## CENTRAL PINE BARRENS

JOINT PLANNING AND POLICY COMMISSION

In the Matter of the Application of

RICHARD SIPALA, JOSEPH ALBERTO and

JOHN SIPALA

Concerning credit allocations given in Letters of Interpretation for Suffolk County Tax Map Numbers 200-562-3-3, 4, 5,6,14 and 17.

HEARING in the above-captioned matter, held on the 23rd day of August, 2000 at 4:20 P.M., at the Brookhaven Town Offices, Building 4, 3233 Route 112, Medford, New York, pursuant to Notice of Hearing, and before Sheila Pariser, R.P.R., a Notary Public of the State of New York.

Modern Shorthand

261 WOODBURY ROAD, HUNTINGTON, N. Y. 11743

421.2255

1	APPEARANCES:
2	
3	ROBERT J. GAFFNEY, Chairman Suffolk County Executive
4	BY: GEORGE PROIOS, Acting Chairman
5	FELIX J. GRUCCI, JR., Vice Chairman
6	Supervisor, Town of Brookhaven BY: JOHN GIRANDOLA
7	
8	VINCENT CANNUSCIO, Member Supervisor, Town of Southampton
9	BY: MARTY SHEA
10	ROBERT KOZAKIEWICZ, Member
11	Supervisor, Town of Riverhead BY: JOEY MAC LELLAN
12	DAY E COURTY D. E. W. 1
13	RAY E. COWEN, P.E., Member  DEC Regional Director
14	Representing George Pataki, Governor
15	MARK A. RIZZO, Staff to Commission
16	DOMINIC NICOLAGEL DO
17	DOMINIC NICOLAZZI, ESQ. Attorney for Applicants
18	TOGERII ALDEREO Anniliano
19	JOSEPH ALBERTO, Applicant JOHN SIPALA, Applicant
20	
21	000
22	
23	
24	
25	
	I The state of the

261 WOODBURY ROAD, HUNTINGTON, N. Y. 11743 421-2255 692-7363

1		(THE HEARING WAS CALLED TO ORDER BY THE
2		ACTING CHAIRMAN, GEORGE PROIOS, AT 4:20
3		P.M.]
4		
5	MR. PROIOS:	I would like to call our second
6		hearing to order.
7		Again, for the record, my name is
8		George Proios, Acting Chairman, acting on
9		behalf of Robert Gaffney, who is the
10		Commission Chairperson, and I will ask the
11		other members of the Commission to
12		represent themselves and tell us who they
13		are representing.
14	MR. SHEA:	Marty Shea, representing
15		Supervisor Vincent Cannuscio of the Town of
16		Southampton.
17	MR. GIRANDOLA:	I am John Girandola, representing
18		Felix Grucci, Supervisor of the Town of
19		Brookhaven.
20	MR. MAC LELLAN:	Joey MacLellan, representing
21		Supervisor Bob Kozakiewicz of the Town of
22		Riverhead.
23	MR. COWEN:	Ray Cowen, representing Governor
24		Pataki.
25	MR. PROIOS:	For the record, this is a hearing

Modern Shorthand
261 WOODBURY ROAD, HUNTINGTON, N. Y. 11743

1		for Richard Sipala, Joseph Alberto and John
2		Sipala. The hearing has been scheduled for
3		August 23, 2000 at four p.m. at the
4		Brookhaven Town Offices, located at 3233
5		Route 112, Medford, New York.
6		"Said appeals are made pursuant
7		to Section 6.7.3.3 of the Central Pine
8		Barrens Comprehensive Land use Plan, (The
9		Plan). The Central Pine Barrens Joint
10		Planning and Policy Commission will be
11		holding the Appeals Hearing pursuant to
12		Section 6.7.3.4 of the Plan.
13		"The appellants, Richard Sipala,
14		Joseph Alberto, John Sipala were allocated
15		a total of 0.75 Pine Barrens Credits in six
16		Letters of Interpretation. The letters
17		were issued for Suffolk County Tax Map
18		Numbers 200-562-3-3, 4, 5, 6, 14 and 17.
19		The parcels are located north of County
20		Road 111 and west of Toppings Path."
21		Is there a member of the
22		Commission Staff that wants to make a
23		presentation before the Commission?
24	MR. RIZZO:	Yes, I do. I want to offer a
25		packet of exhibits. The cover sheet will

