





1 [THE HEARING WAS CALLED TO ORDER BY THE  
2 ACTING CHAIRMAN, ROY S. DRAGOTTA, AT  
3 5:25 P.M.]

4

5 MR. DRAGOTTA: Applicant Number Two is Joseph  
6 Gazza.

7 MR. GAZZA: Appearing before you is Joseph  
8 Frederick Gazza. With me this afternoon is  
9 Mr. Michael Nobiletti, who has also been  
10 involved with this application for the Pine  
11 Barrens.

12 MR. DRAGOTTA: Before you start, let me just put  
13 some housekeeping stuff on the record.

14 My name is Roy Dragotta. I am  
15 Acting Chairman on behalf of the County  
16 Executive, Robert Gaffney, Chairman of the  
17 Commission.

18 I will ask each one of you to  
19 identify yourselves.

20 MR. FRELENG: Andrew Freleng, representing  
21 Supervisor Cannuscio, Town of Southampton.

22 MR. COWEN: Ray Cowen, representing Governor  
23 George Pataki.

24 MS. FILMANSKI: Brenda Filmanski, representing  
25 the Supervisor of the Town of Riverhead,

*Modern Shorthand*

261 WOODBURY ROAD, HUNTINGTON, N.Y. 11743

421-2255

692-7383

James R. Stark.

2 MR. DRAGOTTA: Would you put the Notice of  
3 Public Hearing on the record?

13 "Applicant: Joseph Gazza.

# Modern Shorthand

1 applications are available for examination  
2 during regular business hours between  
3 eight-thirty a.m. and five p.m. at the  
4 Commission's office at 3525 Sunrise  
5 Highway, second Floor, Great River, New  
6 York."

7 MR. DRAGOTTA: Mr. Gazza, are you ready to  
8 present your case?

9 MR. GAZZA: Yes. We had appeared before this  
10 Commission approximately a month and a half  
11 ago, at which time I outlined the  
12 application to build a single-family  
13 dwelling on the six-and-a-half acre parcel  
14 as a substitute or alternate use for the  
15 original intended use of the Pine Barrens  
16 drinking water well site.

17 The application to secure the  
18 approval of that has been stymied by the  
19 Southampton Town Zoning Board of Appeals.  
20 We will be having our seventh hearing  
21 tomorrow evening some time after seven  
22 p.m., and the Zoning Board closed the sixth  
23 hearing with the position that to obtain a  
24 use variance for the well site on this  
25 property -- as I explained earlier, this is

*Modern Shorthand*

261 WOODBURY ROAD, HUNTINGTON, N.Y. 11743

421-2255

692-7383

1 a use variance because it is not a  
2 permitted use in the Code. It is a use  
3 which is unlisted, thereby not permitted  
4 without a special exemption use variance  
5 -- absent a showing that no other permitted  
6 uses under zoning could be taking place on  
7 this property, the Board would be hard  
8 pressed to find the relief necessary to  
9 grant a use variance.

10 Since the application had  
11 previously been before you and the Zoning  
12 Board for agricultural purposes, and was  
13 denied by both Boards, since there is no  
14 interest on the part of the municipality  
15 for a fire station, or public schools or  
16 museum, quasi-public facilities, the only  
17 remaining use on the property that's  
18 permissible under zoning -- and you have  
19 them provided with a copy of the Zoning  
20 Code, the pertinent sections -- is a  
21 single-family residence.

22 It is that request that was made  
23 at the last meeting and is before you this  
24 afternoon.

25 Mr. Nobiletti is here. He is

*Modern Shorthand*

261 WOODBURY ROAD, HUNTINGTON, N. Y. 11743

421-2255

692-7383

1 quite familiar with the property and the  
2 prior application if the Board needs any  
3 further questions answered on this  
4 application, or myself.

7 MR. FRELENG: Mr. Gazza, are you prepared at  
8 this time then to address the criteria for  
9 core hardship provisions as outlined in the  
10 Environmental Conservation Law 57?

11 MR. GAZZA: It is difficult for me to address  
12 that in favor of a single-family dwelling  
13 because as we know, the Code provides for  
14 the least possible use, and I think we  
15 found the least possible use, and we all  
16 found that was the least possible use at a  
17 prior hearing, the well. I think that a  
18 single-family dwelling is asking for a well  
19 and extra things, a house, a driveway, a  
20 sanitary system.

