:14,21 93:10	177:7 178:6 179:13,22 181:2 182:6,18	visually 19:24 39:21	wanted 51:6,13 53:3 69:20 84:10 93:15 137:7	waters 68:2 86:6 100:13 130:5 (4)
variance 72:15 74:7 75:24 79:19 (4)	183:8,11,18 184:7 185:4 (57)	veterans 81:7	174:22 175:3,10,15,18 187:10 (13)	watershed 86:5 87:4 91:3 127:15 134:2 135:4 168:23 (7)
various 55:20 81:22 162:6	vetted 183:11 184:7	vital 134:25	way 15:20 29:22 77:24 95:21 99:22 102:25 119:14 132:14 141:5	
vegetated 34:19 41:9 75:20,21 (4)	via 2:2 7:4 9:17 22:23 187:14,16 (6)	voice 122:13	145:20,24 162:13 169:19 183:2 185:15 194:17 (16)	
vegetation 13:21 15:14,22 16:10 31:23 32:3,8 33:14 41:15 75:23 (10)	viability 123:19	volume 179:17	wants 143:18 146:4	
vegetative 127:4	viable 99:20 125:25 156:16	voorhis 3:13,14 22:3 24:23,24 26:23 27:3,5,6 46:18 47:20 48:11 49:8 50:6 51:18,23 53:14 55:9 57:18 59:5 60:4 62:6 67:3,8 68:24 175:21 (26)	ward 173:3,6,7	
vehicle 125:19	vice 3:18	vote 44:13,18,25 107:16 122:14 131:12 184:13 190:6 191:7 192:12 193:6 (11)	warnings 140:4	
vehicles 106:15	vickers 188:21	voted 157:15	wasn't 54:11	
verbal 68:22 69:10,19 85:19 (4)	video 2:2 21:16,17 69:15 190:22 (5)	w 3:19	wayne 3:15 22:3,11,12 27:3,21 28:3 191:23 (8)	
verified 104:6	view 127:12 173:18	wasteland 132:13	ways 86:11 100:23 123:18	
version 89:16 92:8 125:22	views 50:12 105:20	watched 106:2	we'll 94:2	
versus 46:15 63:5 144:16	village 153:3 164:20 165:7 166:2,13 188:9 (6)	water 11:2 14:11,25 17:20 28:11,14 31:5,10,12 32:9,13,25 33:7 46:25 47:4,5,8 56:5 73:2,22 86:11 87:5,11,16 102:12 106:14	wearing 7:11	
very 22:24 29:13 30:5 43:2 47:7 52:23 55:12,16	village's 165:3	walk 41:5	wednesday 12:5 21:15 190:18 192:9 (4)	

week 103:15,16 111:4	wetlands 87:9 120:25 143:8	172:2 186:21 191:25 (38)	160:4,23 161:11 162:2,5 163:16 168:7 176:22	11:16,23,24,25 15:4,6 16:13 17:21 20:3
weesuck 87:18 88:2 90:11 100:13 (4)	what 8:13 15:2 31:13 32:10 36:8 38:21 39:15 40:12,18 44:13	where 13:11 17:9,16 25:20 28:13 31:23,24 51:21 52:4,22	177:9 178:3 180:18 184:11 192:19 (71)	21:4,18,19 24:24 26:19 31:9 36:19,25 37:14 43:7,15,16 44:6
weighing 172:19	50:13 54:12 57:17 58:16 60:22,23	56:25 60:24 71:14 88:3,4 89:19	while 85:8 138:25 158:20	49:13 50:3 57:23 60:5 67:16 68:16
welcome 139:4	73:6 75:3 78:7,22 83:22 87:19 92:24	99:19 114:2 135:13 141:2,11	172:19 (4)	69:7,9,15 71:23 75:23 76:8,11
welcomed 9:16	95:12 103:15 105:24 110:24	143:21 144:20 145:25,25	whitman 27:7	78:15 80:9,10,11,14,17
well 7:10 21:20 27:8 28:13,19 34:4 36:22 48:19 50:14 68:22 70:10 75:13 78:4 86:6 92:18 112:12 113:21 120:4,21 129:11 145:8,11 148:4 151:2 156:22 170:10 176:25 179:23 181:14 185:4 (30)	112:17 116:5 117:15,18,21,25 118:7 119:2,7,25 120:4 122:7 123:21 124:17,19 126:22 130:9 134:10,18 141:10,13,22,25 144:17 145:3 146:7,12,25 150:22 152:16 155:13 157:2 161:23,25 162:13	146:2,13 163:17,22 173:22 (30)	whereof 194:19	7:6,10,15 45:17 61:18,24 66:4 70:11 80:16 81:12
