

CENTRAL PINE BARRENS

JOINT PLANNING AND POLICY COMMISSION

In the Matter of a Letter of
Interpretation appeals of

JOSEPH ZACHARY GAZZA

pursuant to Section 6.7.3.3 of the
Central Pine Barrens Comprehensive Land
Use Plan regarding Tax Map Numbers
900-167-2-8, 900-167-2-9, 900-213-1-71,
900-240-1-34 and 900-327-2-2,
requesting greater allocation of Pine
Barrens Credits for each of these
parcels.

HEARING in the above-captioned matter, held
on the 11th day of December, 2002 at 4:30 P.M., at
the Commission Office, 3525 Sunrise Highway, 2nd
Floor, Great River, New York, pursuant to Notice of
Hearing and before Sheila Pariser, R.P.R., a Notary
Public of the State of New York.

Mr. Randolph, the last lot.

MR. RANDOLPH: The last one, on Exhibit Number 6, you will see is an appeal request from Mr. Gazza stating that he would like Parcel Number 900-327-2-2 increased from the allocation of .10 to 1 whole Pine Barrens Credit, and I will distribute the aerial of the area.

ME DRITETNOWSKY. Do you have any idea what he

1 MR. RANDOLPH: I guess the question here is is
2 it an improved road.

3 MS. PRUSINOWSKI: Fifth Avenue is improved to an
4 extent.

5 MR. RANDOLPH: Yes, but then comes the question
6 is it on it or is it near, or how do you
7 want to determine it?

8 MR. SPITZ: The discussion we are having
9 about Fifth Avenue and how it relates to
10 his parcel which seems to be one hundred
11 eighty feet from Fifth Avenue, which may be
12 improved, he has no access to Fifth Avenue.

13 MS. PRUSINOWSKI: And the parcel may be part of a
14 different old filed map than that with the
15 Fifth Avenue access.

16 MR. COWEN: I note that we have problems
17 again with this application, and there
18 appears to be no access to this property.
19 It seems to be a landlocked parcel.
20 Having looked through the
21 audience, I don't see the applicant
22 present. Do I hear a motion on this issue?

23 MR. MAC LELLAN: Motion to deny.

24 MR. COWEN: Second?

25 MS. KOHN: I second it.

1 MR. RANDOLPH: What was the basis?
2 MR. COWEN: There is no access to this
3 parcel. We have a motion and a second.
4 Any further discussion?
5 All those in favor?
6 [WHEREUPON THE MOTION MADE AND SECONDED WAS
7 VOTED ON AND CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.]