# Modern Shorthand

1 a less offensive use, and that being the  
2 well with no sanitary system, simply to  
3 extract pure water, take it to Riverhead  
4 and bottle it and sell it for human beings  
5 to drink; but that is the least offensive  
6 use.

7 I hate to use the word offensive.  
8 That least undesirable use has not been  
9 found acceptable to the Town of Southampton  
10 despite this public hearing.

11 MR. COWEN: Notwithstanding the difficult  
12 position you find yourself in, it might be  
13 beneficial to go through a few of these  
14 criteria with you. Let me just go through  
15 it with you.

16 First of all, the zoning on the  
17 property is residential, is that correct?

18 MR. GAZZA: CR200 residential zoning.

19 MR. COWEN: So that makes that property  
20 somewhat unique, does it not, to that area?

21 MR. GAZZA: It is a very unique parcel in  
22 that it is surrounded by roads on all four  
23 sides. The parcel was disturbed during the  
24 construction phase of Sunrise Highway  
25 during 1975 and '76. It was truly unique

*Modern Shorthand*

261 WOODBURY ROAD, HUNTINGTON, N. Y. 11743

421-2255

692-7383

1 at the last application for agriculture  
2 use, but it wasn't unique enough to be  
3 cleared of all its vegetation, but it is a  
4 unique parcel.

5 MR. COWEN: Does the proposal for a single-  
6 family residence require clearance of  
7 vegetation out there?

8 MR. GAZZA: The proposal is for a house right  
9 at the vegetation line, and it is  
10 impossible to build right to a line, so  
11 there would be some clearing; minimal, but  
12 some clearing necessary in order to place a  
13 house on that property at the setbacks  
14 prescribed by the Town and the zoning.

15 MR. COWEN: As you pointed out, there would  
16 be other impacts such as the sanitary  
17 system, fertilizer?

18 MR. GAZZA: Lawn area, driveway. There would  
19 have to be some buffering by clearing  
20 between the dwelling and the wooded area.  
21 You couldn't have --

22 MR. COWEN: [INTERPOSING] For fire  
23 protection?

24 MR. GAZZA: Yes; you couldn't have foliage  
25 growing right up next to the house. It

## Modern Shorthand

1 would be an unsafe situation.

2 MR. COWEN: How long have you owned this  
3 property?

4 MR. GAZZA: 1988 I believe.

5 MS. FILMANSKI: Was this site affected at all by  
6 the Sunrise fires?

7 MR. GAZZA: It was not. The fires went to the  
8 west, approximately half a mile.

9 MR. FRELENG: Mr. Gazza, on this plot plan that  
10 you provided, what you don't show on here  
11 is the building envelope which would be  
12 established by the local zoning. Would it  
13 be safe to say, though, that the "building  
14 envelope," pursuant to the local zoning  
15 would encompass a significant part of this,  
16 what is it, a six acre parcel?

17 MR. GAZZA: Yes, the front setback in a CR200  
18 zone is one hundred feet. Since the  
19 property is surrounded by roads, one would  
20 have to set back a hundred feet from all  
21 sides of the property in order to establish  
22 a setback line for a dwelling.

23 MR. FRELENG: Without it being drawn on the  
24 plan, then the building envelope would  
25 roughly be a pear-shaped building envelope

# Modern Shorthand

1 that is pretty much one hundred feet  
2 parallel to the property lines?

3 MR. GAZZA: That's correct.

4 MR. FRELENG: The application that you have  
5 before the Commission, though, doesn't show  
6 any amenities on a six acre parcel, such as  
7 pool, or a tennis court or something that  
8 might be typically associated with a  
9 single-family dwelling six acres in size.

10 At the risk of sounding  
11 ludicrous, would it be reasonable to assume  
12 that at some point in the future, an owner  
13 of this particular property might be  
14 looking for a pool and/or a tennis court,  
15 or some other amenity?

16 MR. NOBILETTI: Let me jump in. Under Local  
17 Zoning 200, one hundred feet setback from a  
18 road. So, the accessory structure of a  
19 pool or other amenities --

20 MR. FRELENG: [INTERPOSING] The question is,  
21 without the question of a building envelope  
22 here, there would be room within the  
23 building envelope for additional amenities?