wells 92:16 124:6	163:24 168:25 172:9,21 175:24 (67)	whether 49:24 66:15 83:3,7,10 85:13,17,21 98:13 129:5 (10)	whether 49:24 66:15 83:3,7,10 85:13,17,21 98:13 129:5 (10)	82:10 93:18 117:13 147:19
went 91:14 95:12 103:25 123:22 160:10 184:23 185:21 (7)	what's 126:14	whereupon 5:2 47:12,24 53:11	whereupon 5:2 47:12,24 53:11	152:5,6,8,23 154:15 158:14
weren't 52:3 145:15	whatever 58:22 62:10 83:20 111:3 113:12 114:15 115:9 (7)	164:8 174:16 181:22 190:5 191:6 193:5,12 (11)	161:20,21 164:6 169:16 172:15 173:4,24 174:22 175:4,15 182:20 185:19 187:6,10 (35)	125:16,24 126:11,13 129:23 138:16 141:10 144:21 147:21
west 106:5 127:7 155:7	whatnot 142:3,17 144:9	whether 49:24 66:15 83:3,7,10 85:13,17,21 98:13 129:5 (10)	whether 49:24 66:15 83:3,7,10 85:13,17,21 98:13 129:5 (10)	152:3,9 154:15 156:8,15 157:20,21 158:11,20 160:25
western 88:25 132:23 133:15	when 8:20 15:17 21:19 29:4,13 36:14 47:18 48:16 49:11 52:20 55:2	which 5:4 12:20 24:7 25:24 32:20,22 35:14 36:18 38:10 39:2,15 40:17 41:20 42:12 46:22	which 5:4 12:20 24:7 25:24 32:20,22 35:14 36:18 38:10 39:2,15 40:17 41:20 42:12 46:22	161:17,20 163:3 164:12 166:16,18
westhampton 164:20 166:7,9 169:18,20 186:16 188:10 (7)	65:20 69:25 81:12 92:2,4 103:5,19 117:9 118:25 126:24 132:11 138:4 141:13	49:15 50:3 51:15 62:20 63:3 69:13 71:13 74:14 75:8,12 76:3,19 81:2,17,19 82:5 85:16 89:15 94:20 95:7 96:12	49:15 50:3 51:15 62:20 63:3 69:13 71:13 74:14 75:8,12 76:3,19 81:2,17,19 82:5 85:16 89:15 94:20 95:7 96:12	167:13 168:14,15,21 170:18 172:18
wet 141:16	142:2,24 145:7 149:23 156:13	97:17,22 98:5,12,16 99:2 107:3 108:8,10 124:21 128:13 130:19 139:6	wide 18:22 156:18	173:4 176:9,17,22,23 178:16,20
wetland 49:15 68:2	150:21 151:2 153:14,22 154:3 157:17 159:14	144:6 145:8 150:21 151:2 153:14,22 154:3 157:17 159:14	whose 70:9 109:15	180:15,25 181:6,21 186:20,22 187:11
			why 31:14 52:3 56:17 78:23	189:12,14 190:2,14,21,23 191:10,20 193:10 (123)
			wildlife 102:20 108:12,24 178:13	william 101:25 107:19 188:4,6 (4)
			179:6 (5)	wilson 75:7
				win 156:18
				window 158:11,17

wise 172:17	165:9	171:5 187:6,11 189:13,15,22 (19)	80:3 81:8 84:16 85:2 104:15 111:13 113:7 114:16 159:2 174:11 184:6 194:3,9 (18)	143:24 176:23 (4)
wish 164:6	worry 129:22	wrong 95:12 161:21	you're 111:4 133:20,22,22 144:10 (5)	zoning 65:19 82:20 83:20 112:9 115:21 152:22 153:13 155:19 (8)
within 21:7 40:15 42:16,20 65:19 68:17 82:19,23 87:4 90:2 91:12 92:4 105:19,23 120:22 165:10 177:19 184:2 185:16 194:10 (20)	worse 102:14 130:15	yard 103:4 105:19 yards 103:22 125:12	zoom 2:2 7:5 22:23	203 16:12
