1	CENTRAL PINE BARRENS
2	JOINT PLANNING AND POLICY COMMISSION
3	:
4	In the Matter of the Interpretation :
5	Appeal of :
6	JOSEPH GAZZA :
7	Pomostina sa slike të n orok pi
8	Requesting an allocation of 1.2524 Pine : Barrens Credits rather than the :
9	allocated 0.18 Pine Barrens Credits. :
10	
11	
12)H 35
13	
14	
15	HEARING in the above-captioned matter, held
16	on the 14th day of March, 2001 at 3:25 P.M., at
17	Riverhead Town Hall, 220 Howell Avenue, Riverhead,
18	New York, pursuant to Section 6.7.3.4 of the Plan,
19	Notice of Hearing, and before Sheila Pariser, R.P.R.,
20	a Notary Public of the State of New York.
21	
22	
23	ODIONAL
۱ ۵	ORIGINAL

25

421-2255

692-7363

1	APPEARANCES:
2	
3	RAY E. COWEN, P.E., Member, DEC Regional Director and WILLIAM H. SPITZ
4	Representing George Pataki, Governor
5	JOHN J. LA VALLE, Member
6	Supervisor, Town of Brookhaven By: BARBARA WHIPLUSH
7	.d. 51 80
8	ROBERT KOZAKIEWICZ, Member Supervisor, Town of Riverhead BY: JOEY MAC LELLAN
9	BI: JOEI MAC LELLAN
10	VINCENT CANNUSCIO, Member
11	Supervisor, Town of Southampton BY: JEFFERSON MURPHREE
12	DAY CODULA Discounting Discoun
13	RAY CORWIN, Executive Director of Commission
14	DONNA PLUNKETT, Staff to Commission
15	MARK II DIEGO W '
16	MARK H. RIZZO, Environmental Analyst
17	MC MILLAN & RIGANO, ESQS.
18	Attorneys for Commission BY: JAMES RIGANO, ESQ.
19	TOGERNA ERREPERATOR GLORIA
20	JOSEPH FREDERICK GAZZA, Appellant P.O. Box 969
21	5 Ogden Lane Quogue, New York 11959
22	
23	000
24	
25	

1		[THE HEARING WAS CALLED TO ORDER BY RAY
2		COWEN, P.E., AT 3:25 P.M.]
3		
4	MR. COWEN:	We are entertaining Mr. Gazza's
5		appeal now.
6		"The appellant, Joseph Gazza, was
7		allocated a total of 0.19 Pine Barrens
8	¥	Credits in a Letter of Interpretation. The
9		letter was issued for Suffolk County Tax
10		Map Number 900-241-1-32. The parcel is
11		located to the north of Sunrise Highway,
12		(New York State Route 27), and east of
13		Summit Boulevard."
14		Now, Mr. Rizzo of the Staff, I
15		believe is going to open this with some
16		presentation.
17	MR. RIZZO:	I am going to enter some exhibits
18		into the record. You have them in front of
19		you right now.
20		The first one is Exhibit Number
21		1, a letter dated January 25, 2001 from
22		Joseph Gazza to the Pine Barrens Credit
23		Clearinghouse asking for reconsideration of
24		the credit allocation. That's the letter
25		in front of me right now. He is asking for

1		1.2524 credits, an increase from the 0.18
2		credit that he received in his letter.
3	25	[WHEREUPON LETTER DATED JANUARY 25, 2001
4		WAS MARKED COMMISSION EXHIBIT 1 IN
5		EVIDENCE.]
6	MR. RIZZO:	Commission's Exhibit Number 2 is
7		a Staff Report prepared March 9, 2001 by
8		the Commission Staff, and in the
9		application section of the Staff Report you
10		will see that the application was reviewed
11	-	January 4, 2001 in the amount of 0.18 Pine
12		Barrens Credits.
13		[WHEREUPON STAFF REPORT PREPARED MARCH 9,
14		2001 WAS MARKED COMMISSION EXHIBIT 2 IN
15		EVIDENCE.]
16	MR. RIZZO:	The appeal is January 25, 2001,
17		the public hearing is today, March 24,
18		2001. The decision deadline is March 26,
19		2001, prior to your next meeting, but in a
20		moment I will present a letter that
21		Mr. Gazza has signed that will give you an
22		extension of that decision deadline until
23		the next meeting.
24		Again, the parcel is allocated
25		0.18 credits. Mr. Gazza is requesting