24 MR. GAZZA: There would be.

25 MR. NOBILETTI: Accessory structures would have

# Modern Shorthand

1 to be one hundred ten feet. What you are  
2 looking at there is the setback of one  
3 hundred feet for the primary structure  
4 building envelope. If the primary  
5 residence was small enough, you could have  
6 accessory structures set back one hundred  
7 ten feet within that envelope, but you  
8 would have to have more area possibly.

9 MR. FRELENG: I understand what you are saying.

10 MS. PLUNKETT: He is saying if you draw the  
11 building envelope according to zoning,  
12 there would be room for a pool and a tennis  
13 court within the building envelope?

14 The building envelope is now  
15 going to be one hundred feet from this line  
16 and one hundred feet from this line. So,  
17 now you have --

18 MR. NOBILETTI: [INTERPOSING] There has to be  
19 twenty feet distance.

20 MS. PLUNKETT: This is a street, too, and this  
21 is a street, too. [INDICATING ON SURVEY]

22 MR. NOBILETTI: If they were to build a house  
23 this size here, then there would be no --

24 MR. FRELENG: [INTERPOSING] You are missing my  
25 point. Would there be a possibility in the

*Modern Shorthand*

261 WOODBURY ROAD, HUNTINGTON, N. Y. 11743

421-2255

692-7383

1 future that the Commission would be faced  
2 with another application by a future  
3 landowner for a pool or a tennis court in  
4 the area that's wooded?

5 I am asking you to speculate if  
6 you believe it is possible.

7 MR. NOBILETTI: Yes, those amenities are usually  
8 associated with residences.

9 MR. FRELENG: Would it be reasonable to assume  
10 there might be children associated with the  
11 occupants of single-family homes?

12 MR. GAZZA: Single-family residences usually  
13 have 2.3 children.

14 MR. FRELENG: Would it be also safe to assume  
15 there might be pets associated with the  
16 family in a single-family residence?

17 MR. GAZZA: It is safe to say that a home  
18 within this isolated area would probably  
19 have several dogs because of the isolated  
20 location for a dwelling. There would be no  
21 other dwelling within a mile radius of this  
22 house.

23 MR. FRELENG: Applications that you have had  
24 before this Commission prior to this  
25 application, do they include some of those

*Modern Shorthand*

261 WOODBURY ROAD, HUNTINGTON, N. Y. 11743

421-2255

692-7383

1 aspects that we might have discussed,  
2 domestic pets?

3 MR. GAZZA: Normal life, there are pets and  
4 children.

5 MR. FRELENG: I am talking to the application  
6 you have had prior, the well application.  
7 Was there a component which included --

8 MR. GAZZA: [INTERPOSING] No, that was an  
9 unmanned situation. We just had a well  
10 extracting water. There is no waste  
11 discharge. There was a minimal driveway  
12 area, no disturbance to the natural  
13 vegetation. It was a use that was probably  
14 the best possible use for this property.

15 MR. NOBILETTI: It would be defined as an  
16 unoccupied building. The development there  
17 would be an unoccupied structure.

18 MR. FRELENG: Just to let you know where I am  
19 going with this, a single-family home, in  
20 addition to the wastewater aspect as shown  
21 on the plan, would also have those elements  
22 that I brought up that could impact on, in  
23 one way or another, the wildlife associated  
24 with the Pine Barrens habitat. In my mind,  
25 as I look back -- and I am not trying to

# Modern Shorthand

1 prequalify anything later on down the line  
2 -- this particular aspect of the  
3 application has impacts associated with it  
4 which are not shown on the plan. That's  
5 what I am getting at.

6 MR. COWEN: I would like to note for the  
7 record, following that vein, that a field  
8 inspection was conducted on this property  
9 for reasons not associated with this  
10 property, for reasons associated with your  
11 application further south on the same road,  
12 and an examination of the Buck Moth was  
13 conducted, and we did, in fact, find buck  
14 moth larvae on the subject property. So,  
15 that animal is present on the property. It  
16 is an animal of special concern, I guess.

17 MS. PLUNKETT: The species is a special concern,  
18 yes.

19 MR. COWEN: Based on your knowledge of the  
20 zoning in the area, what would be the  
21 closest single-family residence that could  
22 be built?

23 MR. GAZZA: Approximately one mile away, five  
24 thousand feet away. That's located north -  
25 of the Sunrise Highway on the Road 104, the

# Modern Shorthand

1 road to Quogue. It is about a mile to the  
2 northeast.

3 Going to the south, the nearest  
4 residence would be the Coast Guard base  
5 housing. That's over ten thousand feet to  
6 the southwest.