without 26:22 46:19 105:12 131:11 (4)	would 12:25 19:14 22:20 25:16,24 32:2 38:16 45:15 50:9 57:13,15 59:14	yeah 190:11	your 7:15,16,18,25 8:2 9:9,13,14 11:18 29:10 44:11 45:11,23 48:7 49:4 51:6 53:9	220 184:20
witness 194:19	60:25 61:19 62:12 65:24 66:8 67:5,22 68:14,19 70:21 72:7	year 47:19,21 54:22,24 55:4 56:10 57:20 58:11,14	54:10 68:22 69:14,15 70:3,3,4,6 76:13 78:12 80:4,7	233 16:2 126:9
witnessed 77:18	74:4,10 75:3 78:10,12,13,16	67:12,16,20 90:19 91:13 102:22	85:20,24 94:18,23 95:2 101:15 102:10	247 153:16
won't 115:12 157:8	92:10 93:4 97:23 98:17 99:22 103:7,8 105:9 106	145:19 173:21,25 184:22 (23)	105:16,16,19,23	250 22:14
wonderful 166:12	10:11,14,18,22,23 119:7 128:2 134:19,22 137:18 139:3 140:19	years 27:11,13 79:21 82:16 84:19 89:7,9 94:11,17	107:14 108:7 110:5,6 122:16 131:14 134:23	365 57:21 67:14,15,20 (4)
wonderfulness 144:25	142:22 143:4,9,13 145:6,9,10 148:14 151:17	97:9 102:3 106:2 108:25 116:25 117:17 128:9	136:17 149:19,21 150:19 151:10 152:10,17 154:6	376 174:23 175:2,6
wondering 109:21	158:6,9,13,14,19 159:5 160:7,13 161:12 162:16	131:4 132:12 134:12 139:21,22 140:6 148:18	158:10,17 167:24 169:8,10 180:2 185:25 (62)	416 106:15
woods 41:14	163:7,13,24 175:7 182:5 183:13	155:6,18 166:20 167:3 169:21	175:8 (4)	430 168:14
words 78:23	190:16 (81)	170:21 171:2,14 183:8 185:21 (33)	yourself 70:2 86:22 174:24	437 175:7
work 7:9 60:6 146:23 166:19 (4)	wouldn't 142:7	yep 86:19	175:8 (4)	450 60:10
worked 133:2 139:23 156:8 165:10 178:6 179:22 183:8 (7)	wrap 67:5	yes 6:11,24 46:18 49:8 70:25 73:3	yourself 70:2 86:22 174:24	572 27:6
workforce 59:24 60:10,21	wright 188:6	122:22 191:9,14 192:17 (10)	127:10	500 78:21
working 12:4 14:19	writing 64:19 165:2 183:10 187:8 (4)	yet 14:13 17:24 103:9	yourself 93:21 127:10	572 27:6
world 126:15	written 9:18 12:3 21:14 23:18 25:15 26:20 30:16 68:21 80:11,13 85:20 122:18 155:11	yevette 61:22	yevette 3:6 6:18	600 95:11 167:14
		york 5:13 22:15 58:13 71:21 72:17	zero 31:15 92:17 144:16 168:11,12 184:14 (6)	603 193:13
			zone 74:8 98:5	608 12:14

1123 98:6	27000 106:16			
1600 90:18	43652 34:18			
1730 18:6	53313 12:10			
1731 17:2	53352 13:16 31:17			
1962 38:9	53353 14:23			
1978 74:8	53362 15:11			
1984 38:9	53381 16:19			
1993 81:23 113:8	53384 17:6			
1995 113:9	53385 18:3			
2009 120:14,16,18	100000 106:24			
2013 116:19 148:16 150:5	400000 103:22			
2016 118:14	533111 18:11			
2017 58:10 98:7 149:3	533112 18:25			
2018 58:11	533114 20:10			
2019 23:7 58:11 96:4 118:2 (4)				
2020 1:19 2:19 5:18 12:8 58:14 64:24 82:3,4 95:13 117:24 194:21 (11)				
2477 154:3				
2479 153:17				
2500 34:7 40:15 42:16				
9000 105:13 109:19				
17578 81:7				
25000 58:14				