261 WOODBURY ROAD, HUNTINGTON, N. Y. 11743

1		1.2524 Pine Barrens Credits.
2		The parcel is zoned Country
3		Residence 200, which is a minimum lot area
4		of two hundred thousand square feet.
5		The acreage is 1.15 acres as per
6		the Town Tax Assessor.
7		The development yield factor is
8		.16, land use is vacant, and the parcel has
9		a possible overlap problem which needs to
10		be verified prior to issuing a Pine Barrens
11		Credit.
12		Commission's Exhibit Number 3 is
13		a Letter of Interpretation, Tax Map Number
14		900-241-1-32, dated January 4, 2001.
15		[WHEREUPON LETTER OF INTERPRETATION DATED
16		JANUARY 4, 2001 WAS MARKED COMMISSION
17		EXHIBIT 3 IN EVIDENCE.]
18	MR. RIZZO:	The allocation is 0.19 credits.
19	MR. COWEN:	That's different from what
20		Mr. Gazza has in his letter by a difference
21		of one hundredth of a credit.
22	MR. RIZZO:	We are rounding the number.
23		Commission's Exhibit Number 4 and
24		Commission's Exhibit Number 5 are aerial
25		photo maps produced by Arc View

	1	
1		Information. The aerial photography is
2		from the Suffolk County 1999 file. It was
3		read by a number of different agencies,
4		including the Suffolk County Planning
5		Commission and the Suffolk County Police.
6		The first map shows an overview
7		of the parcel. It is outlined in a pinkish
8	4:	color. It is just that thin strip that you
9		really can't see there.
10		The applicant hit me. [LAUGHTER]
11		On the bottom on the south of the
12		picture is New York State Route 27, and the
13		surrounding parcels are outlined in a
14	**	bluish-whitish color. That's the close-up
15		version.
16		The next exhibit, Commissioner's
17		Exhibit Number 5, they zoomed out a little
18		bit to show the vicinity and Mr. Gazza's
19		parcel again is the reddish outline. It is
20		the long red line.
21	MS. WHIPLUSH:	This long red line?
22	MR. RIZZO:	Yes.
23	MR. COWEN:	I thought this was south of the
24		Sunrise Highway.
25	MR. RIZZO:	Mr. Gazza had other holdings that

261 WOODBURY ROAD, HUNTINGTON, N. Y. 11743

1		he sold to the County of Suffolk, not this
2		parcel. It is just the red strip.
3		[WHEREUPON AERIAL PHOTO MAPS WERE MARKED
4		COMMISSION EXHIBITS 4 AND 5 IN EVIDENCE,
5		RESPECTIVELY.]
6	MR. GAZZA:	It is smaller than small.
7	MR. RIZZO:	The final Commission's exhibit is
8		Exhibit Number 6, the Suffolk County Real
9		Property Tax Service Agency Tax Map for
10		Southampton, Section 341. That shows a
11		black star with an arrow pointing to the
12		parcel towards the top of the page, north
13	8	of Sunrise Highway, just to the west of
14	2	Warren. It is a paper street.
15	8	[WHEREUPON SUFFOLK COUNTY MAP SECTION 341
16	VS.	WAS MARKED COMMISSION EXHIBIT 6 IN
17		EVIDENCE.]
18	MR. RIZZO:	Does anybody have any questions
19		on the evidence I put in?
20		If not, I have my final piece of
21		exhibit which is a letter dated today,
22		March 14, 2001:
23		"I, Joseph Gazza, request that
24		the decision deadline for my Pine Barrens
25		Credit Letter of Interpretation Appeal on

1		Suffolk County Tax Map Number 900-241-1-32
2		be extended to April 14, 2001. That's
3		Commission's Exhibit Number 7.
4		[WHEREUPON LETTER DATED MARCH 14, 2001 WAS
5		MARKED COMMISSION EXHIBIT NUMBER 7 IN
6	-	EVIDENCE.]
7	MR. RIZZO:	That gives you an extension of
8		the decision deadline until your next
9		meeting.
10	MR. COWEN:	Does that conclude the Staff's
11		presentation?
12	MR. RIZZO:	Yes.
13	MR. COWEN:	I have a question on your
14		presentation. We had a discussion off the
15		record as to whether you have seen this
16		particular piece of property of Mr. Gazza
17		for another reason, and what was that
18		reason?
19	MR. RIZZO:	Last year he appealed. He was
20		given a 0.19 credit, and he felt he should
21		get one credit because it is on Sunrise
22		Highway, because Sunrise Highway is a
23		limited access highway and not eligible for
24		the one credit allocation in the Plan.
25	MR. COWEN:	It is the very same parcel?