7 MS. FILMANSKI: That's a direct route?

8 MR. GAZZA: That's as direct as the crow  
9 flies. There are no dwellings within a  
10 mile of this site. In fact, the only  
11 building that's in close proximity would be  
12 the Suffolk County Water Authority across  
13 the street. That's the only building.

14 MR. FRELENG: Just to follow-up on that a  
15 second.

16 South of the South Service Road,  
17 the property is zoned residential; south of  
18 the South Service Road, is that correct?

19 MR. GAZZA: That's correct.

20 MR. FRELENG: Are the properties there any  
21 different than this property, that are  
22 zoned residential?

23 MR. GAZZA: Well, they are owned by the  
24 Suffolk County Preserve, so they are  
25 different. It is municipal ownership.

*Modern Shorthand*

261 WOODBURY ROAD, HUNTINGTON, N.Y. 11743

421-2255

692-7383

1 MS. FILMANSKI: There are not privately held  
2 pieces there?

3 MR. GAZZA: There may be a scattered piece or  
4 two. I don't know. The largest piece, a  
5 ninety some acre tract, the County  
6 purchased with the Nature Conservancy about  
7 three or four years ago.

8 MR. NOBILETTI: In this CR200 zoning area, the  
9 only lot that is privately owned that has  
10 the highway disturbance is this lot.  
11 Otherwise, the other lots proceed south on  
12 Old Riverhead Road.

13 The dwarf pines go right up to  
14 the edge of the road with the exception of  
15 the commercial development that has been  
16 permitted as you proceed south.

17 MS. PLUNKETT: Staff would just ask a question  
18 for clarification.

19 The previous approval for the  
20 exact technology was for the extraction of  
21 four million gallons per year. Is that  
22 still the application that you are  
23 presenting before the Zoning Board of  
24 Appeals? That was stated in the public  
25 record at the time of the application that

*Modern Shorthand*

261 WOODBURY ROAD, HUNTINGTON, N.Y. 11743

421-2255

692-7383

1 was pending before this Commission.

2 MR. NOBILETTI: The application that was  
3 submitted to the Commission was to extract  
4 less than forty-five gallons per minute  
5 from the well, and we did make the  
6 statement based on the bottling capacity at  
7 the plant and the equipment installed in  
8 the plant, that plant had four million  
9 gallons per year bottling capacity.

10 MS. PLUNKETT: That was also a submission of the  
11 rechargeable capacity of the parcel that  
12 spoke to the four million gallons. In  
13 fact, it is in the hearing record that you  
14 stated it was four million gallons. I want  
15 to clarify that is still the application  
16 that you were asking for at the Zoning  
17 Board of Appeals.

18 MR. NOBILETTI: Yes. There is that difference in  
19 figures, the forty-five, less than forty-  
20 five gallons per minute would calculate to  
21 twenty-three million gallons per year. The  
22 site, itself, takes on forty-eight inches  
23 of rainfall converting to forty-six inches  
24 of rainfall into the aquifer, would yield a  
25 recharge of four-and-a-half million gallons

# Modern Shorthand

261 WOODBURY ROAD, HUNTINGTON, N. Y. 11743

421-2255

692,7383

1 per year, the recharge from that site into  
2 the aquifers.

3 MR. FRELENG: When you were giving those  
4 numbers, you were trying to make a case  
5 that the recharge is net positive on that  
6 site.

7 MR. NOBILETTI: Based on the capacity of the  
8 plant, the plant engineers and the plant  
9 designers had calculated what is the  
10 maximum bottling capacity of that plant  
11 based on the equipment that is there now,  
12 primarily the bottle handling equipment and  
13 the water handling capacity of the plant at  
14 this time.

15 MR. FRELENG: How does that relate to the well?

16 MR. NOBILETTI: The well right now, they have  
17 another source well that they are using  
18 owned by the Water Authority. They are  
19 bottling water; they are in operation. The  
20 plant capacity would remain the same. It  
21 would still be at the four million gallons  
22 per year maximum capacity.