1 show the order as I go through each item. 2 The second item on the list is a 3 GIS Map produced using Arc View Version 3.1, shows parcels being considered in the 5 appeal and other area parcels. Tax parcel 6 lot numbers are shown on each parcel. 7 Do you all have the packets? 8 shows the subject parcels that are part of 9 the LOI appeal in the yellowish color. The 10 other applicant parcels that the applicant 11 had put LOI applications for are in brown, 12 where he was issued one credit each, and 13 the green colored parcels that are owned by 14 the County of Suffolk. This map was 15 produced in 3.1 of the GIS Mapping Program. 16 The numbers are the actual tax maps and lot 17 numbers. This is Section 552 of Brookhaven 18 19 Township, Lot Number 3. 20 The next map is the same map just 21 without the lot numbers and the color, 22 again, the yellow color is LOI parcels that 23 are being appealed today. The rust color/orange color are the other 25 applicants' LOI parcels, and the green

Modern Shorthand

61 WOODBURY ROAD, HUNTINGTON, N. Y. 11743

1		color is the Suffolk County owned parcels
2		in the area.
3		The next map is the aerial
4		photograph of the area. Again, the
5		yellowish outlined parcels are parcels that
6		are being appealed today. The magenta
7		colored parcels are the parcels that have
8		one credit each on of the LOI's, and the
9		green outlined parcels are parcels owned by
10		the County of Suffolk.
11		The whitish, north and south of
12		the subject parcels, are the tax parcels in
13		the area.
14		This is an aerial photograph from
15		the 1999 Suffolk County Aerial Flyover. It
16		was done by a consortium of Suffolk County
17		agencies, including the Suffolk County
18		Planning Department, the Suffolk County
19		Water Authority, the Suffolk County Police
20		Department and other agencies.
21	MR. PROIOS:	The northeast parcels, or a lot
22		of them, look like farms.
23	MR. RIZZO:	When you say northeast, what do
24		you mean?
25	MR. PROIOS:	[INDICATING]

261 WOODBURY ROAD, HUNTINGTON, N. Y. 11743
421-2255 692-7383

1	MR. RIZZO:	Oh, this is an active area.
2		Moving on toward the next
3		exhibit, which is Number 5 on our list, the
4		actual letter of appeal submitted by the
5		applicant dated May 16, 2000. You have
6		seen this letter before. If you have not
7		received this letter before, I will let
8		you, for a moment, read it.
9	MR. COWEN:	Can you point out the roads that
10		are referenced in that area?
11	MR. RIZZO:	Either old filed map numbers.
12	MR. GIRANDOLA:	I don't think he is talking about
13		improved roads. I think he is just talking
14		about map roads.
15	MR. RIZZO:	When you are finished with that
16		paper, we will move over to Number 6 on my
17		list, which is a letter dated June 12, 2000
18		from the appellants asking for a
19		postponement to today's date for the
20		hearing. That is just there as a
21		formality.
22		Our next item is Number 7, which
23		is the Pine Barrens Credit Letter of
24		Interpretation Application Staff Report
25		dated December 1, 1999. The parcels in

1		question are Parcels 3, 4, 5 and 6, 14 and
2		17. They total 3.225 acres and they are
3		allocated .75 credits total allocation.
4		The zoning for the parcel is a Residence 5,
5		five acre residential zoning.
6		Does anyone have any questions on
7		the staff report?
8	MR. PROIOS:	Obviously, that zoning was
9		changed. That is the zoning it was when it
10		was first purchased.
11	MR. RIZZO:	I believe it was first purchased
12		in the 1960's.
13	MR. SIPALA:	1966.
14	MR. MAC LELLAN:	My question is what the credits
15		were and what the acreage is.
16	MR. RIZZO:	3.52 acres and .75 Pine Barrens
17		Credits.
18	MR. SHEA:	The Interpretation Application
19		indicates 6.3 acres.
20	MR. RIZZO:	We are only concerned right now
21		with Lots Numbers 3, 4, 5, 6, 14 and 17.
22		That's all that is being appealed today.
23	92	The other lots were allocated one full
24		credit for road frontage.
25		Let's move on to Item Number 8,

