

CENTRAL PINE BARRENS

JOINT PLANNING AND POLICY COMMISSION

In the Matter of a Letter of  
Interpretation appeals of

JOSEPH ZACHARY GAZZA

pursuant to Section 6.7.3.3 of the  
Central Pine Barrens Comprehensive Land  
Use Plan regarding Tax Map Numbers  
900-167-2-8, 900-167-2-9, 900-213-1-71,  
900-240-1-34 and 900-327-2-2,  
requesting greater allocation of Pine  
Barrens Credits for each of these  
parcels.

HEARING in the above-captioned matter, held

on the 11th day of December, 2002 at 4:30 P.M., at  
the Commission Office, 3525 Sunrise Highway, 2nd  
Floor, Great River, New York, pursuant to Notice of  
Hearing and before Sheila Pariser, R.P.R., a Notary  
Public of the State of New York.

Mr. Randolph, the last lot.

MR. RANDOLPH: The last one, on Exhibit Number  
6, you will see is an appeal request from  
Mr. Gazza stating that he would like Parcel  
Number 900-327-2-2 increased from the  
allocation of .10 to 1 whole Pine Barrens  
Credit, and I will distribute the aerial of  
the area.

MS. DRISTINOWSKI.

Do you have any idea what he

1 MR. RANDOLPH: I guess the question here is is  
 2 it an improved road.  
 3 MS. PRUSINOWSKI: Fifth Avenue is improved to an  
 4 extent.  
 5 MR. RANDOLPH: Yes, but then comes the question  
 6 is it on it or is it near, or how do you  
 7 want to determine it?  
 8 MR. SPITZ: The discussion we are having  
 9 about Fifth Avenue and how it relates to  
 10 his parcel which seems to be one hundred  
 11 eighty feet from Fifth Avenue, which may be  
 12 improved, he has no access to Fifth Avenue.  
 13 MS. PRUSINOWSKI: And the parcel may be part of a  
 14 different old filed map than that with the  
 15 Fifth Avenue access.  
 16 MR. COWEN: I note that we have problems  
 17 again with this application, and there  
 18 appears to be no access to this property.  
 19 It seems to be a landlocked parcel.  
 20 Having looked through the  
 21 audience, I don't see the applicant  
 22 present. Do I hear a motion on this issue?  
 23 MR. MAC LELLAN: Motion to deny.  
 24 MR. COWEN: Second?  
 25 MS. KOHN: I second it.

1 MR. RANDOLPH: What was the basis?  
 2 MR. COWEN: There is no access to this  
 3 parcel. We have a motion and a second.  
 4 Any further discussion?  
 5 All those in favor?  
 6 [WHEREUPON THE MOTION MADE AND SECONDED WAS  
 7 VOTED ON AND CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.]