261 WOODBURY ROAD, HUNTINGTON, N. Y. 11743 421-2255 692-7363

1	MR.	RIZZO:	Yes.
2	MR.	COWEN:	And this is the same request?
3	MR.	RIZZO:	No.
4	MR.	COWEN:	It is a new request?
5	MR.	GAZZA:	Joseph Frederick Gazza. I reside
6			at 5 Ogden Lane in Quogue and I am the
7			applicant and owner before this Commission
8			this afternoon for relief.
9			The relief that I am looking for
10			is a little bit more in credit application
11			for my parcel of property, and I have
12			actually five points to make, all simple,
13			all easy to put forth, and after you hear
14			these five points, there really should be
15			no reason why you shouldn't bump it up a
16			credit and get me out of here. I think I
17			can accomplish this in just a few minutes.
18			Point one is that the parcel of
19			land that we are talking about was acquired
20			by me in 1987. I have a copy of my deed,
21			Liber 10481, Page 15, marked Exhibit 1.
22			This copy of the deed is attached to a
23			guaranteed survey showing the premises and
24			more, and the premises is highlighted in
25		<u> </u>	yellow.

1 Now, you may have heard testimony 2 earlier it was only seven feet wide or three feet wide. It is in fact 22.19, 3 almost thirty-three feet wide, so it is 4 5 grossly underestimated in width. 6 The parcel is a portion of a 7 larger tract than I have owned shown on the key map over here, also highlighted in 8 9 yellow. [INDICATING] 10 The balance of the property was 11 sold to Suffolk County, and the title was examined, insured not only for the portion 12 that the Suffolk County Board, but also for 13 14 the northerly yellow portion. The County 15 brought everything south of the Sunrise 16 Highway. 17 This is a long strip of land that 18 It ran from the railroad tracks all 19 the way north to the middle line in the 20 Hamlet of Westhampton, Town of Southampton, 21 just to the west of the Suffolk County 22 Airport, and the County of Suffolk, south 23 of Sunrise Highway already. They bought it 24 last year. 25 [WHEREUPON DOCUMENTS WERE MARKED

Modern Shorthand

61 WOODBURY ROAD, HUNTINGTON, N. Y. 11743

4212288

1		APPELLANT'S EXHIBIT 1 IN EVIDENCE.]
2	MR. GAZZA:	We had actually gone into they
3		prepared a contract for the purchase of the
4		northerly portion, this one acre lot,
5		[INDICATING], but the funding dried up in
6		October or November, and the County was
7		unable to complete the purchase.
8		I have this parcel that is 1.588
9		acres. I made a prior appeal to this
10		Commission based on the road frontage,
11		which was denied and is not going to be the
12		topic of today's hearing, but point one is
13		the fact that it is an acre, it is
14		surveyed. The title is insured and it is a
15		single and separate parcel as certified by
16		a variance search dated March 13, 2001,
17		which I am going to be labeling Exhibit 2.
18		I am going to provide the original for the
19		Commission.
20		[WHEREUPON VARIANCE SEARCH DATED MARCH 13,
21		2001 WAS MARKED APPELLANT'S EXHIBIT 2 IN
22		EVIDENCE.]
23	MR. GAZZA:	We are already two points down
24		and only three to go.
25	MR. COWEN:	Which one is that?

MR. GAZZA:	It fronts on Sunrise Highway to
	the south and Middle Line Highway to the
	north, which is a dirt trail, and Sunrise
	Highway is of course an improved road.
	I am not arguing the point
MS. WHIPLUSH:	[INTERPOSING] Is this the same
5	parcel that you previously argued?
MR. GAZZA:	It is.
MR. RIGANO:	Other than Sunrise Highway, it
	fronts on no other road?
MR. GAZZA:	It fronts on Middle Line Road,
	which is an unimproved road.
MR. RIGANO:	It also fronts on Sunrise
	Highway?
MR. GAZZA:	Yes. There would be another
	access to a service road if and when the
	service road was connected.
MR. RIGANO:	Is this the paper street you are
	talking about?
MR. GAZZA:	That would be adjacent to the
	parcel, but once again, it is a filed map.
	Hopefully, the next points are
	going to make it a little more clear.
	I went to the Suffolk County
	Department of Health Services. I said,
	MS. WHIPLUSH: MR. GAZZA: MR. RIGANO: MR. GAZZA: MR. RIGANO: MR. RIGANO:

1 "Let's talk about this piece of land and 2 why was the County interested in acquiring 3 it from me? What importance does it have?" The County Health Department 5 provided me with a map showing their 6 Division of Environmental Quality to the 7 Groundwater Zone Map, and I have highlighted in yellow on this map, which is 8 9 going to be Exhibit Number 3, the 10 Groundwater Zone 3. This is the most 11 protected zone. It is where the water is 12 the purest and the aquifer -- it is the 13 zone that the Health Department has the 14 main thrust of protection focused on, which 15 is probably why the County of Suffolk 16 acquired my land in the first place, and I 17 have my parcel highlighted in red right 18 here, where there is this arrow. It says 19 Gazza Parcel .18 and I am asking for .8815. 20 As you can see, it is in the 21 middle of the Zone 3 area, the area 22 designated for the best groundwater and for 23 protection. 24 [WHEREUPON GROUNDWATER ZONE MAP WAS MARKED 25 APPELLANT'S EXHIBIT 3 IN EVIDENCE.]

Modern Shorthand

261 WOODBURY ROAD, HUNTINGTON, N. Y. 11743

1	MR. GAZZA:	Accompanying this map that the
2		Health Department provided for me, they
3		gave me a photocopy of Article VI of the
4		Suffolk County Sanitary Code, and this
, 5		Article VI is marked Exhibit 4 for the
6		record, looking to Section 760-605-B-2.
7		That talks about the minimum lot size in
8		the Groundwater Management Zone 3 that can
9		adequately handle its own sanitary waste
10		and draw water from a well without being in
11	70	conflict with each other, and it is
12		designated that forty thousand square feet
13		would be the minimum to satisfy the Health
14	S	Department requirements for a self-
15		sustaining lot in this particular zone.
16		First, I have the County Health
17		Department focusing on forty thousand
18		square feet, which is a little less than an
19		acre as to their equivalent to a
20	:2	development right. One house can handle
21		itself on forty thousand. I am equating
22		that to a development right from the Health
23		Department's point of view.
24	MS. WHIPLUSH:	Is that a building lot?
25	MR. GAZZA:	They talk just about lot area.

1 I always look for prior cases 2 that maybe the Commission looked at or maybe some court looked at or something to 3 4 bring this together, and in point four I am going to bring to the Commission's 5 6 attention the fact that on October 25th of 7 2000 in connection with another applicant before you, Mr. Sipala -- it sounds like 9 another Italian name -- Mr. Sipala happened 10 to be in the same position as I am. 11 wanted more credits for his land. 12 Mr. Sipala has a parcel of land as shown on 13 the Exhibit 3 not too far away from the 14 Gazza parcel. This is the Sipala parcel. 15 [INDICATING] 16 Mr. Sipala's parcel, as shown on 17 Exhibit 6 and Exhibit 7 is a series of old 18 filed map lots, little twenty-by-one 19 hundred lots that are separated by paper 20 streets and do not front on an improved 21 road; and if one totaled the area of the 22 Sipala lot as stated in your report, I 23 think it was 3.52 acres. That's a total of 24 the Sipala lots, and your Board, on appeal, granted Mr. Sipala 3.52 Pine Barrens 25

Modern Shorthand

261 WOODBURY ROAD, HUNTINGTON, N. Y. 11743

25

Credits, and there is reference in here to the Suffolk County Sanitary Code Yield Map. So, apparently, Mr. Sipala had been before you with a similar argument as I appear before you today for.

Your Board saw fit to grant Mr. Sipala the bump-up.

Now, based on the four points, and the closeness of Mr. Sipala's property to the Gazza property and the same type of land, the same type of aquifer, Zone 3, that should be enough to push my allocation up to a little over a credit, which is what I am looking for; but I know that I always have to offer a little more to get the support from everyone, and my last point is that if I get the credit bump-up, I will donate the fee title to my land to Suffolk County so that the land goes to the County at no taxpayer expense. It goes for free, and I leave the table with my 1.12 credits, whatever it is, and pass it on to the County. They already have a title search, they have a guaranteed survey, and it should put this matter to rest.