261 WOODBURY ROAD, HUNTINGTON, N. Y. 11743

1		which is the Suffolk County Tax Map for
2		Brookhaven Section 562. The subject
3		parcels we are concerned about are to the
4		northeast of Halsey Manor Road, County
5		Route 111. They are indicated here and
6		here in Exhibit Number 3. [INDICATING]
7		It is on the GIS Map already. It
8		is on the overview.
9		I will move on.
10	MR. PROIOS:	Are each of these small
11		individual lots single and separate?
12	MR. RIZZO:	I do not have information on
13		whether it is single and separate. That
14		would be something that the Town of
15		Brookhaven would have to provide me.
16	MR. PROIOS:	Would that have a bearing on the
17		credit application?
18	MR. RIZZO:	No, the credit application is
19		acreage represented by the yield factor.
20		The remaining items Numbered 9
21		through 14 are the actual Letters of
22		Interpretation for each of the parcels.
23		They are double-sided papers indicating the
24		Application's Tax Map Number 200-562-3-3.
25		The allocation was .18 credits,

Modern\_ Shorthand 261 WOODBURY ROAD, HUNTINGTON, N. Y. 11743

421-2255 692.7383

1		1.58 acres divided by .16, which is the
2		yield factor for the zoning.
3		Parcel Number 4 received a tenth
4		of a credit. It was .32 acres. It
5		received a minimum allocation, which is a
6		tenth of a credit.
7		Parcel Number 5 received .17
8		credits. It was a 1.05 acre parcel.
9		Parcel Number 6 was a .40 acre
10		parcel. It received a minimum allocation
11		of a tenth of a credit.
12		Parcel 14 was a .088 hundredths
13		of an acre. They received a tenth of a
14		credit allocation, .10, and the final
15		parcel was .52 acres, and it received a
16		tenth of a credit minimum allocation.
17		Does anyone have any questions on
18		what I have gone over?
19	MR. PROIOS:	Do you happen to know roughly
20		what the size of the parcels are that you
21		had outlined in yellow on the aerial
22		photograph?
23	MR. RIZZO:	Yes.
24	MR. PROIOS:	It appears that it is larger than
25		three acres.

Modern\_ Shorthand 261 WOODBURY ROAD, HUNTINGTON, N. Y. 11743

421.2295 A02.7383

1	MR. RIZZO:	Parcel Number 3, Lot Number 3, is
2		1.15 acres. The Lot Number 4 is .32 acres.
3		Lot Number 5 is 1.05 acres, Lot Number 6 is
4		.4 acres, Lot Number 9 I'm sorry Lot
5		Number 14 is .08 acres and Lot Number 17 is
6		.52 acres. It is over in the corner.
7		That's a total of 3.52 acres.
8	MR. PROIOS:	Thank you. Any other questions
9		of Mark?
10	MR. COWEN:	Refresh my memory on the detail
11		on the old filed maps. Who purports to own
12		the paper rights-of-way?
13	MS. PLUNKETT:	You own to the center line of the
14		road.
15	MR. GIRANDOLA:	They have rights to the center
16		line of the road. On the old filed maps we
17		basically take dedication to the roads upon
18		the improvement of the road. A rule of
19		thumb, basically is road frontage.
20	MR. PROIOS:	Is the applicant or the
21		representative here to make a presentation?
22	MR. NICOLAZZI:	Yes. My name is Dominic
23		Nicolazzi. I am representing Joe Alberto
24		and John Sipala.
25		There was a third owner, Richard

1		Sipala, John's dad, who passed away in
2		July.
3		We are not making a hardship
4		application at this time. We are making a
5		credit appeal application, although I feel
6		it would be a very good application for a
7		hardship in that it is a very feasible
8		configuration for development.
9		This is an old filed map, and I
10		spoke to the Board of Health in the past
11		and as in this map, the Board of Health
12		exempts these lots because it is an old
13		filed map.
14		I am fairly sure that these lots
15		are single and separate just by virtue of
16		their configuration, or at least there is
17		some question on Lots 5 and 17, but they
18		are not contiguous with one another. There
19		are the paper streets dividing them.
20		I believe you thought that these
21		were lots, the configuration of the paper
22		streets, the lots in white.
23	MR. COWEN:	The map shows a paper street,
24		but I was curious as to where the
25		actual property line was, and apparently