23 Theoretically, you can say you  
24 can take two million gallons from this well  
25 and two million from the other well, and

*Modern Shorthand*

261 WOODBURY ROAD, HUNTINGTON, N.Y. 11743

421-2255

692-7383

1 that would supply the needs of that plant

2 MR. FRELENG: The needs of this --

3 MS. PLUNKETT: [INTERPOSING] Forty-five gallons  
4 per minute allows them twenty-three million  
5 gallons per year. The point that I am  
6 making, that in the hearing record for the  
7 previous approval, it was stated that there  
8 would be four million gallons maximum. The  
9 twenty-three million gallons, under the  
10 forty-five, is allowed under the  
11 nonpermitted well.

12 So, I just wanted to clarify that  
13 because there have been a lot of numbers  
14 that have been floating around about the  
15 amount of what's being requested.

16 MR. COWEN: Can I go off the record for a  
17 minute?

18 MR. DRAGOTTA: Yes.

19 [DISCUSSION OFF THE RECORD]

20 MR. DRAGOTTA: Let's go back on the record.

21 MR. COWEN: I am indicating we had a

22 discussion about the capacity of the well,  
23 not really germane to the application in  
24 front of us tonight.

Let's stick with the single-

# Modern Shorthand

1 family residence idea. I don't think I  
2 have any further questions, except one more  
3 thing, I guess.

4 Mr. Gazza, as you pointed out,  
5 one of the things the Commission has to  
6 consider is whether or not the waiver  
7 applied for or proposed to be granted, in  
8 fact, is the minimum relief necessary under  
9 the statute, and you have given us some  
10 indication that you don't believe that the  
11 single-family residence would be a minimum  
12 relief because we have already granted a  
13 project for the well that would represent  
14 minimum relief?

15 MR. GAZZA: That's correct. However, that  
16 project has not been approved by staff.  
17 They are looking at it differently. I am  
18 at a loss as to how to handle a situation  
19 like this.

20 Maybe it is the first time it has  
21 come up with this Commission. Maybe the  
22 Commission has an idea.

23 MR. COWEN: It is odd, to say the least. I  
24 have no further questions.

25 MR. DRAGOTTA: Fran?

*Modern Shorthand*

261 WOODBURY ROAD, HUNTINGTON, N. Y. 11743

421-2255

692-7383

1 MS. FILMANSKI: No.

2 MR. FRELENG: No further questions.

3 MR. DRAGOTTA: Do you have any further evidence

4 to present?

5 MR. GAZZA: I do not.

6 MR. DRAGOTTA: Does anyone in the audience wish

7 to be heard from?

8 MR. NOBILETTI: I would like to just state that I

9 believe the Zoning Board of Appeals is

10 sending Mr. Gazza back to the Commission

11 just as a means of delaying the response to

12 the Zoning Board of Appeals' request

13 variance on behalf of Exact Technology.

14 I also personally believe it is

15 clearly the least offensive use of that

16 property, would be this well use of a

17 nonoccupied building with no septic system,

18 without the associated pets and family that

19 has been brought up, and other amenities,

20 and that's really a noninvasive use of that

21 land and a means of disposing of that piece

22 of property, having it be tax paying and

23 beneficial all the way around, and staying

24 within the spirit and the intent of CR57.

25 MR. DRAGOTTA: Thank you. I declare the hearing

*Modern Shorthand*

261 WOODBURY ROAD, HUNTINGTON, N.Y. 11743

421-2255

692-7383

1 closed.

2 I will keep the public portion  
3 open until August 6, 1997.

4 MR. COWEN: I would like to reconvene the  
5 Commission meeting for a brief period if  
6 that's possible. Do I need a motion to do  
7 that?

8 MR. DRAGOTTA: Yes.

9 Do I have a motion to come out of  
10 recess?

11 MR. COWEN: I will make a motion that we come  
12 out of recess.

13 MR. FRELENG: Second.

14 [FOLLOWING THE RECESS, THIS HEARING WAS  
15 CONCLUDED AT 5:45 P.M. THE PUBLIC COMMENT  
16 PERIOD IS OPEN UNTIL AUGUST 6, 1997.]

17 ooo

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

*Modern Shorthand*

261 WOODBURY ROAD, HUNTINGTON, N. Y. 11743

421-2255

692-7383

## CERTIFICATION

9 I, SHEILA PARISER, R.P.R., a Notary Public in  
10 and for the State of New York, do hereby certify:

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my  
hand this 23rd day of July, 1997.

*Sheila Pariser*  
SHEILA PARISER, R.P.R.

## Modern Shorthand

261 WOODBURY ROAD, HUNTINGTON, N. Y. 11743

421-3355

692.7383