Modern Shorthand

261 WOODBURY ROAD, HUNTINGTON, N. Y. 11743

21 22 ----

1		think I am asking for anything that is	
2		unreasonable or anything that the Board	
3		hasn't previous granted to someone else.	
4		[WHEREUPON DOCUMENTS WERE MARKED	
5		APPELLANT'S EXHIBITS 4 THROUGH 7 IN	
6		EVIDENCE, RESPECTIVELY.]	
7	MR. GAZZA:	Basically, that's my case. If	
8		you have questions? All the exhibits are	
9		yours. Thank you.	
10	MR. COWEN:	Any questions?	
11	MR. MURPHREE:	Joe, you are a residential home	
12		builder. It is part of what you do. Could	
13		you build a home on this property that	
14		complies with zoning?	
15	MR. GAZZA:	I would need a variance in order	
16		to be able to build on this property. I	
17		have to go for road review because it is on	
18		an unimproved road. I would most likely	
19		need a dimensional variance, a lot width,	
20		and because the lot is in the middle of the	
21		Core Area of the Pine Barrens, the Board	
22		that would hear this variance would not,	
23		absent permission from this Commission for	
24		use, I would say it would be very unlikely	
25		to be secured.	

1	MR. MAC LELLAN:	I have a question, and I don't		
2		mean to be personal. Why would someone buy		
3		a parcel like this?		
4	MR. GAZZA:	This is a portion of a larger		
5		parcel that was ten acres. 9 nine-and-		
6		a-half acres of the land. In the Town of		
7		Southampton, nine-and-a-half acres of land		
8		is a lot of land. It has value. The Town		
9		has established the value at fifty-two		
10		hundred dollars an acre based on their		
11		appraisals. So, it had value.		
12		It had value to Suffolk County,		
13	*	it had value to Joe Gazza. It has value		
14		today.		
15	MR. MAC LELLAN:	Okay.		
16	MR. COWEN:	Who is the surrounding property		
17		owner?		
18	MR. GAZZA:	Suffolk County owns the bulk of		
19		the area around this. I had some		
20		information on that. I think on the tax		
21		map the parcels owned by the County are		
22		labeled. We have County of Suffolk on both		
23		sides.		
24	MR. COWEN:	Any other members of the		
25		Commission have questions of Mr. Gazza?		

407 7303

1	MR.	MURPHREE:	The Commission members have a
2			question as to where the Sipala property is
3	:		located. We can't tell on the map as to
4			where it is actually located.
5	MR.	RIZZO:	The Town of Brookhaven on County
6			Route 111 in the Manorville-Eastport area.
7	MR.	MURPHREE:	Do we have a map?
8	MR.	RIZZO:	I don't have that map.
9	MR.	GAZZA:	Here you go. [HANDING]
10	MR.	MURPHREE:	So, the question is, is it one
11			contiguous property, and the answer is no?
12	MR.	RIZZO:	No. Alberto is on twelve
13			separate parcels, and Sipala is six
14			parcels. The zoning of them was A-
15			Residence 5, five acre zoning for the Town
16			of Brookhaven.
17	MR.	GAZZA:	It is in the same zoning as my
18			parcel is in, the same CR-21 zoning?
19	MR.	RIZZO:	Yes.
20	MR.	GAZZA:	So, we have equivalent zoning,
21			the same Health Department Zone, not too
22			far away, maybe about a half a mile away.
23			You can scale it off of the Health
24			Department Map.
25	MR.	MURPHREE:	You are looking at almost five

261 WOODBURY ROAD, HUNTINGTON, N. Y. 11743

1		miles away. Actually, this is not a bar
2		scale. You need a bar scale to actually
3		scale it. So, we can't look at this map.
4	MR. COWEN:	Any other questions of Mr. Gazza?
,,,5		[NO RESPONSE]
6		Any questions or statements by
7		members of the audience?
8	MR. AMPER:	Richard Amper; Executive Director
9		of the Long Island Pine Barrens Society.
10		Is the appellant's testimony that
11		the County paid him five thousand dollars
12		an acre for nine acres of his property, and
13		ran out and didn't have five thousand
14		dollars to buy the last acre?
15	MR. GAZZA:	Correct.
16	MR. AMPER:	The County represents that it
17		doesn't have five thousand dollars to
18		acquire land?
19	MR. GAZZA:	I have a letter from the County
20		saying they have no money to acquire my
21		land as of November, 2000. Alan Greco said
22		there was no funds available.
23	MR. AMPER:	Well, for the record, the County
24		has represented to others in the room that
25		that's not the operative case, and I would