Modern Shorthand 261 WOODBURY ROAD, HUNTINGTON, N. Y. 11743

1		the property lines do touch each other
2		amongst all these lots.
3	MR. NICOLAZZI:	No, they do not. I think they
4		are separated by the paper streets.
5	MR. COWEN:	If it was dedicated; but right
6		now, it is not.
7	MR. NICOLAZZI:	The ownership the only way
8		they would have ownership and that would
9		apply is if they made application to the
10		center line of the street for ownership of
11		those lots. I believe that's the way that
12		has gone in the past.
13	MR. COWEN:	Let me ask a simple question. If
14		somebody went out and did a survey tomorrow
15		morning
16	MR. GIRANDOLA:	[INTERPOSING] The title report
17		that would probably cover these maps is
18		basically that they front on such and such
19		streets. They would give a description of
20		the properties, and it normally comes out
21		and says they have legal rights to the
22		center line of the road.
23	MS. PLUNKETT:	Although the property line
24		doesn't show that.
25	MR. GIRANDOLA:	Not for building or whatever.

261 WOODBURY ROAD, HUNTINGTON, N. Y. 11743 421-2255 692-7383

_		
1	MS. PLUNKETT:	If they wanted to abandon the
2		road, if they owned both sides, they can
3		take the road away and their lot could be
4		bigger. Their lot lines would then be
5		rearranged at that time if they did the
6		abandonment.
7	MR. COWEN:	By what device do we say these
8		are distinct lots, especially 17 and 5?
9	MR. NICOLAZZI:	On 17 and 5, there is a question,
10		but there is a portion that is contiguous
11		on those roads. The others I think are
12		single and separate.
13	MR. GIRANDOLA:	Wait a minute. One can't assume
14		it is single and separate unless you have
15		already prepared a title report for single
16		and separate.
17		Number 2, they are not exempt
18		from the Health Department. Are these lots
19		shown on the Suffolk County Tax map?
20	MR. NICOLAZZI:	They are.
21	MR. GIRANDOLA:	The old filed map still has to
22		conform to the current regulations.
23	MR. NICOLAZZI:	The lot is predominantly shown as
24		shown in the aerial photo, and this lot
25		differs and is not like Jacquinn Nurseries,

261 WOODBURY ROAD, HUNTINGTON, N. Y. 11743 421-2255 692-7383

which was in front of this Board for a 1 2 credit appeal, which is just to the north, 3 in that that was one tax lot without paper streets, was not an old filed map and it is not like -- by the way, that was Tax Map 5 Number 200-562, Lot 3, Block 1. 6 It is not like John Anderson's 7 lot, two lots designated as 200.511, Block 8 9 1, Lots 15 and 16, which were also to the north, in that his lots were not in this 10 type of configuration, not easily developed 11 and were not single and separate. 12 However, in my opinion, this 13 14 application is similar to Doris Fichter's Lots 300-382 Block 2, Lots 3, 17, 18 and 15 19, and that was the case where Ms. Fichter 16 had one lot road frontage and three lots 17 behind that that were originally allocated 18 fractional credits. 19 20 They were on a paper street, and the Commission saw fit to give Ms. Fichter 21 one credit for each of these lots on a 22 paper street. They can easily be 23 developed, and she has one lot with road 24 frontage. 25

1		This is also similar to Pope's
2		Application 300-282-2-8. Ms. Pope had a
3		lot that was on a paper street that was
4		within three hundred and fifty feet of a
5		paved road that the Commission granted her
6		application for full credit.
7		Also, Bob Walcomb's Application
8		200-529-3-35. Mr. Walcomb was originally
9		allocated a tenth of a credit as he was
10		about four hundred feet from a paved road
11		and a paper road, and the Commission saw
12		fit to allocate Mr. Walcomb's application
13		one full credit.
14		Mr. Alberto has six lots that
15		were allocated six credits and six lots
16		that have been allocated .75 credits in
17		total. At this time, there is a total of
18		twelve lots.
19	MR. COWEN:	Can you tell us, if you know, the
20		distance from the closest distance from
21		County Route 111 to the furthest lot which
22		appears to be Lot Number 4? How far is
23		that distance either along the north-south
24		paper street or the east-west paper street?
25	MR. NICOLAZZI:	Mr. Girandola, would it be

