

Peter A. Scully *Chair* 

Mark Lesko Member

Steve A. Levy *Member* 

Anna E. Throne-Holst *Member* 

Sean M. Walter *Member* 

P.O. Box 587 3525 Sunrise Highway 2<sup>nd</sup> Floor Great River, NY 11739-0587

Phone (631) 224-2604 Fax (631) 224-7653 www.pb.state.ny.us

## Commission Meeting of December 15, 2010 Town of Brookhaven Town Hall, Farmingville, New York

Present: Peter Scully (State of New York), Mark Lesko (Town of Brookhaven), Carrie Meek Gallagher (Suffolk County), Sean Walter (Town of Riverhead), Marty Shea (Town of Southampton)

## Adopted Resolution Determination of Jurisdiction Dayton Avenue School, Manorville, Town of Brookhaven Compatible Growth Area of the Central Pine Barrens SCTM #: 200-589-3-13.7, 13.8, 200-590-6-2

**Whereas**, the Eastport-South Manor School District (the applicant) submitted a request for a determination of jurisdiction for a proposed 17,406 square foot expansion and other improvements to the Dayton Avenue School located at 149 Dayton Avenue, in the Compatible Growth Area, Manorville, Town of Brookhaven; and

**Whereas**, the applicant's submittal included a review of the project in accordance with the Standards in the Central Pine Barrens Comprehensive Land Use Plan (CLUP); and

**Whereas**, the Dayton Avenue School property was cleared and developed from 1969 to 1980, prior to the enactment of the Long Island Pine Barrens Protection Act, Environmental Conservation Law (ECL) Article 57 and the adoption of the CLUP in 1995;

**Whereas**, the proposed expansion constitutes development as per Environmental Conservation Law (ECL) Article §57-0101(13); and

**Whereas**, the proposed expansion is situated in an area of the site that was cleared prior to the enactment of ECL Article 57 and adoption of the CLUP; and

**Whereas**, the Commission has considered all of the materials submitted in connection with the Project, now, therefore, be it,

Now therefore be it

**Resolved**, that the Commission finds that the current proposal constitutes development; be it further

**Resolved**, that the Commission finds that the current proposal conforms to the CLUP and the goals and objectives of ECL Article 57; and be it further

**Resolved**, that no further Commission review of or action on the current proposal is required.

## **Record of Motion:**

Motion by: M. Lesko Seconded by: P. Scully

In Favor: 5 Opposed: 0 Abstention: 0