1		be quite surprised if the County didn't
2		have five thousand dollars to buy land in
3		the first place, and the second question I
4		have is what's the difference between the
5		appellant's property and his property?
6		On what basis would the
7	-	Commission have granted the bump-up in the
8		one case and deny it on the next?
9	MR. COWEN:	I had actually intended to get
10		into that when we discussed the merits of
11		this.
12	MR. AMPER:	I have no further comments. I am
13		just raising both of those questions to the
14		Commission.
15	MR. COWEN:	The Commission is in fact
16		cognizant that there are, in fact,
17		differences between the two, and I believe
18		the discussion on that would be on April
19		4th when we consider the application for
20		approval.
21	MR. GAZZA:	If I may respond?
22		I am going to read from the
23		letter dated January 9, 2001 from the
24		Office of the County Legislature by George
25		Guldi:

1		"Dear Mr. Gazza:
2		"In response to your letter of
3		January 20th, I contacted Alan Greco of
4		Suffolk County Department of Real Estate
5		regarding the ten parcels of vacant land
6		you and your family members own on the west
7		side of Westhampton/Riverhead Road opposite
8		Zabreski Airport.
9		"Mr. Greco explained that
10		although there was authorization to acquire
11		your property under prior resolutions, the
12		program which funds such acquisition has
13		expired in November, 2000. A separate
14		resolution is necessary for acquisition
15	5	under the new program.
16		"I include a copy of his interim
17		letter for your information."
18	MS. WHIPLUSH:	That doesn't say the funds ran
19		out.
20	MR. GAZZA:	"Mr. Greco did not address your
21		other offers, specifically one and two.
22		Since introducing another resolution for
23		acquisition can be an arduous process, I am
24		requesting Mr. Greco to review the other
25		options before making a decision to

1	proceed.
2	Thank you for your patience."
3	Actually, I can read the letter
4	from Alan Greco. This letter is from the
5	Office of County of Suffolk, Alan Greco,
6	Division Director, Department of Planning,
7	Division of Real Estate.
8	"Dear Legislator Guldi," dated
9	January 24, 2001. "I am writing to you in
10	connection with your letter of January 17,
11	2001 regarding Joseph Gazza. We have
12	authorization to acquire his property by
13	earlier resolution. However, I wish to
14	bring to your attention the fact that the
15	Drinking Water Protection Program which
16	funds the Pine Barrens Core Acquisition has
17	ended November 30, 2000.
18	"To my knowledge, there is no
19	automatic linkage between the old program
20	and the new program.
21	"In other words, if we were to
22	consider acquisition of this property under
23	the new quarter percent program, a separate
24	resolution would be necessary to do so.
25	"As to the prior Drinking Water

1		Protection Program, I am also sure that you
2		are aware that the sales tax revenues have
3		been less than that originally estimated,
4		as the balance of the money available in
5		the prior drinking water account, all of
6		same are earmarked for other matters in
7	V	contract.
8	8	"In conclusion, while we have
9		authorization to acquire this property,
10		there is no funding available under the
11		prior program. If there is a desire to
12		acquire these properties, a separate
13		resolution will be necessary to do so under
14		the new quarter percent program.
15		"I trust the above answers your
16		question. If you wish to discuss this
17		matter, please feel free to contact me.
18		"Best regards. Very truly yours,
19		Alan Greco."
20	MR. COWEN:	So, to the extent that this is
21		relevant, it would only be relevant because
22		Mr. Gazza is in front of us with an
23		application for credit appeal instead of
24		selling his land to the County.
25		I will entertain one more brief