1		correct the paper street would be roughly
2		fifty feet?
3	MR. GIRANDOLA:	Some of them are forty feet. The
4		way this is laid out in the GIS, it appears
5		to be the ones running east and west are
6		fifty feet and the ones running north and
7		south are forty feet. I am not clear,
8		going back to Ray's original question, who
9		actually, in the title report, would show
10		who owns those paper streets. Those paper
11		streets are filed right-of-ways. They are
12		paper streets. You can't put a structure
13		on them or build on them or anything like
14		that.
15		The lots that front of them have
16		rights to them. If the old filed map on
17		old filed maps, all we have done is,
18		through the Law Department, we have taken
19		dedication on some of the maps that aren't
20		built just by the inferring because it is
21		an old filed map, there is a dedication.
22		Some old maps say the roads are to be
23		dedicated, and we take them to the town and
24		we take them.
25		If you look at the tax map, there

1		is no longer roads on the tax map. The
2		owners have rights to the center of the
3		road.
4	MR. PROIOS:	Exactly, and in the absence of
5		having a listed owner, and the fact that
6		this is somewhat of an improved parcel in
7		that you have continuous nursery operations
8		transversing the entire parcel, including
9		and going across the basic street, I dare
10		to say that's more than seven years, in
11		which case even if there was an owner in
12		adverse possession, they would have a right
13		you can make an argument that it is part
14		of a single parcel.
15	MR. NICOLAZZI:	In my opinion, either scenario,
16		in my opinion, works for the benefit of the
17		applicant is that if this is one contiguous
18		parcel, then they should get an allocation
19		based on all of the allocations to the
20		road.
21		If it is not and if the roads are
22		designated either way, I feel they are in a
23		strong position to ask the Commission for a
24		reallocation.
25	MR. COWEN:	Except, be careful, because you

Modern Skorthand 261 WOODBURY ROAD, HUNTINGTON, N. Y. 11743

1		sit right now with six credits, right?
2	MR. NICOLAZZI:	6.75, sir.
3	MR. COWEN:	All right, 6.75. And in a minute
4		I am going to ask what criteria was used to
5		come up with the credits. If you take the
6		entire parcel, you may end up with less
7		than six credits.
8	MR. NICOLAZZI:	Some of them would work out
9		differently because this is surrounded
10		this would still be a road frontage parcel.
11		I am not sure how that would play out
12		either, but I understand what you are
13		saying.
14	MR. GIRANDOLA:	Mark, let me ask you one
15		question.
16		If then forget about the old
17		filed map. Say it was an individual parcel
18		and theoretically you could take everything
19		that the applicant owns and it would be one
20		parcel.
21	MR. RIZZO:	One parcel.
22	MR. GIRANDOLA:	Even the parcels that got the
23		full credit. How would the Clearing House
24		handle such a parcel? Would they say that
25		entire parcel has frontage and the entire

Modern\_ Shorthand
261 WOODBURY ROAD, HUNTINGTON, N. Y. 11743

1		acreage?
2	MR. RIZZO;	The frontage only applies if the
3		original allocation is one credit, gets
4	5	bumped up to one credit.
5	MR. GIRANDOLA:	I have a twenty-five acre parcel
6	i.e	I am saying, full twenty-five acre. No
7		roads, nothing. I own the entire parcel,
8		and I come in for a Pine Barren Letter of
9		Interpretation, and I front on County Road
10		111, 25.8.
11	MR. RIZZO:	Times the zoning factor, .16, and
12		if there is any structures. Let's say it's
13		vacant land.
14	MR. GIRANDOLA:	In other words, you would give
15		the same rate to the entire parcel?
16	MR. COWEN:	If you do that here, he is going
17		to end up with a lot less than 6.75
18		credits. What's the aggregate acreage
19	2,	here?
20	MR. NICOLAZZI:	I asked Mark that, and I don't
21		think he was able to calculate the
22		aggregate acreage.
23	MR. GIRANDOLA:	He is saying 6.83 acres.
24	MR. COWEN:	Maybe you have sixteen,
25		seventeen, eighteen acres total. You are

		1490 21
1		looking at what, three credits? That ain't
2		going to work out.
3	MR. GIRANDOLA:	You have to go through the math,
4		yes; no question.
5	MR. PROIOS:	Can I ask a question on Parcel
6		Number 10? Was that issued the full
7		credit?
8	MR. RIZZO:	Yes. The brownish parcels are
9		all one credit parcels.
10	MR. COWEN:	Let me ask a question at the risk
11		of not wanting to know the answer.
12		Mark, how did what was the
13		rationale for issuing credits on every one
14		of those brown parcels?
15	MR. GIRANDOLA:	You have the wrong map. You are
16		better off with the purple map.
17	MR. NICOLAZZI:	That has road frontage.
18	MR. COWEN:	What was the rationale for each
19	8	one of those individual separate parcels?
20	MR. RIZZO:	Because of the tax map they are,
21		and we do it by tax map parcel
22	MR. NICOLAZZI:	[INTERPOSING] Also, I would like
23		to indicate that I don't think the theory
24		of adverse possession would apply because I
25		think the County has recently closed on one

1		or two or three of the lots in green.
2	MS. PLUNKETT:	They already have. That's why
3		they are green.
4	MR. NICOLAZZI:	I am saying that would have to be
5		seven years. They just closed on them.
6		They just took title. I don't think
7		adverse possession would apply in terms of
8		those lots.
9	MR. PROIOS:	I was relating to the fact if
10		they were all under single an separate
11		ownership, the owner could provide legal
12		access to them.
13	MS. PLUNKETT:	It is just road frontage on
14		improved lots. The real lots don't have
15		that. They all have legal access.
16	MR. NICOLAZZI:	Essentially, Mr Cowen undermines
17		my entire appeal if you go in that
1.8		direction.
19	MR. COWEN:	That's right.
20	MR. NICOLAZZI:	But that is not always the
21		direction I want to go in. If I can speak
22		for him, that's a general question. To say
23		that my applicant has made an attempt to
24		own all the road, and to abandon the road
25		and have adverse possession of the entire

Modern Shorthand
261 WOODBURY ROAD, HUNTINGTON, N. Y. 11743

1		piece, all of that is somewhat far-fetched.
2	MR. COWEN:	Except he is farming the whole
3		piece as if he owns it.
4	MR. NICOLAZZI:	This middle area is wooded.
5	MR. RIZZO:	Part of the area is going to be a
: 6		little off. It is going to be shifted, the
7		lines.
8	MR. COWEN:	It is pretty clear to me, if you
9		look at the back, and there are rows of
10		trees that are planted and they go right
11		across wherever you want to put those
12		rights-of-way.
13	MR. NICOLAZZI:	I think he puts spaces between
14		the trees right where the roads are.
15	MR. ALBERTO:	I was very careful.
16	MR. COWEN:	It seems to me if our criteria,
17		and I frankly don't remember, our entire
18		criteria is if we had been able to issue
19		credit on the brown or purple parcels
20		because they are road frontage, they show
21		up as a separate tax map, if that's our
22		criteria, then what we have applied to
23	14	people in the past who have interior lots
24		is whether to not it is feasible, and there
25		is a conceivable way they can get access to

1		those interior lots.
2		It says here that it is not
3		unreasonable to expect that this gentleman
4		could build a road because at the most, it
5		is only five hundred feet back to the most
6		deep lot that he has.
7		So, it seems to me but I do
8		have one other question for you before I
9		say anything more.
10		On the purple lots, have there
11		been conservation easements filed?
12	MR. NICOLAZZI:	No.
13	MR. RIZZO:	They have applied for Pine
14		Barrens credits, and we were going through
15		this appeal first.
16	MR. COWEN:	You have given them a LOI first?
17	MR. RIZZO:	The LOI they have.
18	MR. COWEN:	What would be the intention upon
19		filing for a conservation easement with
20		respect to the language of that easement?
21		Would you be settling for the boilerplate
22		easement, or would you be filing for a
23		special easement?
24	MR. NICOLAZZI:	I think my clients have the
25		intention of continuing to farm. We would

1		be willing to say we would put a
2		conservation easement on the entire area,
3		on the six parcels that we are appealing
4		today, we would put conservation easements
5	4	on the whole twelve parcels.
6	MR. COWEN:	Well, you have to.
7	MS. PLUNKETT:	The question is what do you have
8		in the language of the easement?
9	MR. NICOLAZZI:	We would be happy to continue
10		with no further clearing of the existing
11		vegetation, but I think my client would
12		like to continue his farming.
13	MR. COWEN:	Have we ever done a residual use?
14	MR. RIZZO:	Well, the boilerplate easement
15		has it that you can continue farming.
16	MR. GIRANDOLA:	As long as there would be no
17		further clearing
18	MR. RIZZO;	For agricultural purposes, there
19		being no further alteration of the
20		landscape.
21	MR. PROIOS:	The next question. What would be
22		the actual number you are looking for? The
23		existing zoning, the previous zoning the
24		existing zoning and the Health Department
25		requirements, which is one acre?