1		comment. I don't want to belabor this
2		because it is not terribly relevant to this
3		proceeding. Go ahead.
4	MR. AMPER:	I received a letter from the
5		County Executive last week indicating a
6		resolution will be introduced and approved
7	-	February 27th qualifying all parcels
8		approved under the Old Drinking Water
9		Protection Program to be considered under
10		the new, and I will be happy to provide
11		that to you.
12	MR. OLSEN:	Walter Olsen, President of Civil
13		Property Rights, Incorporated.
14		I would like to preface my
15		remarks by saying I don't presume to speak
16		for Mr. Gazza here, and he has not asked me
17		to speak for him. I make my comments
18		solely on my own conclusions that I come to
19		as I listen to the proceedings, and I'd
20		like to say again that what you keep
21		fighting here is this formula that you are
22		using that just simply doesn't warrant
23		enough money being paid for the development
24		rights on these parcels, and you are
25		continually up against that formula. It

1	3	really can't bring your program to get
2		these development rights purchased, and it
3		needs to be changed.
4		You need to do something to
5		remedy this.
6		I would go further then to say
7	, p	that you are being penny-wise and pound
8		foolish in this instance because if you
9		were to combine the man hours, which
10		translates into taxpayer dollars, that has
11		been spent to review and redo and review
12		again and send to another Board to review
13		the properties that Mr. Gazza owns, you
14		could have purchased them long since for
15		less money than has been expended in the
16		legal process that you have undertaken.
17		I think for the small amount more
18		that he is asking for in this credit
19		appeal, that you would be foolish not to
20		simply grant him the additional.
21		What do you have to lose? How
22		many more people are going to get precious
23		few more dollars for their properties, and
24	,	you get the thing done with?
25		I urge you to grant him the

1		additional credits that he is requesting on
2		this appeal.
3	MR. COWEN:	Anyone else from the public wish
4		to make a statement?
5		There being none, I am not so
6		sure that there is a reason to hold this
7		hearing record open at this point. I think
8		all the exhibits have been presented. I
9		don't believe we anticipate anything
10		further, so we will close the record and
11		note that a decision is due on this matter
12		by the end of our next meeting on April 4,
13		2001.
14		Thank you.
15		[WHEREUPON THIS HEARING WAS CLOSED AT 4:00
16		P.M.]
17		000
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

<u>C(</u>	OMMISSION EXHIBITS MARKED IN EVIDENCE	
Exhibit 1:	Letter dated 1/25/01	Page 4
Exhibit 2:	Staff Report prepared 3/9/01	Page 4
Exhibit 3:	Letter of Interpretation, 1/4/2001	Page 5
Exhibit 4:	Aerial photo map	Page 7
Exhibit 5:	Aerial photo map	Page 7
Exhibit 6:	Suffolk County Map, Section 341	Page 7
Exhibit 7:	Letter dated 3/14/01	Page 8
	APPELLANT'S EXHIBITS IN EVIDENCE	:
Exhibit 1:	Documents	Page 10
Exhibit 2:	Variance Search dated 3/13/01	Page 11
Exhibit 3:	Groundwater Zone Map	Page 13
Exhibit 4:	Article 4	Page 17
Exhibit 5:	Sipala appeal dated 10/25/2000	Page 17
Exhibit 6:	Sipala parcel	Page 17
Exhibit 7:	Sipala parcel	Page 17
		:
	Exhibit 1: Exhibit 2: Exhibit 3: Exhibit 4: Exhibit 5: Exhibit 7: Exhibit 1: Exhibit 1: Exhibit 2: Exhibit 3: Exhibit 4: Exhibit 5: Exhibit 6:	Exhibit 1: Documents Exhibit 2: Variance Search dated 3/13/01 Exhibit 3: Groundwater Zone Map Exhibit 4: Article 4 Exhibit 5: Sipala appeal dated 10/25/2000 Exhibit 6: Sipala parcel

1 2 **CERTIFICATION** 3 STATE OF NEW YORK) 4 COUNTY OF SUFFOLK) 5 6 7 8 I, SHEILA PARISER, R.P.R., a Notary Public in 9 and for the State of New York, do hereby certify: 10 11 12 THAT this is a true and accurate record of 13 the Hearing held before the Central Pine Barrens Joint Planning and Policy Commission, in the matter 14 of JOSEPH GAZZA, held on March 14, 2001, as reported 15 16 by me and transcribed under my direction. 17 IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my 18 19 hand this 25th day of March, 2001. 20 21 22 23 SHEILA PARISER, R.P.R. 24 25

Modern Shorthand

261 WOODBURY ROAD, HUNTINGTON, N. Y. 11743 421-2255 692-7383