261 WOODBURY ROAD, HUNTINGTON, N. Y. 11743

1	MR.	COWEN:	You have already issued six
2			credits on the parcel.
3	MR.	NICOLAZZI:	We are asking that all lots be
4			treated as road frontage, and it would be
5			road credit for each lot. I know that this
6			Commission is not interested in hardship,
7			per se, but if my clients were to develop
8			this parcel, they would have twelve parcels
9			with an average price of one hundred
10			thousand dollars per lot, and they are now
11			selling for forty thousand dollars per lot
12			assuming the Commission is gracious enough
13			to issue the twelve credits.
14	MS.	PLUNKETT:	You may want to look at some
15			other cases we have. These lots are all in
16			the exact same ownership, and in previous
17			applications, these are not recognized as
18			exempt because there was the same owner as
19			this with the same lots. That would be the
20			condition that would be applied for those
21			lots.
22			I think I would want the
23			Commission to look at that case because it
24			is not necessarily exempt from Article VI
25			in this case.

1 MR. COWEN: But we did issue six credits 2 already. 3 MS. PLUNKETT: We are talking about issuing the 4 one additional. 5 MR. COWEN: Let me make one other statement 6 here about what we have done in the past. 7 I know we had a circumstance awhile ago 8 where a person applied to us for an appeal 9 such as what you are doing, and I believe 10 we established that when you are doing 11 that, we are able to rule in either 12 direction on that appeal and include other 13 properties that were a subject of the original application in that appeal 15 relooked at, so to speak. 16 I don't know whether you realize 17 that in appealing, but I think you have put 18 on the table this whole parcel, not just 19 the .75 credits that you have asked us to 20 look at. I think when we look at it, we 21 may look at the whole thing. That may or 22 may not be in your favor. It may have been 23 in your favor when we issued the six credits. 24 25 MR. NICOLAZZI: When you do allocations, when

Modern Shorthand

261 WOODBURY ROAD, HUNTINGTON, N. Y. 11743

1			there is allocations on road frontage, it
2			is immaterial whether they are road
3			frontage, exempt from the Board of Health.
4			If they have road frontage, they get one
5			full credit.
6	MR. C	COWEN:	So, you make a distinction
7			between road frontage and interior parcels?
8	MS. P	LUNKETT:	Yes, there is a couple of cases.
9			I think you are thinking about one appeal
10			where there was the ability to extend the
11			road.
12			I think you have to look at that
13			application, and you have to look at one
14			and the same ownership. We just ask you to
15			reserve decision until we look into it.
16	MR. C	COWEN:	I don't plan on making a decision
17			today. I guess I would ask never mind,
18			this doesn't have to be on the record.
19	MR. P	PROIOS:	Any more questions for the
20			applicant?
21			Anybody from the public wish to
22			address the Commission on this application?
23	MR. M	MAC LELLAN:	How many credits are you looking
24			for?
25	MR. N	IICOLAZZI:	Twelve.

261 WOODBURY ROAD, HUNTINGTON, N. Y. 11743 421-2255 592-7383

1		
1	MR. RIZZO:	Six right now.
2	MR. NICOLAZZI:	I'm sorry, sir.
3	MR. GIRANDOLA:	Have you been approached by the
4		County to sell this property to them?
5	MR. NICOLAZZI:	Gentlemen?
6	MR. ALBERTO:	No.
7	MR. PROIOS:	Any other questions?
8		If not, I bring this hearing to a
9		close.
10		[WHEREUPON THIS HEARING WAS CONCLUDED AT
11		5:07 P.M.]
12		000
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19	(0	
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

1 2 CERTIFICATION 3 STATE OF NEW YORK) 4 COUNTY OF SUFFOLK) 5 6 7 8 I, SHEILA PARISER, R.P.R., a Notary Public in 9 and for the State of New York, do hereby certify: 10 11 THAT this is a true and accurate record of 12 the Hearing held before the Central Pine Barrens 13 Joint Planning and Policy Commission, in the matter 14 of RICHARD SIPALA, JOSEPH ALBERTO, JOHN SIPALA, held 15 16 on August 23, 2000, as recorded by me and transcribed 17 under my direction. 18 IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my 19 hand this 30th day of August, 2000. 20 21 22 SHEILA PARISER, R.P.R. 23 24 25

Modern Shorthand

61 WOODBURY ROAD, HUNTINGTON, N. Y. 11743